Copyright 2017 W3C[^] (MIT, ERCIM, Keio, Beihang). W3C liability,

trademark and document use rules apply.

0045

0046

0047

0048

0049

0050

0051

Abstract

```
0051
0052
0053
0054
0055
0056
0057
3200
0059
0060
0061
0062
0063
0064
0065
006€
```

0002

0003

0004

0005

000€

0007

3000

0009

0010

0011

0012

0013

0014

0015

001€

0017

0018

0019

0024 0025

002€

0027

0028

0029

0030

0031

0032

0033

0034

0035

0036

0037

0038

0039 0040

0041 0042

0043

0044

0045 0046 0047

0048 0049 0050

```
/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 1
               THE_URL:file://localhost/Users/jehodges/documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-
               e155bae-CR-00.html
THE TITLE:Web Authentication: An API for accessing Public Key Credentials Level 1
^I Jump to Table of Contents-> Pop Out Sidebar
                  W<sub>3</sub>C
               Web Authentication: An API for accessing Public Key Credentials Level 1
               W3C Candidate Recommendation, 20 March 2018
                  This version:
                        https://www.w3.org/TR/2018/CR-webauthn-20180320/
                  Latest published version:
                         https://www.w3.org/TR/webauthn/
                  Editor's Draft:
                        https://w3c.github.io/webauthn/
                  Previous Versions:
                       https://www.w3.org/TR/2018/WD-webauthn-20180315/https://www.w3.org/TR/2018/WD-webauthn-20180306/https://www.w3.org/TR/2017/WD-webauthn-20171205/https://www.w3.org/TR/2017/WD-webauthn-20170811/https://www.w3.org/TR/2017/WD-webauthn-20170505/https://www.w3.org/TR/2017/WD-webauthn-20170216/https://www.w3.org/TR/2016/WD-webauthn-20161207/https://www.w3.org/TR/2016/WD-webauthn-20160928/https://www.w3.org/TR/2016/WD-webauthn-20160902/https://www.w3.org/TR/2016/WD-webauthn-20160531/
                  Issue Tracking:
                         GitHub
                  Editors:
                         Dirk Balfanz (Google)
                         Alexei Czeskis (Google)
                        Jeff Hodges (PayPal)
J.C. Jones (Mozilla)
Michael B. Jones (Microsoft)
                        Akshay Kumar (Microsoft)
Angelo Liao (Microsoft)
                         Rolf Lindemann (Nok Nok Labs)
                         Emil Lundberg (Yubico)
                  Former Editors:
                        Vijay Bharadwaj (Microsoft)
Arnar Birgisson (Google)
Hubert Le Van Gong (PayPal)
                 Contributors:
Christiaan Brand (Google)
                        Adam Langley (Google)
Giridhar Mandyam (Qualcomm)
                        Mike West (Google)
Jeffrey Yasskin (Google)
```

web-platform-tests webauthn/ (ongoing work)

Copyright 2018 W3C[^] (MIT, ERCIM, Keio, Beihang). W3C liability, trademark and document use rules apply.

Abstract

007€

This specification defines an API enabling the creation and use of strong, attested, scoped, public key-based credentials by web applications, for the purpose of strongly authenticating users.

Conceptually, one or more public key credentials, each scoped to a given Relying Party, are created and stored on an authenticator by the user agent in conjunction with the web application. The user agent mediates access to public key credentials in order to preserve user privacy. Authenticators are responsible for ensuring that no operation is performed without user consent. Authenticators provide cryptographic proof of their properties to relying parties via attestation. This specification also describes the functional model for WebAuthn conformant authenticators, including their signature and attestation functionality.

Status of this document

This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document. A list of current W3C publications and the latest revision of this technical report can be found in the W3C technical reports index at https://www.w3.org/TR/.

This document was published by the Web Authentication Working Group as a Working Draft. This document is intended to become a W3C Recommendation. Feedback and comments on this specification are welcome. Please use Github issues. Discussions may also be found in the public-webauthn@w3.org archives.

Publication as a Working Draft does not imply endorsement by the W3C Membership. This is a draft document and may be updated, replaced or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to cite this document as other than work in progress.

This document was produced by a group operating under the W3C Patent Policy. W3C maintains a public list of any patent disclosures made in connection with the deliverables of the group; that page also includes instructions for disclosing a patent. An individual who has actual knowledge of a patent which the individual believes contains Essential Claim(s) must disclose the information in accordance with section 6 of the W3C Patent Policy.

Table of Contents

- 1. 1 Introduction
 - 1. 1.1 Use Cases
 - 1. 1.1.1 Registration
 - 2. 1.1.2 Authentication
 - 3. 1.1.3 Other use cases and configurations
- 2. 2 Conformance
- 1. 2.1 User Agents

This specification defines an API enabling the creation and use of strong, attested, scoped, public key-based credentials by web applications, for the purpose of strongly authenticating users.
Conceptually, one or more public key credentials, each scoped to a
given Relying Party, are created and stored on an authenticator by the
user agent in conjunction with the web application. The user agent
mediates access to public key credentials in order to preserve user
privacy. Authenticators are responsible for ensuring that no operation
is performed without user consent. Authenticators provide experiences is performed without user consent. Authenticators provide cryptographic proof of their properties to relying parties via attestation. This specification also describes the functional model for WebAuthn conformant authenticators, including their signature and attestation functionality.

Status of this document

007€

0107

0113

013€

This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document. A list of current W3C publications and the latest revision of this technical report can be found in the W3C technical reports index at https://www.w3.org/TR/.

For the Web Authentication specification to move to Proposed Recommendation we must show two independent, interoperable implementations of the Web Authentication API in browsers. We will also have multiple interoperable implementations of the AppID extension, validating the extensions framework. All other extensions are "at risk". If there are not multiple interoperable implementations, each may independently be removed or made informative at Proposed Recommendation.

We have had two informal interoperability tests with implementations in three browsers. There is no preliminary implementation report at this

This document was published by the Web Authentication Working Group as a Candidate Recommendation. This document is intended to become a W3C Recommendation. Feedback and comments on this specification are welcome. Please use Github issues. Discussions may also be found in the public-webauthn@w3.org archives. W3C publishes a Candidate Recommendation to indicate that the document is believed to be stable and to encourage implementation by the developer community.

The deadline for comments for this Candidate Recommendation is 1 May 2018.

Publication as a Candidate Recommendation does not imply endorsement by the W3C Membership. This is a draft document and may be updated, replaced or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to cite this document as other than work in progress.

This document was produced by a group operating under the W3C Patent Policy. W3C maintains a public list of any patent disclosures made in connection with the deliverables of the group; that page also includes instructions for disclosing a patent. An individual who has actual knowledge of a patent which the individual believes contains Essential Claim(s) must disclose the information in accordance with section 6 of the W3C Patent Policy.

This document is governed by the 1 February 2018 W3C Process Document.

Table of Contents

- 1. 1 Introduction
- 1. 1.1 Use Cases
- 1. 1.1.1 Registration 2. 1.1.2 Authentication
- 3. 1.1.3 Other use cases and configurations
- 2. 2 Conformance
- 1. 2.1 User Agents

This document is governed by the 1 March 2017 W3C Process Document.

- 1. Terms defined by this specification 2. Terms defined by reference
- 16. References
 - 1. Normative References
 - 2. Informative References
- 17. IDL Index
- 18. Issues Index

1. Introduction

This section is not normative.

This specification defines an API enabling the creation and use of strong, attested, scoped, public key-based credentials by web applications, for the purpose of strongly authenticating users. A public key credential is created and stored by an authenticator at the behest of a Relying Party, subject to user consent. Subsequently, the public key credential can only be accessed by origins belonging to that Relying Party. This scoping is enforced jointly by conforming User Agents and authenticators. Additionally, privacy across Relying Parties is maintained; Relying Parties are not able to detect any properties, or even the existence, of credentials scoped to other Relying Parties.

Relying Parties employ the Web Authentication API during two distinct, but related, ceremonies involving a user. The first is Registration, where a public key credential is created on an authenticator, and associated by a Relying Party with the present user's account (the account may already exist or may be created at this time). The second is Authentication, where the Relying Party is presented with an Authentication Assertion proving the presence and consent of the user who registered the public key credential. Functionally, the Web Authentication API comprises a PublicKeyCredential which extends the Credential Management API [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1], and infrastructure which allows those credentials to be used with navigator.credentials.create() and navigator.credentials.get(). The former is used during Registration, and the latter during Authentication.

Broadly, compliant authenticators protect public key credentials, and interact with user agents to implement the Web Authentication API. Some authenticators may run on the same computing device (e.g., smart phone, tablet, desktop PC) as the user agent is running on. For instance, such an authenticator might consist of a Trusted Execution Environment (TEE) applet, a Trusted Platform Module (TPM), or a Secure Element (SE) integrated into the computing device in conjunction with some means for user verification, along with appropriate platform software to mediate access to these components' functionality. Other authenticators may operate autonomously from the computing device running the user agent, and be accessed over a transport such as Universal Serial Bus (USB), Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) or Near Field Communications (NFC).

1.1. Use Cases

The below use case scenarios illustrate use of two very different types of authenticators, as well as outline further scenarios. Additional scenarios, including sample code, are given later in 12 Sample scenarios.

1.1.1. Registration

0273	2. 13.2.2 Attestation Certificate and Attestation
0274	Certificate CA Compromise
0275	3. 13.3 credentialld Unsigned
0276	4. 13.4 Browser Permissions Framework and Extension
0277	14. 14 Privacy Considerations
0278	1. 14.1 Attestation Privacy
0279	2. 14.2 Registration Ceremony Privacy
0280	3. 14.3 Authentication Ceremony Privacy
0281	15. 15 Acknowledgements
0282	16. Index
0283	1. Terms defined by this specification
0284	2. Terms defined by reference
0285	17. References
0286	1. Normative References
0287	2. Informative References
0288	18. IDL Index
0289	19. Issues Index
0290	

1. Introduction

030€

031€

032€

033€

This section is not normative.

This specification defines an API enabling the creation and use of strong, attested, scoped, public key-based credentials by web applications, for the purpose of strongly authenticating users. A public key credential is created and stored by an authenticator at the behest of a Relying Party, subject to user consent. Subsequently, the public key credential can only be accessed by origins belonging to that Relying Party. This scoping is enforced jointly by conforming User Agents and authenticators. Additionally, privacy across Relying Parties is maintained; Relying Parties are not able to detect any properties, or even the existence, of credentials scoped to other Relying Parties.

Relying Parties employ the Web Authentication API during two distinct, but related, ceremonies involving a user. The first is Registration, where a public key credential is created on an authenticator, and associated by a Relying Party with the present user's account (the account MAY already exist or MAY be created at this time). The second is Authentication, where the Relying Party is presented with an Authentication Assertion proving the presence and consent of the user who registered the public key credential. Functionally, the Web Authentication API comprises a PublicKeyCredential which extends the Credential Management API [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1], and infrastructure which allows those credentials to be used with navigator.credentials.create() and navigator.credentials.get(). The former is used during Registration, and the latter during Authentication.

Broadly, compliant authenticators protect public key credentials, and interact with user agents to implement the Web Authentication API. Some authenticators MAY run on the same computing device (e.g., smart phone, tablet, desktop PC) as the user agent is running on. For instance, such an authenticator might consist of a Trusted Execution Environment (TEE) applet, a Trusted Platform Module (TPM), or a Secure Element (SE) integrated into the computing device in conjunction with some means for user verification, along with appropriate platform software to mediate access to these components' functionality. Other authenticators MAY operate autonomously from the computing device running the user agent, and be accessed over a transport such as Universal Serial Bus (USB), Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) or Near Field Communications (NFC).

1.1. Use Cases

The below use case scenarios illustrate use of two very different types of authenticators, as well as outline further scenarios. Additional scenarios, including sample code, are given later in 12 Sample scenarios.

1.1.1. Registration

0355

0356

0357

- * On a phone:
 - + User navigates to example.com in a browser and signs in to an existing account using whatever method they have been using (possibly a legacy method such as a password), or creates a
 - + The phone prompts, "Do you want to register this device with example.com?"
 - + User agrees.
 - + The phone prompts the user for a previously configured authorization gesture (PIN, biometric, etc.); the user
 - + Website shows message, "Registration complete."

1.1.2. Authentication

- * On a laptop or desktop:
- + User navigates to example.com in a browser, sees an option to "Sign in with your phone."
- + User chooses this option and gets a message from the browser, "Please complete this action on your phone."
- * Next, on their phone:
- + User sees a discrete prompt or notification, "Sign in to example.com."

- + User selects this prompt / notification.
 + User is shown a list of their example.com identities, e.g.,
 "Sign in as Alice / Sign in as Bob."
 + User picks an identity, is prompted for an authorization
 gesture (PIN, biometric, etc.) and provides this.
- * Now, back on the laptop:
- + Web page shows that the selected user is signed-in, and navigates to the signed-in page.

1.1.3. Other use cases and configurations

A variety of additional use cases and configurations are also possible, including (but not limited to):

- * A user navigates to example.com on their laptop, is guided through a flow to create and register a credential on their phone.
- * A user obtains an discrete, roaming authenticator, such as a "fob" with USB or USB+NFC/BLE connectivity options, loads example.com in their browser on a laptop or phone, and is guided though a flow to create and register a credential on the fob.
- * A Relying Party prompts the user for their authorization gesture in order to authorize a single transaction, such as a payment or other financial transaction.

2. Conformance

This specification defines three conformance classes. Each of these classes is specified so that conforming members of the class are secure against non-conforming or hostile members of the other classes.

2.1. User Agents

A User Agent MUST behave as described by 5 Web Authentication API in order to be considered conformant. Conforming User Agents MAY implement algorithms given in this specification in any way desired, so long as the end result is indistinguishable from the result that would be obtained by the specification's algorithms.

A conforming User Agent MUST also be a conforming implementation of the IDL fragments of this specification, as described in the "Web IDL" specification. [WebIDL-1]

2.2. Authenticators

An authenticator MUST provide the operations defined by 6 WebAuthn Authenticator model, and those operations MUST behave as described there. This is a set of functional and security requirements for an authenticator to be usable by a Conforming User Agent.

- + User navigates to example.com in a browser and signs in to an existing account using whatever method they have been using (possibly a legacy method such as a password), or creates a
- new account. + The phone prompts, "Do you want to register this device with example.com?"
- + User agrees.

* On a phone:

0343

0344

0345

034€

0347

0348

0349

0350

0351

0352

0353

0354

0355 035€

0357

0358

0359

0360

0361

0362

0363

0364

0365

0366

0367

0368 0369

0370

0371

0372

0373

0374

0375

037€

0377

0378

0379

0380

0381

0382

0383

0384

0385

038€

0387

3880

0389

0390

0391

0392

0393

0394

0395

039€

0397

0398

0399

0400

0401

0402

0403

0404

0405

040€

0407

0408

0409

041

0411

0412

- + The phone prompts the user for a previously configured authorization gesture (PIN, biometric, etc.); the user provides this.
- + Website shows message, "Registration complete."

1.1.2. Authentication

- * On a laptop or desktop:
- + User navigates to example.com in a browser, sees an option to
- "Sign in with your phone."

 + User chooses this option and gets a message from the browser,
 "Please complete this action on your phone."
- * Next, on their phone:
- + User sees a discrete prompt or notification, "Sign in to example.com.'

- + User selects this prompt / notification.
 + User is shown a list of their example.com identities, e.g.,
 "Sign in as Alice / Sign in as Bob."
 + User picks an identity, is prompted for an authorization gesture (PIN, biometric, etc.) and provides this.

 * Now, back on the laptop:
- + Web page shows that the selected user is signed in, and navigates to the signed-in page.

1.1.3. Other use cases and configurations

A variety of additional use cases and configurations are also possible, including (but not limited to):

- * A user navigates to example.com on their laptop, is guided through a flow to create and register a credential on their phone.
- * A user obtains a discrete, roaming authenticator, such as a "fob" with USB or USB+NFC/BLE connectivity options, loads example.com in their browser on a laptop or phone, and is guided though a flow to create and register a credential on the fob.
- * A Relying Party prompts the user for their authorization gesture in order to authorize a single transaction, such as a payment or other financial transaction.

2. Conformance

This specification defines three conformance classes. Each of these classes is specified so that conforming members of the class are secure against non-conforming or hostile members of the other classes.

2.1. User Agents

A User Agent MUST behave as described by 5 Web Authentication API in order to be considered conformant. Conforming User Agents MAY implement algorithms given in this specification in any way desired, so long as the end result is indistinguishable from the result that would be obtained by the specification's algorithms.

A conforming User Agent MUST also be a conforming implementation of the IDL fragments of this specification, as described in the "Web IDL' specification. [WebIDL-1]

2.2. Authenticators

An authenticator MUST provide the operations defined by 6 WebAuthn Authenticator Model, and those operations MUST behave as described there. This is a set of functional and security requirements for an authenticator to be usable by a Conforming User Agent.

037€

038€

039€

040€

As described in 1.1 Use Cases, an authenticator may be implemented in the operating system underlying the User Agent, or in external hardware, or a combination of both.

2.3. Relying Parties

A Relying Party MUST behave as described in 7 Relying Party Operations to get the security benefits offered by this specification.

3. Dependencies

This specification relies on several other underlying specifications, listed below and in Terms defined by reference.

Base64url encoding

The term Base64url Encoding refers to the base64 encoding using the URL- and filename-safe character set defined in Section 5 of [RFC4648], with all trailing '=' characters omitted (as permitted by Section 3.2) and without the inclusion of any line breaks, whitespace, or other additional characters.

CBOR

A number of structures in this specification, including attestation statements and extensions, are encoded using the Compact Binary Object Representation (CBOR) [RFC7049].

CDDL

This specification describes the syntax of all CBOR-encoded data using the CBOR Data Definition Language (CDDL) [CDDL].

COSE

CBOR Object Signing and Encryption (COSE) [RFC8152]. The IANA COSE Algorithms registry established by this specification is also used.

Credential Management

The API described in this document is an extension of the Credential concept defined in [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1].

DO

DOMException and the DOMException values used in this specification are defined in [DOM4].

ECMAScript

%ArrayBuffer% is defined in [ECMAScript].

HTMI

The concepts of relevant settings object, origin, opaque origin, and is a registrable domain suffix of or is equal to are defined in [HTML52].

Web IDL

Many of the interface definitions and all of the IDL in this specification depend on [WebIDL-1]. This updated version of the

As described in 1.1 Use Cases, an authenticator may be implemented in the operating system underlying the User Agent, or in external hardware, or a combination of both.

2.2.1. Backwards Compatibility with FIDO U2F

Authenticators that only support the 8.6 FIDO U2F Attestation Statement Format have no mechanism to store a user handle, so the returned userHandle will always be null.

2.3. Relying Parties

042€

044€

045€

046€

047€

A Relying Party MUST behave as described in 7 Relying Party Operations to obtain the security benefits offered by this specification.

2.4. All Conformance Classes

All CBOR encoding performed by the members of the above conformance classes MUST be done using the CTAP2 canonical CBOR encoding form. All decoders of the above conformance classes SHOULD reject CBOR that is not validly encoded in the CTAP2 canonical CBOR encoding form and SHOULD reject messages with duplicate map keys.

3. Dependencies

This specification relies on several other underlying specifications, listed below and in Terms defined by reference.

Base64url encoding

The term Base64url Encoding refers to the base64 encoding using the URL- and filename-safe character set defined in Section 5 of [RFC4648], with all trailing '=' characters omitted (as permitted by Section 3.2) and without the inclusion of any line breaks, whitespace, or other additional characters.

CBOR

A number of structures in this specification, including attestation statements and extensions, are encoded using the CTAP2 canonical CBOR encoding form of the Compact Binary Object Representation (CBOR) [RFC7049], as defined in [FIDO-CTAP].

CDDL

This specification describes the syntax of all CBOR-encoded data using the CBOR Data Definition Language (CDDL) [CDDL].

COSE

CBOR Object Signing and Encryption (COSE) [RFC8152]. The IANA COSE Algorithms registry established by this specification is also used.

Credential Management

The API described in this document is an extension of the Credential concept defined in [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1].

DOM

DOMException and the DOMException values used in this specification are defined in [DOM4].

ECMAScript

%ArrayBuffer% is defined in [ECMAScript].

HTML

The concepts of relevant settings object, origin, opaque origin, and is a registrable domain suffix of or is equal to are defined in [HTML52].

Web IDL

Many of the interface definitions and all of the IDL in this specification depend on [WebIDL-1]. This updated version of the

044€

045€

046€

047€

Web IDL standard adds support for Promises, which are now the preferred mechanism for asynchronous interaction in all new web APIs.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

4. Terminology

Assertion

See Authentication Assertion.

Attestation

Generally, attestation is a statement serving to bear witness, confirm, or authenticate. In the WebAuthn context, attestation is employed to attest to the provenance of an authenticator and the data it emits; including, for example: credential IDs, credential key pairs, signature counters, etc. An attestation statement is conveyed in an attestation object during registration. See also 6.3 Attestation and Figure 3. Whether or how the client platform conveys the attestation statement and AAGUID portions of the attestation object to the Relying Party is described by attestation conveyance.

Attestation Certificate

A X.509 Certificate for the attestation key pair used by an authenticator to attest to its manufacture and capabilities. At registration time, the authenticator uses the attestation private key to sign the Relying Party-specific credential public key (and additional data) that it generates and returns via the authenticatorMakeCredential operation. Relying Parties use the attestation public key conveyed in the attestation certificate to verify the attestation signature. Note that in the case of self attestation, the authenticator has no distinct attestation key pair nor attestation certificate, see self attestation for details.

Authentication

The ceremony where a user, and the user's computing device(s) (containing at least one authenticator) work in concert to cryptographically prove to an Relying Party that the user controls the credential private key associated with a previously-registered public key credential (see Registration). Note that this includes a test of user presence or user verification.

Authentication Assertion

The cryptographically signed Authenticator Assertion Response object returned by an authenticator as the result of a authenticator Get Assertion operation.

This corresponds to the [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1] specification's single-use credentials.

Authenticator

A cryptographic entity used by a WebAuthn Client to (i) generate a public key credential and register it with a Relying Party, and (ii) authenticate by potentially verifying the user, and then cryptographically signing and returning, in the form of an Authentication Assertion, a challenge and other data presented by a Relying Party (in concert with the WebAuthn Client).

Authorization Gesture

Web IDL standard adds support for Promises, which are now the preferred mechanism for asynchronous interaction in all new web APIs.

FIDO AppID

048€

050€

051€

The algorithms for determining the FacetID of a calling application and determining if a caller's FacetID is authorized for an AppID (used only in the appid extension) are defined by [FIDO-APPID].

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

4. Terminology

Assertion

See Authentication Assertion.

Attestation

Generally, attestation is a statement serving to bear witness, confirm, or authenticate. In the WebAuthn context, attestation is employed to attest to the provenance of an authenticator and the data it emits; including, for example: credential IDs, credential key pairs, signature counters, etc. An attestation statement is conveyed in an attestation object during registration. See also 6.3 Attestation and Figure 3. Whether or how the client platform conveys the attestation statement and AAGUID portions of the attestation object to the Relying Party is described by attestation conveyance.

Attestation Certificate

A X.509 Certificate for the attestation key pair used by an authenticator to attest to its manufacture and capabilities. At registration time, the authenticator uses the attestation private key to sign the Relying Party-specific credential public key (and additional data) that it generates and returns via the authenticatorMakeCredential operation. Relying Parties use the attestation public key conveyed in the attestation certificate to verify the attestation signature. Note that in the case of self attestation, the authenticator has no distinct attestation key pair nor attestation certificate, see self attestation for details.

Authentication

The ceremony where a user, and the user's computing device(s) (containing at least one authenticator) work in concert to cryptographically prove to a Relying Party that the user controls the credential private key associated with a previously-registered public key credential (see Registration). Note that this includes a test of user presence or user verification.

Authentication Assertion

The cryptographically signed Authenticator Assertion Response object returned by an authenticator as the result of an authenticator Get Assertion operation.

This corresponds to the [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1] specification's single-use credentials.

Authenticator

A cryptographic entity used by a WebAuthn Client to (i) generate a public key credential and register it with a Relying Party, and (ii) authenticate by potentially verifying the user, and then cryptographically signing and returning, in the form of an Authentication Assertion, a challenge and other data presented by a Relying Party (in concert with the WebAuthn Client).

Authorization Gesture

049€

050€

0535

An authorization gesture is a physical interaction performed by a user with an authenticator as part of a ceremony, such as registration or authentication. By making such an authorization gesture, a user provides consent for (i.e., authorizes) a ceremony to proceed. This may involve user verification if the employed authenticator is capable, or it may involve a simple test of user presence.

Biometric Recognition

The automated recognition of individuals based on their biological and behavioral characteristics [ISOBiometricVocabulary].

Ceremony

The concept of a ceremony [Ceremony] is an extension of the concept of a network protocol, with human nodes alongside computer nodes and with communication links that include user interface(s), human-to-human communication, and transfers of physical objects that carry data. What is out-of-band to a protocol is in-band to a ceremony. In this specification, Registration and Authentication are ceremonies, and an authorization gesture is often a component of those ceremonies.

See Conforming User Agent.

Client-Side

This refers in general to the combination of the user's platform device, user agent, authenticators, and everything gluing it all toaether.

Client-side-resident Credential Private Key

A Client-side-resident Credential Private Key is stored either A Client-side-resident Credential Private Key is stored either on the client platform, or in some cases on the authenticator itself, e.g., in the case of a discrete first-factor roaming authenticator. Such client-side credential private key storage has the property that the authenticator is able to select the credential private key given only an RP ID, possibly with user assistance (e.g., by providing the user a pick list of credentials associated with the RP ID). By definition, the private key is always exclusively controlled by the Authenticator. In the case of a Client-side-resident Credential Private Key, the Authenticator might offload storage of wrappe Private Key, the Authenticator might offload storage of wrapped key material to the client platform, but the client platform is not expected to offload the key storage to remote entities (e.g. RP Server).

Conforming User Agent

A user agent implementing, in conjunction with the underlying platform, the Web Authentication API and algorithms given in this specification, and handling communication between authenticators and Relying Parties.

Credential ID

A probabilistically-unique byte sequence identifying a public key credential source and its authentication assertions.

Credential IDs are generated by authenticators in two forms:

- 1. At least 16 bytes that include at least 100 bits of entropy,
- 2. The public key credential source, without its Credential ID, encrypted so only its managing authenticator can decrypt it. This form allows the authenticator to be nearly stateless, by having the Relying Party store any necessary state.

 Note: [FIDO-UAF-AUTHNR-CMDS] includes guidance on encryption techniques under "Security Guidelines".

An authorization gesture is a physical interaction performed by a user with an authenticator as part of a ceremony, such as registration or authentication. By making such an authorization gesture, a user provides consent for (i.e., authorizes) a ceremony to proceed. This MAY involve user verification if the employed authenticator is capable, or it MAY involve a simple test of user presence.

Biometric Recognition

The automated recognition of individuals based on their biological and behavioral characteristics [ISOBiometricVocabulary].

Biometric Authenticator

Any authenticator that implements biometric recognition.

055€

0558

057€

058€

059€

The concept of a ceremony [Ceremony] is an extension of the concept of a network protocol, with human nodes alongside computer nodes and with communication links that include user interface(s), human-to-human communication, and transfers of physical objects that carry data. What is out-of-band to a protocol is in-band to a ceremony. In this specification, Registration and Authentication are ceremonies, and an authorization gesture is often a component of those ceremonies.

Client

See WebAuthn Client, Conforming User Agent.

Client-Side

This refers in general to the combination of the user's platform device, user agent, authenticators, and everything gluing it all toaether.

Client-side-resident Credential Private Key

A Client-side-resident Credential Private Key is stored either A Client-side-resident Credential Private Key is stored either on the client platform, or in some cases on the authenticator itself, e.g., in the case of a discrete first-factor roaming authenticator. Such client-side credential private key storage has the property that the authenticator is able to select the credential private key given only an RP ID, possibly with user assistance (e.g., by providing the user a pick list of credentials associated with the RP ID). By definition, the private key is always exclusively controlled by the Authenticator. In the case of a Client-side-resident Credential Private Key, the Authenticator might offload storage of wrapped key material to the client platform but the client platform is key material to the client platform, but the client platform is not expected to offload the key storage to remote entities (e.g. RP Server).

Conforming User Agent

A user agent implementing, in conjunction with the underlying platform, the Web Authentication API and algorithms given in this specification, and handling communication between authenticators and Relying Parties.

Credential ID

A probabilistically-unique byte sequence identifying a public key credential source and its authentication assertions.

Credential IDs are generated by authenticators in two forms:

- 1. At least 16 bytes that include at least 100 bits of entropy,
- 2. The public key credential source, without its Credential ID, encrypted so only its managing authenticator can decrypt it. This form allows the authenticator to be nearly stateless, by having the Relying Party store any necessary state. Note: [FIDO-UAF-AUTHNR-CMDS] includes guidance on encryption techniques under "Security Guidelines".

0555

055€

0557

0558

0559

0560

0561

0562

0567

0568

0570

0571

0572

0573

0574

0575

057€

0577

0578

0579

0580

0581

0582

0583

0584

0585

0586

0587

0588

0589

0590

0591

0592

0593

Relying Parties do not need to distinguish these two Credential ID forms.

Credential Public Kev

The public key portion of an Relying Party-specific credential key pair, generated by an authenticator and returned to an Relying Party at registration time (see also public key credential). The private key portion of the credential key pair is known as the credential private key. Note that in the case of self attestation, the credential key pair is also used as the attestation key pair, see self attestation for details.

Public Key Credential Source

A credential source ([CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1]) used by an authenticator to generate authentication assertions. A public key credential source has:

+ A Credential ID.

+ A credential private key.
 + The Relying Party Identifier for the Relying Party that created this credential source.

+ An optional user handle for the person who created this credential source.

+ Optional other information used by the authenticator to inform its UI. For example, this might include the user's

displayName.

The authenticatorMakeCredential operation creates a public key credential source bound to a managing authenticator and returns the credential public key associated with its credential private key. The Relying Party can use this credential public key to verify the authentication assertions created by this public key credential source.

Public Key Credential

Generically, a credential is data one entity presents to another in order to authenticate the former to the latter [RFC4949]. The term public key credential refers to one of: a public key credential source, the possibly-attested credential public key corresponding to a public key credential source, or an authentication assertion. Which one is generally determined by context.

Note: This is a willful violation of [RFC4949]. In English, a "credential" is both a) the thing presented to prove a statement and b) intended to be used multiple times. It's impossible to achieve both criteria securely with a single piece of data in a public key system. [RFC4949] chooses to define a credential as the thing that can be used multiple times (the public key).

Relying Parties do not need to distinguish these two Credential ID forms.

Credential Public Kev

0623

0624

0625

062€

0627

0628 0629

0630

0631

0632 0633

0634

0635

0636

0641

0642

0647

0658 0659 0660

0665 0666 0667

0668 0669

0670

0671

0672

0673

0674

0675

067€

0677

0678

0679

0680

0681

0682

0683

0684

0685

068€

0687

3890

0689

0690

0691

0692

The public key portion of a Relying Party-specific credential key pair, generated by an authenticator and returned to a Relying Party at registration time (see also public key credential). The private key portion of the credential key pair is known as the credential private key. Note that in the case of self attestation, the credential key pair is also used as the attestation key pair, see self attestation for details.

Human Palatability

An identifier that is human-palatable is intended to be rememberable and reproducible by typical human users, in contrast to identifiers that are, for example, randomly generated sequences of bits [EduPersonObjectClassSpec].

Public Key Credential Source

A credential source ([CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1]) used by an authenticator to generate authentication assertions. A public key credential source consists of a struct with the following items:

type

whose value is of PublicKeyCredentialType, defaulting to public-key.

id

A Credential ID.

privateKev

The credential private key.

The Relying Party Identifier, for the Relying Party this public key credential source is associated with.

The user handle associated when this public key credential source was created. This item is nullable.

otherUl

Optional other information used by the authenticator to inform its UI. For example, this might include the user's displayName.

The authenticatorMakeCredential operation creates a public key credential source bound to a managing authenticator and returns the credential public key associated with its credential private key. The Relying Party can use this credential public key to verify the authentication assertions created by this public key credential source.

Public Key Credential

Generically, a credential is data one entity presents to another in order to authenticate the former to the latter [RFC4949]. The term public key credential refers to one of: a public key credential source, the possibly-attested credential public key corresponding to a public key credential source, or an authentication assertion. Which one is generally determined by context.

Note: This is a willful violation of [RFC4949]. In English, a "credential" is both a) the thing presented to prove a statement and b) intended to be used multiple times. It's impossible to achieve both criteria securely with a single piece of data in a public key system. [RFC4949] chooses to define a credential as the thing that can be used multiple times (the public key),

while this specification gives "credential" the English term's flexibility. This specification uses more specific terms to identify the data related to an [RFC4949] credential:

"Authentication information" (possibly including a private key)
Public key credential source

"Signed value"
Authentication assertion

059€

060€

063€

065€

[RFC4949] "credential"
Credential public key or attestation object

At registration time, the authenticator creates an asymmetric key pair, and stores its private key portion and information from the Relying Party into a public key credential source. The public key portion is returned to the Relying Party, who then stores it in conjunction with the present user's account. Subsequently, only that Relying Party, as identified by its RP ID, is able to employ the public key credential in authentication ceremonies, via the get() method. The Relying Party uses its stored copy of the credential public key to verify the resultant authentication assertion.

Rate Limiting

The process (also known as throttling) by which an authenticator implements controls against brute force attacks by limiting the number of consecutive failed authentication attempts within a given period of time. If the limit is reached, the authenticator should impose a delay that increases exponentially with each successive attempt, or disable the current authentication modality and offer a different authentication factor if available. Rate limiting is often implemented as an aspect of user verification.

Registration

The ceremony where a user, a Relying Party, and the user's computing device(s) (containing at least one authenticator) work in concert to create a public key credential and associate it with the user's Relying Party account. Note that this includes employing a test of user presence or user verification.

Relving Party

The entity whose web application utilizes the Web Authentication API to register and authenticate users. See Registration and Authentication, respectively.

Note: While the term Relying Party is used in other contexts (e.g., X.509 and OAuth), an entity acting as a Relying Party in one context is not necessarily a Relying Party in other contexts.

Relying Party Identifier RP ID

A valid domain string that identifies the Relying Party on whose behalf a given registration or authentication ceremony is being performed. A public key credential can only be used for authentication with the same entity (as identified by RP ID) it was registered with. By default, the RP ID for a WebAuthn operation is set to the caller's origin's effective domain. This default MAY be overridden by the caller, as long as the caller-specified RP ID value is a registrable domain suffix of or is equal to the caller's origin's effective domain. See also 5.1.3 Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method and 5.1.4 Use an existing credential to make an assertion - PublicKeyCredential's [[Get]](options) method.

Note: A Public key credential's scope is for a Relying Party's origin, with the following restrictions and relaxations:

while this specification gives "credential" the English term's flexibility. This specification uses more specific terms to identify the data related to an [RFC4949] credential:

"Authentication information" (possibly including a private key)
Public key credential source

"Signed value"
Authentication assertion

[RFC4949] "credential"
Credential public key or attestation object

At registration time, the authenticator creates an asymmetric key pair, and stores its private key portion and information from the Relying Party into a public key credential source. The public key portion is returned to the Relying Party, who then stores it in conjunction with the present user's account. Subsequently, only that Relying Party, as identified by its RP ID, is able to employ the public key credential in authentication ceremonies, via the get() method. The Relying Party uses its stored copy of the credential public key to verify the resultant authentication assertion.

Rate Limiting

069€

070€

072€

073€

074€

075€

The process (also known as throttling) by which an authenticator implements controls against brute force attacks by limiting the number of consecutive failed authentication attempts within a given period of time. If the limit is reached, the authenticator should impose a delay that increases exponentially with each successive attempt, or disable the current authentication modality and offer a different authentication factor if available. Rate limiting is often implemented as an aspect of user verification.

Registration

The ceremony where a user, a Relying Party, and the user's computing device(s) (containing at least one authenticator) work in concert to create a public key credential and associate it with the user's Relying Party account. Note that this includes employing a test of user presence or user verification.

Relving Party

The entity whose web application utilizes the Web Authentication API to register and authenticate users. See Registration and Authentication, respectively.

Note: While the term Relying Party is used in other contexts (e.g., X.509 and OAuth), an entity acting as a Relying Party in one context is not necessarily a Relying Party in other contexts.

Relying Party Identifier RP ID

A valid domain string that identifies the Relying Party on whose behalf a given registration or authentication ceremony is being performed. A public key credential can only be used for authentication with the same entity (as identified by RP ID) it was registered with. By default, the RP ID for a WebAuthn operation is set to the caller's origin's effective domain. This default MAY be overridden by the caller, as long as the caller-specified RP ID value is a registrable domain suffix of or is equal to the caller's origin's effective domain. See also 5.1.3 Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method and 5.1.4 Use an existing credential to make an assertion - PublicKeyCredential's [[Get]](options) method.

Note: A Public key credential's scope is for a Relying Party's origin, with the following restrictions and relaxations:

- + The scheme is always https (i.e., a restriction), and, + the host may be equal to the Relying Party's origin's effective domain, or it may be equal to a registrable domain suffix of the Relying Party's origin's effective domain (i.e., an available relaxation), and, + all (TCP) ports on that host (i.e., a relaxation).

This is done in order to match the behavior of pervasively deployed ambient credentials (e.g., cookies, [RFC6265]). Please note that this is a greater relaxation of "same-origin" restrictions than what document.domain's setter provides.

Test of User Presence

A test of user presence is a simple form of authorization gesture and technical process where a user interacts with an authenticator by (typically) simply touching it (other modalities may also exist), yielding a boolean result. Note that this does not constitute user verification because a user presence test, by definition, is not capable of biometric recognition, nor does it involve the presentation of a shared secret such as a password or PIN.

User Consent

User consent means the user agrees with what they are being asked, i.e., it encompasses reading and understanding prompts. An authorization gesture is a ceremony component often employed to indicate user consent.

User Handle

The user handle is specified by a Relying Party and is a unique identifier for a user account with that Relying Party. A user handle is an opaque byte sequence with a maximum size of 64 bytes.

The user handle is not meant to be displayed to the user, but is used by the Relying Party to control the number of credentials an authenticator will never contain more than one credential for a given Relying Party under the same user handle.

User Verification

er Verification
The technical process by which an authenticator locally authorizes the invocation of the authenticatorMakeCredential and authenticatorGetAssertion operations. User verification may be instigated through various authorization gesture modalities; for example, through a touch plus pin code, password entry, or biometric recognition (e.g., presenting a fingerprint) [ISOBiometricVocabulary]. The intent is to be able to distinguish individual users. Note that invocation of the authenticatorMakeCredential and authenticatorGetAssertion operations implies use of key material managed by the operations implies use of key material managed by the authenticator. Note that for security, user verification and use of credential private keys must occur within a single logical security boundary defining the authenticator.

User Present

UP

Upon successful completion of a user presence test, the user is said to be "present".

User Verified

0725

0726

0727

0728

0729

0730

0731

Upon successful completion of a user verification process, the user is said to be "verified".

WebAuthn Client

Also referred to herein as simply a client. See also Conforming User Agent.

+ The scheme is always https (i.e., a restriction), and, + the host may be equal to the Relying Party's origin's effective domain, or it may be equal to a registrable domain suffix of the Relying Party's origin's effective domain (i.e., an available relaxation), and, + all (TCP) ports on that host (i.e., a relaxation).

This is done in order to match the behavior of pervasively deployed ambient credentials (e.g., cookies, [RFC6265]). Please note that this is a greater relaxation of "same-origin" restrictions than what document.domain's setter provides.

Test of User Presence

A test of user presence is a simple form of authorization gesture and technical process where a user interacts with an authenticator by (typically) simply touching it (other modalities may also exist), yielding a boolean result. Note that this does not constitute user verification because a user presence test, by definition, is not capable of biometric recognition, nor does it involve the presentation of a shared secret such as a password or PIN.

User Consent

0764

0765

076€

0767

0768

0769 0770

0771

0772

0773

0774

0775

077€

0777

0778

0779

0780

0781

0782

0783

0784

0785

078€

0787

0788

0789

0790

0791 0792

0793

0794

0795

079€

0797

0798

0799

0800

0801

0802

0803

0804

0805

9080

0807

3080

9080

0810

0811

0812

0813

0814

0815

081€

0817

0818

0819

0820

0821

0822

0823

0824

0825

0826

0827 0828

0829

0830

0831 0832

User consent means the user agrees with what they are being asked, i.e., it encompasses reading and understanding prompts. An authorization gesture is a ceremony component often employed to indicate user consent.

User Handle

The user handle is specified by a Relying Party and is a unique identifier for a user account with that Relying Party. A user handle is an opaque byte sequence with a maximum size of 64 bytes.

The user handle is not meant to be displayed to the user, but is used by the Relying Party to control the number of credentials an authenticator will never contain more than one credential for a given Relying Party under the same user handle.

User Verification

er Verification
The technical process by which an authenticator locally authorizes the invocation of the authenticatorMakeCredential and authenticatorGetAssertion operations. User verification MAY be instigated through various authorization gesture modalities; for example, through a touch plus pin code, password entry, or biometric recognition (e.g., presenting a fingerprint) [ISOBiometricVocabulary]. The intent is to be able to distinguish individual users. Note that invocation of the authenticatorMakeCredential and authenticatorGetAssertion operations implies use of key material managed by the operations implies use of key material managed by the authenticator. Note that for security, user verification and use of credential private keys must occur within a single logical security boundary defining the authenticator.

User Present ÜP

Upon successful completion of a user presence test, the user is said to be "present".

User Verified

Upon successful completion of a user verification process, the user is said to be "verified".

WebAuthn Client

Also referred to herein as simply a client. See also Conforming User Agent. A WebAuthn Client is an intermediary entity typically implemented in the user agent (in whole, or in part). Conceptually, it underlies the Web Authentication API and

073€ 0738 074€ 0750 075€ 076€ 077€ 078€

5. Web Authentication API

This section normatively specifies the API for creating and using public key credentials. The basic idea is that the creating and using public key credentials. The basic idea is that the credentials belong to the user and are managed by an authenticator, with which the Relying Party interacts through the client (consisting of the browser and underlying OS platform). Scripts can (with the user's consent) request the browser to create a new credential for future use by the Relying Party. Scripts can also request the user's permission to perform authentication operations with an existing credential. All such operations are performed in the authenticator and are mediated by the browser and/or platform on the user's behalf. At no point does the script get access to the credentials themselves: it only gets script get access to the credentials themselves; it only gets information about the credentials in the form of objects.

In addition to the above script interface, the authenticator may implement (or come with client software that implements) a user interface for management. Such an interface may be used, for example, to reset the authenticator to a clean state or to inspect the current state of the authenticator. In other words, such an interface is similar to the user interfaces provided by browsers for managing user state such as history, saved passwords and cookies. Authenticator management actions such as credential deletion are considered to be the responsibility of such a user interface and are deliberately omitted. responsibility of such a user interface and are deliberately omitted from the API exposed to scripts.

The security properties of this API are provided by the client and the authenticator working together. The authenticator, which holds and manages credentials, ensures that all operations are scoped to a particular origin, and cannot be replayed against a different origin, by incorporating the origin in its responses. Specifically, as defined in 6.2 Authenticator operations, the full origin of the requester is included, and signed over, in the attestation object produced when a new credential is created as well as in all assertions produced by WebAuthn credentials.

Additionally, to maintain user privacy and prevent malicious Relying Parties from probing for the presence of public key credentials belonging to other Relying Parties, each credential is also associated with a Relying Party Identifier, or RP ID. This RP ID is provided by the client to the authenticator for all operations, and the authenticator ensures that credentials created by a Relying Party can only be used in operations requested by the same RP ID. Separating the origin from the RP ID in this way allows the API to be used in cases where a single Relying Party maintains multiple origins where a single Relying Party maintains multiple origins.

The client facilitates these security measures by providing the Relying Party's origin and RP ID to the authenticator for each operation. Since this is an integral part of the WebAuthn security model, user agents only expose this API to callers in secure contexts.

The Web Authentication API is defined by the union of the Web IDL fragments presented in the following sections. A combined IDL listing is given in the IDL Index.

5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface

The PublicKeyCredential interface inherits from Credential [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1], and contains the attributes that are returned to the caller when a new credential is created, or a new assertion is requested. [SecureContext, Exposed=Window]

embodies the implementation of the [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) and [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) internal methods. It is responsible for both marshalling the inputs for the underlying authenticator operations, and for returning the results of the latter operations to the Web Authentication API's callers.

5. Web Authentication API

084€

0859

086€

087€

089€

This section normatively specifies the API for creating and using public key credentials. The basic idea is that the credentials belong public key credentials. The basic idea is that the credentials belong to the user and are managed by an authenticator, with which the Relying Party interacts through the client (consisting of the browser and underlying OS platform). Scripts can (with the user's consent) request the browser to create a new credential for future use by the Relying Party. Scripts can also request the user's permission to perform authentication operations with an existing credential. All such operations are performed in the authenticator and are mediated by the browser and/or platform on the user's behalf. At no point does the script cat access to the credentials themselves: it only gets script get access to the credentials themselves; it only gets information about the credentials in the form of objects.

In addition to the above script interface, the authenticator MAY implement (or come with client software that implements) a user interface for management. Such an interface MAY be used, for example, to reset the authenticator to a clean state or to inspect the current state of the authenticator. In other words, such an interface is similar to the user interfaces provided by browsers for managing user state such as history, saved passwords, and cookies. Authenticator management actions such as credential deletion are considered to be the responsibility of such a user interface and are deliberately omitted from the API exposed to scripts. from the API exposed to scripts.

The security properties of this API are provided by the client and the authenticator working together. The authenticator, which holds and manages credentials, ensures that all operations are scoped to a particular origin, and cannot be replayed against a different origin, by incorporating the origin in its responses. Specifically, as defined in 6.2 Authenticator operations, the full origin of the requester is included, and signed over, in the attestation object produced when a new credential is created as well as in all assertions produced by WebAuthn credentials.

Additionally, to maintain user privacy and prevent malicious Relying Parties from probing for the presence of public key credentials belonging to other Relying Parties, each credential is also associated with a Relying Party Identifier, or RP ID. This RP ID is provided by the client to the authenticator for all operations, and the authenticator ensures that credentials created by a Relying Party can only be used in operations requested by the same RP ID. Separating the origin from the RP ID in this way allows the API to be used in cases where a single Relying Party maintains multiple origins where a single Relying Party maintains multiple origins.

The client facilitates these security measures by providing the Relying Party's origin and RP ID to the authenticator for each operation. Since this is an integral part of the WebAuthn security model, user agents only expose this API to callers in secure contexts.

The Web Authentication API is defined by the union of the Web IDL fragments presented in the following sections. A combined IDL listing is given in the IDL Index.

5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface

The PublicKeyCredential interface inherits from Credential [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1], and contains the attributes that are returned to the caller when a new credential is created, or a new assertion is requested. [SecureContext, Exposed=Window]

interface PublicKeyCredential: Credential { [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer rawld; [SameObject] readonly attribute AuthenticatorResponse response; AuthenticationExtensions getClientExtensionResults(); id This attribute is inherited from Credential, though PublicKeyCredential overrides Credential's getter, instead returning the base64url encoding of the data contained in the object's [[identifier]] internal slot. rawld This attribute returns the ArrayBuffer contained in the [[identifier]] internal slot. response, of type AuthenticatorResponse, readonly
This attribute contains the authenticator's response to the
client's request to either create a public key credential, or
generate an authentication assertion. If the PublicKeyCredential is created in response to create(), this attribute's value will be an AuthenticatorAttestationResponse, otherwise, the PublicKeyCredential was created in response to get(), and this attribute's value will be an Authenticator Assertion Response. getClientExtensionResults() This operation returns the value of [[clientExtensionsResults]], which is a map containing extension identifier -> client extension output entries produced by the extension's client extension processing. The PublicKeyCredential interface object's [[type]] internal slot's value is the string "public-key". Note: This is reflected via the type attribute getter inherited from Credential. [[discovery]] The PublicKeyCredential interface object's [[discovery]] internal slot's value is "remote". [[identifier]]

In this internal slot contains an identifier for the credential, chosen by the platform with help from the authenticator. This identifier is used to look up credentials for use, and is therefore expected to be globally unique with high probability across all credentials of the same type, across all authenticators. This API does not constrain the format or length of this identifier, except that it must be sufficient for the platform to uniquely select a key. For example, an authenticator without on-board storage may create identifiers containing a credential private key wrapped with a symmetric key that is burned into the authenticator. burned into the authenticator.

[[clientExtensionsResults]] This internal slot contains the results of processing client extensions requested by the Relying Party upon the Relying Party's invocation of either navigator.credentials.create() or navigator.credentials.get().

PublicKeyCredential's interface object inherits Credential's implementation of [[CollectFromCredentialStore]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), and defines its own implementation of [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), and [[Store]](credential, sameOriginWithAncestors).

interface PublicKeyCredential: Credential {
 [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer rawld;
 [SameObject] readonly attribute AuthenticatorResponse response;
 AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs getClientExtensionResults(); id This attribute is inherited from Credential, though PublicKeyCredential overrides Credential's getter, instead returning the base64url encoding of the data contained in the object's [[identifier]] internal slot. rawld This attribute returns the ArrayBuffer contained in the [[identifier]] internal slot. response, of type Authenticator Response, readonly This attribute contains the authenticator's response to the client's request to either create a public key credential, or generate an authentication assertion. If the PublicKeyCredential is created in response to create(), this attribute's value will be an AuthenticatorAttestationResponse, otherwise, the PublicKeyCredential was created in response to get(), and this attribute's value will be an Authenticator Assertion Response. getClientExtensionResults() This operation returns the value of [[clientExtensionsResults]], which is a map containing extension identifier -> client extension output entries produced by the extension's client extension processing. The PublicKeyCredential interface object's [[type]] internal slot's value is the string "public-key". Note: This is reflected via the type attribute getter inherited from Credential. [[discovery]] The PublicKeyCredential interface object's [[discovery]] internal slot's value is "remote". [[identifier]] This internal slot contains the credential ID, chosen by the platform with help from the authenticator. The credential ID is used to look up credentials for use, and is therefore expected to be globally unique with high probability across all credentials of the same type, across all authenticators. Note: This API does not constrain the format or length of this identifier, except that it MUST be sufficient for the platform to uniquely select a key. For example, an authenticator without on-board storage may create identifiers containing a credential private key wrapped with a symmetric key that is burned into the authenticator. [[clientExtensionsResults]] This internal slot contains the results of processing client extensions requested by the Relying Party upon the Relying Party's invocation of either navigator.credentials.create() or navigator.credentials.get(). PublicKeyCredential's interface object inherits Credential's implementation of [[CollectFromCredentialStore]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), and defines its own implementation of

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), and [[Store]](credential,

sameOriginWithAncestors).

```
/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-5e63e57-WD-07.txt, Top line: 864
                  5.1.1. CredentialCreationOptions Extension
              To support registration via navigator.credentials.create(), this document extends the CredentialCreationOptions dictionary as follows: partial dictionary CredentialCreationOptions {
                  MakePublicKeyCredentialOptions publicKey;
                  5.1.2. CredentialRequestOptions Extension
                 To support obtaining assertions via navigator.credentials.get(), this document extends the CredentialRequestOptions dictionary as follows:
              5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[Create]](origin,
                  options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method
                 PublicKeyCredential's interface object's implementation of the
                [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) internal method [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1] allows Relying Party scripts to call navigator.credentials.create() to request the creation of a new public key credential source, bound to an authenticator. This navigator.credentials.create() operation can be aborted by leveraging the AbortController; see DOM 3.3 Using AbortController and AbortSignal objects in APIs for detailed instructions.
                 This internal method accepts three arguments:
                        This argument is the relevant settings object's origin, as
                       determined by the calling create() implementation.
                        This argument is a CredentialCreationOptions object whose
                       options.publicKey member contains a

MakePublicKeyCredentialOptions object specifying the desired attributes of the to-be-created public key credential.
                 sameOriginWithAncestors
                        This argument is a boolean which is true if and only if the
                        caller's environment settings object is same-origin with its
                        ancestors.
                 Note: This algorithm is synchronous: the Promise resolution/rejection
                 is handled by navigator.credentials.create().
                 When this method is invoked, the user agent MUST execute the following
                 algorithm:

    1. Assert: options.publicKey is present.
    2. If sameOriginWithAncestors is false, return a "NotAllowedError"

                    Note: This "sameOriginWithAncestors" restriction aims to address the concern raised in the Origin Confusion section of [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1], while allowing Relying Party script access to Web Authentication functionality, e.g., when running in a secure context framed document that is same-origin with its
```

secure context framed document that is same-origin with its ancestors. However, in the future, this specification (in conjunction with [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1]) may provide Relying Parties with more fine-grained control--e.g., ranging from allowing only top-level access to Web Authentication functionality, to allowing cross-origin embedded cases--by leveraging [Feature-Policy] once the latter specification becomes stably implemented in user agents.

3. Let options be the value of options.publicKey.

4. If the timeout member of options is present, check if its value lies within a reasonable range as defined by the platform and if

lies within a reasonable range as defined by the platform and if

not, correct it to the closest value lying within that range. Set a

0974 5.1.1. Credential Creation Options Dictionary Extension To support registration via navigator.credentials.create(), this document extends the CredentialCreationOptions dictionary as follows: partial dictionary CredentialCreationOptions { 097€ PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions publicKey; 5.1.2. CredentialRequestOptions Dictionary Extension To support obtaining assertions via navigator.credentials.get(), this document extends the CredentialRequestOptions dictionary as follows: partial dictionary CredentialRequestOptions { PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions publicKey; 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method PublicKeyCredential's interface object's implementation of the [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) internal method [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1] allows Relying Party scripts to call navigator.credentials.create() to request the creation of a new public key credential source, bound to an authenticator. This navigator.credentials.create() operation can be aborted by leveraging the AbortController; see DOM 3.3 Using AbortController and AbortSignal objects in APIs for detailed instructions. This internal method accepts three arguments: This argument is the relevant settings object's origin, as determined by the calling create() implementation. This argument is a CredentialCreationOptions object whose options.publicKey member contains a PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions object specifying the desired attributes of the to-be-created public key credential. sameOriginWithAncestors This argument is a boolean which is true if and only if the caller's environment settings object is same-origin with its ancestors. Note: This algorithm is synchronous: the Promise resolution/rejection is handled by navigator.credentials.create(). When this method is invoked, the user agent MUST execute the following algorithm: Assert: options.publicKey is present.
 If sameOriginWithAncestors is false, return a "NotAllowedError". DOMException.
Note: This "sameOriginWithAncestors" restriction aims to address the concern raised in the Origin Confusion section of [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1], while allowing Relying Party script access to Web Authentication functionality, e.g., when running in a secure context framed document that is same-origin with its ancestors. However, in the future, this specification (in conjunction with [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1]) may provide Relying Parties with more fine-grained control--e.g., ranging from allowing only top-level access to Web Authentication functionality, to allowing cross-origin embedded cases--by leveraging [Feature-Policy] once the latter specification becomes stably implemented in user agents. DOMException. implemented in user agents.

3. Let options be the value of options.publicKey.

4. If the timeout member of options is present, check if its value lies within a reasonable range as defined by the platform and if not, correct it to the closest value lying within that range. Set a

```
/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-5e63e57-WD-07.txt, Top line: 934
                  timer lifetimeTimer to this adjusted value. If the timeout member
0935
                  of options is not present, then set lifetimeTimer to a
093€
                  platform-specific default.
               5. Let callerOrigin be origin. If callerOrigin is an opaque origin, return a DOMException whose name is "NotAllowedError", and
0937
0938
0939
                  terminate this algorithm.
               6. Let effectiveDomain be the callerOrigin's effective domain. If effective domain is not a valid domain, then return a DOMException
0940
0941
0942
                  whose name is "SecurityError" and terminate this algorithm.
0943
                  Note: An effective domain may resolve to a host, which can be
0944
                  represented in various manners, such as domain, ipv4 address, ipv6
0945
                  address, opaque host, or empty host. Only the domain format of host
0946
                  is allowed here.
0947
                7. If options.rp.id
0948
0949
                   Is present
0950
                         If options.rp.id is not a registrable domain suffix of and
0951
                         is not equal to effectiveDomain, return a DOMException
0952
                         whose name is "SecurityError", and terminate this
0953
                         algorithm.
0954
0955
                   Is not present
095€
                         Set options.rp.id to effectiveDomain.
0957
0958
                  Note: options.rp.id represents the caller's RP ID. The RP ID defaults to being the caller's origin's effective domain unless the
0959
               caller has explicitly set options.rp.id when calling create().

8. Let credTypesAndPubKeyAlgs be a new list whose items are pairs of PublicKeyCredentialType and a COSEAlgorithmIdentifier.

9. For each current of options.pubKeyCredParams:

1. If current.type does not contain a PublicKeyCredentialType
0960
0961
0962
0963
0964
0965
                      supported by this implementation, then continue.
0966
                    2. Let alg be current.alg.
0967
                   3. Append the pair of current type and alg to credTypesAndPubKeyAlgs.
0968
              10. If credTypesAndPubKeyAlgs is empty and options.pubKeyCredParams is not empty, return a DOMException whose name is "NotSupportedError",
0969
0970
0971
                  and terminate this algorithm.
0972
               11. Let clientExtensions be a new map and let authenticatorExtensions
0973
0974
               12. If the extensions member of options is present, then for each
                 extensionId -> clientExtensionInput of options.extensions:

1. If extensionId is not supported by this client platform or is not a registration extension, then continue.
0975
0976
0977
                   2. Set clientExtensions[extensionId] to clientExtensionInput.
3. If extensionId is not an authenticator extension, then
0978
0979
0980
                      continue.
0981
                    4. Let authenticator Extension Input be the (CBOR) result of
                      running extensionId's client extension processing algorithm on clientExtensionInput. If the algorithm returned an error,
0982
0983
0984
                   5. Set authenticatorExtensions[extensionId] to the base64url encoding of authenticatorExtensionInput.
0985
0986
0987
               13. Let collectedClientData be a new CollectedClientData instance whose
3860
                  fields are:
989
0990
0991
                         The string "webauthn.create".
0992
0993
0994
                         The base64url encoding of options.challenge.
0995
099€
                   origin
0997
                         The serialization of callerOrigin.
3660
0999
                         The recognized algorithm name of the hash algorithm selected by the client for generating the hash of the
1000
1001
1002
                         serialized client data.
1003
```

```
timer lifetimeTimer to this adjusted value. If the timeout member
1044
                   of options is not present, then set lifetimeTimer to a platform-specific default.
1045
                5. Let callerOrigin be origin. If callerOrigin is an opaque origin, return a DOMException whose name is "NotAllowedError", and
104€
1047
1048
                   terminate this algorithm.
                6. Let effectiveDomain be the callerOrigin's effective domain. If effective domain is not a valid domain, then return a DOMException
1049
1050
1051
                   whose name is "SecurityError" and terminate this algorithm.
1052
                   Note: An effective domain may resolve to a host, which can be
1053
                   represented in various manners, such as domain, ipv4 address, ipv6
1054
                   address, opaque host, or empty host. Only the domain format of host
1055
105€
                7. If options.rp.id
1057
1058
                    Is present
1059
                           If options.rp.id is not a registrable domain suffix of and
1060
                           is not equal to effectiveDomain, return a DOMException
1061
                           whose name is "SecurityError", and terminate this
1062
                           algorithm.
1063
1064
                    Is not present
1065
                           Set options.rp.id to effectiveDomain.
1066
                Note: options.rp.id represents the caller's RP ID. The RP ID defaults to being the caller's origin's effective domain unless the caller has explicitly set options.rp.id when calling create().

8. Let credTypesAndPubKeyAlgs be a new list whose items are pairs of PublicKeyCredentialType and a COSEAlgorithmIdentifier.

9. For each current of options.pubKeyCredParams:

1. If current.type does not contain a PublicKeyCredentialType supported by a current alg.
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
                     2. Let alg be current.alg.
                    3. Append the pair of current type and alg to
107€
1077
                       credTypesAndPubKeyAlgs.
               10. If credTypesAndPubKeyAlgs is empty and options.pubKeyCredParams is not empty, return a DOMException whose name is "NotSupportedError",
1078
1079
1080
                   and terminate this algorithm.
1081
                11. Let clientExtensions be a new map and let authenticatorExtensions
1082
                12. If the extensions member of options is present, then for each
1083
                  extensionId -> clientExtensionInput of options.extensions:

1. If extensionId is not supported by this client platform or is not a registration extension, then continue.
1084
1085
108€
                    2. Set clientExtensions[extensionId] to clientExtensionInput.
3. If extensionId is not an authenticator extension, then
1087
1088
1089
                       continue.
1090
                     4. Let authenticatorExtensionInput be the (CBOR) result of
                       running extensionId's client extension processing algorithm on clientExtensionInput. If the algorithm returned an error,
1091
1092
1093
1094
                     5. Set authenticatorExtensions[extensionId] to the base64url
1095
               encoding of authenticatorExtensionInput.

13. Let collectedClientData be a new CollectedClientData instance whose
1096
1097
                   fields are:
1098
1099
1100
                           The string "webauthn.create".
1101
1102
1103
                           The base64url encoding of options.challenge.
1104
1105
                    origin
1106
                           The serialization of callerOrigin.
1107
1108
                           The status of Token Binding between the client and the callerOrigin, as well as the Token Binding ID associated
1109
```

with callerOrigin, if one is available.

1110

The Token Binding ID associated with caller Origin, if one is available.

clientExtensions clientExtensions

authenticatorExtensions authenticatorExtensions

- 14. Let clientDataJSON be the JSON-serialized client data constructed from collectedClientData.
- 15. Let clientDataHash be the hash of the serialized client data represented by clientDataJSON.
- 16. If the options signal is present and its aborted flag is set to true, return a DOMException whose name is "AbortError" and terminate this algorithm.
- 17. Start lifetimeTimer.
- 18. Let issuedRequests be a new ordered set.

 19. For each authenticator that becomes available on this platform during the lifetime of lifetimeTimer, do the following:

 The definitions of "lifetime of" and "becomes available" are intended to represent how devices are hotplugged into (USB) or discovered by (NFC) browsers, and are under-specified. Resolving this with good definitions or some other means will be addressed by resolving Issue #613.

 - If options.authenticatorSelection is present:
 If options.authenticatorSelection.authenticatorAttachment is present and its value is not equal to authenticator's
 - attachment modality, continue.

 2. If options.authenticatorSelection.requireResidentKey is set to true and the authenticator is not capable of storing a Client-Side-Resident Credential Private Kev. continue.
 - 3. If options.authenticatorSelection.userVerification is set to required and the authenticator is not capable of performing user verification, continue.

 2. Let userVerification be the effective user verification
 - requirement for credential creation, a Boolean value, as follows. If options.authenticatorSelection.userVerification

is set to required Let userVerification be true.

is set to preferred If the authenticator

> is capable of user verification Let userVerification be true.

is not capable of user verification Let userVerification be false.

is set to discouraged Let userVerification be false.

- 3. Let userPresence be a Boolean value set to the inverse of userVerification.
- 4. Let excludeCredentialDescriptorList be a new list.
 5. For each credential descriptor C in options.excludeCredentials:
 - 1. If C.transports is not empty, and authenticator is connected over a transport not mentioned in C.transports.
- the client MAY continue.

 2. Otherwise, Append C to excludeCredentialDescriptorList.

 6. Invoke the authenticatorMakeCredential operation on authenticator with clientDataHash, options.rp, options.user, options.authenticatorSelection.requireResidentKey, userPresence, userVerification, credTypesAndPubKeyAlgs, excludeCredentialDescriptorList, and authenticatorExtensions

14. Let clientDataJSON be the JSON-serialized client data constructed from collectedClientData.

15. Let clientDataHash be the hash of the serialized client data represented by clientDataJSON.
16. If the options.signal is present and its aborted flag is set to true, return a DOMException whose name is "AbortError" and terminate this algorithm.

17. Start lifetimeTimer.

1126

17. Start lifetimeTimer.
18. Let issuedRequests be a new ordered set.
19. For each authenticator that becomes available on this platform during the lifetime of lifetimeTimer, do the following:

The definitions of "lifetime of" and "becomes available" are intended to represent how devices are hot-plugged into (USB) or discovered by (NFC) browsers, and are underspecified. Resolving this with good definitions or some other means will be addressed by resolving Issue #613.
1. If options.authenticatorSelection is present:

1. If options.authenticatorSelection.authenticatorAttachment is present and its value is not equal to authenticator's attachment modality. continue.

attachment modality, continue.

2. If options.authenticatorSelection.requireResidentKey is set to true and the authenticator is not capable of storing a Client-Side-Resident Credential Private Kev. continue.

3. If options.authenticatorSelection.userVerification is set to required and the authenticator is not capable of performing user verification, continue.

2. Let userVerification be the effective user verification

requirement for credential creation, a Boolean value, as follows. If options.authenticatorSelection.userVerification

is set to required Let userVerification be true.

is set to preferred If the authenticator

> is capable of user verification Let userVerification be true.

is not capable of user verification Let userVerification be false.

is set to discouraged Let userVerification be false.

- 3. Let userPresence be a Boolean value set to the inverse of userVerification.
- 4. Let excludeCredentialDescriptorList be a new list.
- 5. For each credential descriptor C in options.excludeCredentials:
 - 1. If C.transports is not empty, and authenticator is

connected over a transport not mentioned in C.transports, the client MAY continue.

2. Otherwise, Append C to excludeCredentialDescriptorList.

6. Invoke the authenticatorMakeCredential operation on authenticator with clientDataHash, options.rp, options.user, options.authenticatorSelection.requireResidentKey, userPresence, userVerification, credTypesAndPubKeyAlgs, excludeCredentialDescriptorList, and authenticatorExtensions

17/126

6.3.4 Generating an Attestation Object.

1114

1115

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 1173

as parameters.

7. Append authenticator to issuedRequests.
20. While lifetimeTimer has not expired, perform the following actions depending upon lifetimeTimer and responses from the authenticators:

If lifetimeTimer expires,

For each authenticator in issuedRequests invoke the authenticatorCancel operation on authenticator and remove authenticator from issuedRequests.

If the options signal is present and its aborted flag is set to

For each authenticator in issuedRequests invoke the authenticatorCancel operation on authenticator and remove authenticator from issuedRequests. Then return a DOMException whose name is "AbortError" and terminate this algorithm.

If any authenticator returns a status indicating that the user cancelled the operation,

Remove authenticator from issuedRequests.
 For each remaining authenticator in issuedRequests invoke the authenticatorCancel operation on authenticator and remove it from issuedRequests.

 Note: Authenticators may return an indication of "the user cancelled the entire operation". How a user agent manifests this state to users is unspecified.

If any authenticator returns an error status equivalent to "InvalidStateError",

1. Remove authenticator from issuedRequests.

Remove authernicator from IssuedRequests.
 For each remaining authenticator in issuedRequests invoke the authenticatorCancel operation on authenticator and remove it from issuedRequests.
 Return a DOMException whose name is "InvalidStateError" and terminate this algorithm.

Note: This error status is handled separately because the authenticator returns it only if excludeCredentialDescriptorList identifies a credential bound to the authenticator and the user has consented to the operation. Given this explicit consent, it is acceptable for this case to be distinguishable to the Relying Party.

If any authenticator returns an error status not equivalent to "InvalidStateError", Remove authenticator from issuedRequests.

Note: This case does not imply user consent for the operation, so details about the error must be hidden from the Relying Party in order to prevent leak of potentially identifying information. See 14.2 Registration Ceremony Privacy for details.

If any authenticator indicates success,

- 1. Remove authenticator from issuedRequests.
- 2. Let credentialCreationData be a struct whose items are:

attestationObjectResult

whose value is the bytes returned from the successful authenticatorMakeCredential operation.

Note: this value is attObj, as defined in 6.3.4 Generating an Attestation Object.

1241

case: If the authenticator violates the privacy requirements of the attestation type it is using, the client SHOULD terminate this algorithm with an "AttestationNotPrivateError".

- 2. Let attestationObject be a new ArrayBuffer, created using global's %ArrayBuffer%, containing the bytes of credentialCreationData.attestationObjectResult's
- 3. Let id be attestationObject.authData.attestedCredentialData.cr
- 4. Let pubKeyCred be a new PublicKeyCredential object associated with global whose fields are:

[[identifier]] id

response

A new AuthenticatorAttestationResponse object associated with global whose fields are:

clientDataJSON

A new ArrayBuffer, created using global's %ArrayBuffer%, containing the bytes of credentialCreationData.clientDataJ SONResult.

attestationObject attestationObject

[[clientExtensionsResults]]

A new ArrayBuffer, created using global's %ArrayBuffer%, containing the bytes of credentialCreationData.clientExtensionRe sults.

- 5. Return pubKeyCred.4. For each remaining authenticator in issuedRequests invoke the authenticatorCancel operation on authenticator and remove it from issuedRequests.
- 5. Return constructCredentialAlg and terminate this algorithm.
- 21. Return a DOMException whose name is "NotAllowedError". In order to prevent information leak that could identify the user without consent, this step MUST NOT be executed before lifetimeTimer has expired. See 14.3 Authentication Ceremony Privacy for details.

During the above process, the user agent SHOULD show some UI to the user to guide them in the process of selecting and authorizing an authenticator.

5.1.4. Use an existing credential to make an assertion - PublicKeyCredential's [[Get]](options) method

Relying Parties call navigator.credentials.get({publicKey:..., ...}) to discover and use an existing public key credential, with the user's consent. Relying Party script optionally specifies some criteria to indicate what credential sources are acceptable to it. The user agent and/or platform locates credential sources matching the specified criteria, and guides the user to pick one that the script will be allowed to use. The user may choose to decline the entire interaction even if a credential source is present, for example to maintain privacy. If the user picks a credential source, the user agent then uses 6.2.3 The authenticator Get Assertion operation to sign a Relying

5.1.4. Use an existing credential to make an assertion - PublicKeyCredential's [[Get]](options) method

1218

1219 1220

1221 1222

1223

1224

1225

122€

1227

1228

1229

1230

Relying Parties call navigator.credentials.get({publicKey:..., ...}) to discover and use an existing public key credential, with the user's consent. Relying Party script optionally specifies some criteria to indicate what credential sources are acceptable to it. The user agent and/or platform locates credential sources matching the specified criteria, and guides the user to pick one that the script will be allowed to use. The user may choose to decline the entire interaction even if a credential source is present, for example to maintain privacy. If the user picks a credential source, the user agent then uses 6.2.2 The authenticator Get Assertion operation to sign a Relying

1363 1364

1365

136€ 1367

1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

1374

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-5e63e57-WD-07.txt, Top line: 1231 Party-provided challenge and other collected data into an assertion. which is used as a credential. The get() implementation [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1] calls PublicKeyCredential.[[CollectFromCredentialStore]]() to collect any credentials that should be available without user mediation (roughly, this specification's authorization gesture), and if it does not find exactly one of those, it then calls PublicKeyCredential.[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]]() to have the user collect a predential of the purpose. select a credential source. Since this specification requires an authorization gesture to create any credentials, the PublicKeyCredential.[[CollectFromCredentialStore]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) internal method inherits the default behavior of Credential.[[CollectFromCredentialStore]](), of returning an empty 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method This internal method accepts three arguments: origin This argument is the relevant settings object's origin, as determined by the calling get() implementation, i.e., CredentialsContainer's Request a Credential abstract operation. This argument is a CredentialRequestOptions object whose options publicKey member contains a PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions object specifying the desired attributes of the public key credential to discover. sameOriginWithAncestors This argument is a boolean which is true if and only if the caller's environment settings object is same-origin with its ancestors. Note: This algorithm is synchronous: the Promise resolution/rejection is handled by navigator.credentials.get(). When this method is invoked, the user agent MUST execute the following algorithm: 1. Assert: options.publicKey is present.
2. If sameOriginWithAncestors is false, return a "NotAllowedError" DOMException. Note: This "sameOriginWithAncestors" restriction aims to address

Note: This "sameOriginWithAncestors" restriction aims to address the concern raised in the Origin Confusion section of [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1], while allowing Relying Party script access to Web Authentication functionality, e.g., when running in a secure context framed document that is same-origin with its ancestors. However, in the future, this specification (in conjunction with [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1]) may provide Relying Parties with more fine-grained control--e.g., ranging from allowing only top-level access to Web Authentication functionality, to allowing cross-origin embedded cases--by leveraging [Feature-Policy] once the latter specification becomes stably implemented in user agents.

3. Let options be the value of options.publicKev. 3. Let options be the value of options.publicKey.

4. If the timeout member of options is present, check if its value lies within a reasonable range as defined by the platform and if not, correct it to the closest value lying within that range. Set a timer lifetimeTimer to this adjusted value. If the timeout member of options is not present, then set lifetimeTimer to a platform expecific default. platform-specific default.

5. Let callerOrigin be origin. If callerOrigin is an opaque origin, return a DOMException whose name is "NotAllowedError", and terminate this algorithm.

6. Let effectiveDomain be the callerOrigin's effective domain. If

Party-provided challenge and other collected data into an assertion, which is used as a credential. The get() implementation [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1] calls PublicKeyCredential.[[CollectFromCredentialStore]]() to collect any credentials that should be available without user mediation (roughly, this specification's authorization gesture), and if it does not find exactly one of those, it then calls PublicKeyCredential.[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]]() to have the user collect a gradential course. select a credential source. Since this specification requires an authorization gesture to create any credentials, the origin ancestors. algorithm:

137€

138€

139€

140€

141€

142€

143€

PublicKeyCredential.[[CollectFromCredentialStore]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) internal method inherits the default behavior of Credential.[[CollectFromCredentialStore]](), of returning an empty 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method This internal method accepts three arguments: This argument is the relevant settings object's origin, as determined by the calling get() implementation, i.e., CredentialsContainer's Request a Credential abstract operation. This argument is a CredentialRequestOptions object whose options.publicKey member contains a PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions object specifying the desired attributes of the public key credential to discover. sameOriginWithAncestors This argument is a boolean which is true if and only if the caller's environment settings object is same-origin with its Note: This algorithm is synchronous: the Promise resolution/rejection is handled by navigator.credentials.get(). When this method is invoked, the user agent MUST execute the following 1. Assert: options.publicKey is present.
2. If sameOriginWithAncestors is false, return a "NotAllowedError" DOMException. DOMException.
Note: This "sameOriginWithAncestors" restriction aims to address the concern raised in the Origin Confusion section of [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1], while allowing Relying Party script access to Web Authentication functionality, e.g., when running in a secure context framed document that is same-origin with its ancestors. However, in the future, this specification (in conjunction with [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1]) may provide Relying Parties with more fine-grained control--e.g., ranging from allowing only top-level access to Web Authentication functionality, to allowing cross-origin embedded cases--by leveraging [Feature-Policy] once the latter specification becomes stably implemented in user agents.

3. Let options be the value of options.publicKev. implemented in user agents.

3. Let options be the value of options.publicKey.

4. If the timeout member of options is present, check if its value lies within a reasonable range as defined by the platform and if not, correct it to the closest value lying within that range. Set a timer lifetimeTimer to this adjusted value. If the timeout member of options is not present, then set lifetimeTimer to a platform-specific default.

5. Let callerOrigin be origin. If callerOrigin is an opaque origin, return a DOMException whose name is "NotAllowedError", and terminate this algorithm.

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-5e63e57-WD-07.txt, Top line: 1301 effective domain is not a valid domain, then return a DOMException whose name is "SecurityError" and terminate this algorithm.

Note: An effective domain may resolve to a host, which can be represented in various manners, such as domain, ipv4 address, ipv6 address, opaque host, or empty host. Only the domain format of host 1302 1303 1304 1305 130€ is allowed here. 1307 7. If options.rpld is not present, then set rpld to effectiveDomain. 1308 Otherwise: 1309 I. If options.rpld is not a registrable domain suffix of and is not equal to effectiveDomain, return a DOMException whose name 1310 is "SecurityError", and terminate this algorithm. 1311 1312 2. Set rpld to options.rpld. Note: rpld represents the caller's RP ID. The RP ID defaults to being the caller's origin's effective domain unless the 1313 1314 1315 caller has explicitly set options.rpld when calling get().

8. Let clientExtensions be a new map and let authenticatorExtensions 131€ 1317 If the extensions member of options is present, then for each extensionId -> clientExtensionInput of options.extensions:
 If extensionId is not supported by this client platform or is 1318 1319 1320 1321 not an authentication extension, then continue. 1322 2. Set clientExtensions[extensionId] to clientExtensionInput. 1323 1324 3. If extensionld is not an authenticator extension, then continue. 1325 4. Let authenticatorExtensionInput be the (CBOR) result of running extensionId's client extension processing algorithm on 1326 1327 clientExtensionInput. If the algorithm returned an error, 1328 continue. 1329 5. Set authenticatorExtensions[extensionId] to the base64url 1330 encoding of authenticatorExtensionInput. 1331 10. Let collectedClientData be a new CollectedClientData instance whose 1332 fields are: 1333 1334 1335 1336 1337 1338 The string "webauthn.get". The base64url encoding of options.challenge 1339 1340 1341 The serialization of callerOrigin. 1342 1343 1344 1345 1346 hashAlgorithm The recognized algorithm name of the hash algorithm selected by the client for generating the hash of the serialized client data 1347 1348 tokenBindingId 1349 1350 The Token Binding ID associated with caller Origin, if one is available. 1351 1352 1353 clientExtensions clientExtensions 1354 1355 authenticatorExtensions 1356 authenticatorExtensions 1357 1358 11. Let clientDataJSON be the JSON-serialized client data constructed 1359 1360 from collectedClientData. 12. Let clientDataHash be the hash of the serialized client data represented by clientDataJSON. 1361 1362 13. If the options signal is present and its aborted flag is set to true, return a DOMException whose name is "AbortError" and 1363 1364 terminate this algorithm. 14. Let issuedRequests be a new ordered set.

15. Let authenticator be a platform-specific handle whose value 1365 136€ 1367 identifies an authenticator. 1368 16. Start lifetimeTimer. 1369 17. For each authenticator that becomes available on this platform 1370 during the lifetime of lifetime Timer, perform the following steps:

effective domain is not a valid domain, then return a DOMException whose name is "SecurityError" and terminate this algorithm.

Note: An effective domain may resolve to a host, which can be 1446 1447 1448 represented in various manners, such as domain, ipv4 address, ipv6 address, opaque host, or empty host. Only the domain format of host 1449 1450 1451 is allowed here. 1452 7. If options.rpld is not present, then set rpld to effectiveDomain. 1453 Otherwise: 1. If options.rpld is not a registrable domain suffix of and is not equal to effectiveDomain, return a DOMException whose name is "SecurityError", and terminate this algorithm. 1454 1455 145€ 1457 2. Set rpld to options.rpld. Note: rpld represents the caller's RP ID. The RP ID defaults to being the caller's origin's effective domain unless the 1458 1459 1460 caller has explicitly set options.rpld when calling get().

8. Let clientExtensions be a new map and let authenticatorExtensions 1461 1462 be a new map. 9. If the extensions member of options is present, then for each extensionId -> clientExtensionInput of options.extensions:

1. If extensionId is not supported by this client platform or is not an authentication extension, then continue. 1463 1464 1465 146€ 2. Set clientExtensions[extensionId] to clientExtensionInput.
3. If extensionId is not an authenticator extension, then 1467 1468 1469 continue. Let authenticatorExtensionInput be the (CBOR) result of running extensionId's client extension processing algorithm on 1470 1471 1472 clientExtensionInput. If the algorithm returned an error, 1473 1474 5. Set authenticatorExtensions[extensionId] to the base64url encoding of authenticatorExtensionInput. 1475 1476 10. Let collectedClientData be a new CollectedClientData instance whose 1477 fields are: 1478 1479 1480 The string "webauthn.get". 1481 1482 1483 The base64url encoding of options, challenge 1484 1485 148€ The serialization of callerOrigin. 1487 1488 tokenBinding The status of Token Binding between the client and the callerOrigin, as well as the Token Binding ID associated with callerOrigin, if one is available. 1489 1490 1491

- 11. Let clientDataJSON be the JSON-serialized client data constructed from collectedClientData.
- 12. Let clientDataHash be the hash of the serialized client data represented by clientDataJSON.
- 13. If the options signal is present and its aborted flag is set to true, return a DOMException whose name is "AbortError" and terminate this algorithm.

 14. Let issuedRequests be a new ordered set.

 15. Let authenticator be a platform-specific handle whose value
- identifies an authenticator.
- 16. Start lifetimeTimer.
- 17. For each authenticator that becomes available on this platform during the lifetime of lifetime Timer, perform the following steps:

1492

1493

1494

1495

149€

1497

1498

1499

1500

1501

1502

1503

1504

/Users/i	
1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376 1376 1377 1376 1377 1378 1380 1381 1382 1383 1384 1385 1386 1390 1391 1392 1393 1394 1396 1396 1397 1396 1397 1396 1397 1396 1401 1402 1403 1404 1404 1405 1406 1407 1406 1407 1408 1411 1411 1411 1411	
1415 1416 1417 1418	

1427

1428

1429

1430

1431

The definitions of "lifetime of" and "becomes available" are intended to represent how devices are hotplugged into (USB) or discovered by (NFC) browsers, and are under-specified. Resolving this with good definitions or some other means will be addressed by resolvina Issue #613. I. If options.userVerification is set to required and the authenticator is not capable of performing user verification, continue. 2. Let userVerification be the effective user verification requirement for assertion, a Boolean value, as follows. If options.userVerification is set to required Let userVerification be true. is set to preferred If the authenticator is capable of user verification

Let userVerification be true.

is not capable of user verification Let userVerification be false.

is set to discouraged Let userVerification be false.

- 3. Let userPresence be a Boolean value set to the inverse of userVerification.
- 4. Let allowCredentialDescriptorList be a new list.
 5. If options.allowCredentials is not empty, execute a platform-specific procedure to determine which, if any, public key credentials described by options.allowCredentials are bound to this authenticator, by matching with rpld, options.allowCredentials.id, and options.allowCredentials.type. Set allowCredentialDescriptorList to this filtered list. 6. If allowCredentialDescriptorList

is not empty

- 1. Let distinctTransports be a new ordered set.
- 2. If allow Credential Descriptor List has exactly one

value, let savedCredentialId be a new PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor.id and set its value to allowCredentialDescriptorList[0].id's value (see here in 6.2.2 The authenticatorGetAssertion operation for more information).

The foregoing step _may_ be incorrect, in that we are attempting to create savedCredentialld here and use it later below, and we do not have a global in which to allocate a place for it. Perhaps this is good enough? addendum: @jcjones feels the above step is likely good enough.

1. For each credential descriptor C in allowCredentialDescriptorList, append each value, if any, of C.transports to distinctTransports. Note: This will aggregate only distinct values of transports (for this authenticator) in

/Users	/jeho
1506 1507 1508 1510 1511 1512 1514 1516 1516 1516 1516 1516 1517 1520 1520 1522 1522 1522 1523 1525 1526 1530 1530 1531 1532 1533 1534 1535	
1536 1537 1538 1539 1541 1542 1543 1544 1545 1546 1547	

hadros/Dagumenta/week/standevde/M2C/webaythn/index master to a155haa CD 00 to
The definitions of "lifetime of" and "becomes available" are intended to represent how devices are hot-plugged into (USB) or discovered by (NFC) browsers, and are underspecified. Resolving this with good definitions or some other means will be addressed by resolving Issue #613. 1. If options.userVerification is set to required and the authenticator is not capable of performing user verification, continue. 2. Let userVerification be the effective user verification requirement for assertion, a Boolean value, as follows. If options.userVerification
is set to required Let userVerification be true.
is set to preferred If the authenticator
is capable of user verification Let userVerification be true.
is not capable of user verification Let userVerification be false.
is set to discouraged Let userVerification be false.
3. Let userPresence be a Boolean value set to the inverse of userVerification.4. If options.allowCredentials

is not empty

- 1. Let allowCredentialDescriptorList be a new list.
 2. Execute a platform-specific procedure to determine which, if any, public key credentials described by options.allowCredentials are bound to this authenticator, by matching with rpld, options.allowCredentials.id, and options.allowCredentials.type. Set allowCredentialDescriptorList to this filtered list.
 3. If allowCredentialDescriptorList is empty, continue.
 4. Let distinctTransports be a new ordered set.
 5. If allowCredentialDescriptorList has exactly one value, let savedCredentialDescriptorLigt and set its value.
 - PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor.id and set its value to allowCredentialDescriptorList[0].id's value (see here in 6.2.3 The authenticatorGetAssertion operation for more information).

The foregoing step _may_ be incorrect, in that we are attempting to create savedCredentialId here and use it later below, and we do not have a global in which to allocate a place for it. Perhaps this is good enough? addendum: @jcjones feels the above step is likely good enough.

1. For each credential descriptor C in allowCredentialDescriptorList, append each value, if any, of C.transports to distinctTransports. Note: This will aggregate only distinct values of transports (for this authenticator) in

1549 1550

1555

155€

1557

1558

1559

1560

1561

1562

1563

1564

1565

156€

distinctTransports due to the properties of ordered

2. If distinctTransports

is not empty

The client selects one transport value from distinctTransports, possibly incorporating local configuration knowledge of the appropriate transport to use with authenticator in making its selection.

Then, using transport, invoke the authenticatorGetAssertion operation on authenticator, with rpld, clientDataHash, allowCredentialDescriptorList. userPresence, userVerification, and authenticator Extensions as parameters.

Using local configuration knowledge of the appropriate transport to use with authenticator, invoke the authenticator, invoke the authenticator GetAssertion operation on authenticator with rpld, clientDataHash, allowCredentialDescriptorList, userPresence, userVerification, and clientExtensions as parameters.

Using local configuration knowledge of the appropriate transport to use with authenticator, invoke the authenticatorGetAssertion operation on authenticator with rpld, clientDataHash, userPresence, userVerification and clientExtensions as parameters.

Note: In this case, the Relying Party did not supply a list of acceptable credential descriptors. Thus the authenticator is being asked to exercise any credential it may possess that is bound to the Relying Party, as identified by rpld.

7. Append authenticator to issuedRequests.

18. While issuedRequests is not empty, perform the following actions depending upon lifetimeTimer and responses from the authenticators:

If lifetimeTimer expires,

For each authenticator in issuedRequests invoke the authenticatorCancel operation on authenticator and remove authenticator from issuedRequests.

If the signal member is present and the aborted flag is set to

For each authenticator in issuedRequests invoke the authenticatorCancel operation on authenticator and remove authenticator from issuedRequests. Then return a DOMException whose name is "AbortError" and terminate this algorithm.

If any authenticator returns a status indicating that the user cancelled the operation,

1. Remove authenticator from issuedRequests.

2. For each remaining authenticator in issuedRequests invoke the authenticator Cancel operation on authenticator and remove it from issuedRequests.

1628

1629

1630

1631

1632 1633

1634

1635

1636

1637

distinctTransports due to the properties of ordered

2. If distinctTransports

is not empty

The client selects one transport value from distinctTransports, possibly incorporating local configuration knowledge of the appropriate transport to use with authenticator in making its selection.

Then, using transport, invoke the authenticatorGetAssertion operation on authenticator, with rpld, clientDataHash, allowCredentialDescriptorList, userPresence, userVerification, and authenticator Extensions as parameters.

is empty
Using local configuration knowledge of
the appropriate transport to use with authenticator, invoke the authenticatorGetAssertion operation on authenticator with rpld, clientDataHash, allowCredentialDescriptorList. userPresence, userVerification, and clientExtensions as parameters.

Using local configuration knowledge of the appropriate transport to use with authenticator, invoke the authenticatorGetAssertion operation on authenticator with rpld, clientDataHash, userPresence, userVerification and clientExtensions as parameters.

Note: In this case, the Relying Party did not supply a list of acceptable credential descriptors. Thus, the authenticator is being asked to exercise any credential it may possess that is bound to the Relying Party, as identified by rpld.

5. Append authenticator to issuedRequests.

18. While lifetimeTimer has not expired, perform the following actions depending upon lifetimeTimer and responses from the authenticators:

If lifetimeTimer expires,

For each authenticator in issuedRequests invoke the authenticatorCancel operation on authenticator and remove authenticator from issuedRequests.

If the signal member is present and the aborted flag is set to

For each authenticator in issuedRequests invoke the authenticator Cancel operation on authenticator and remove authenticator from issuedRequests. Then return a DOMException whose name is "AbortError" and terminate this algorithm.

If any authenticator returns a status indicating that the user cancelled the operation,

 Remove authenticator from issuedRequests.
 For each remaining authenticator in issuedRequests invoke the authenticatorCancel operation on authenticator and remove it from issuedRequests. Note: Authenticators may return an indication of "the user cancelled the entire operation". How a user agent

/USEIS	genouges/bocuments/work/standards/w3C/webautini/index-master-ti-5e65e5/-
1500	
1500 1501	If any authoriticates welvens an away atatus
1501	If any authenticator returns an error status, Remove authenticator from issuedRequests.
1503	nemove authenticator from issueunequests.
1504	If any authenticator indicates success,
1505	,,
150€	Remove authenticator from issuedRequests.
1507 1508	2. Let assertionCreationData be a struct whose items are:
1500	credentialIdResult
1510	If savedCredentialId exists, set the value of
1511	credentialIdResult to be the bytes of
1512	savedCredentialld. Otherwise, set the value of
1513	credentialIdResult to be the bytes of the
1514 1515	credential ID returned from the successful
1516	authenticatorGetAssertion operation, as defined in 6.2.2 The
1517	authenticatorGetAssertion operation.
1518	
1519	clientDataJSONResult
1520 1521	whose value is the bytes of clientDataJSON.
1522	authenticatorDataResult
1523	whose value is the bytes of the authenticator
1524	data returned by the authenticator.
1525	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1526	signatureResult
1527 1528	whose value is the bytes of the signature value returned by the authenticator.
1529	value returned by the authenticator.
1530	userHandleResult
1531	whose value is the bytes of the user handle
1532	returned by the authenticator.
1533	
1534	clientExtensionResults
1535 1536	whose value is an AuthenticationExtensions
1537	object containing extension identifier -> client extension output entries. The entries
1538	are created by running each extension's client
	,
1539 1540	extension processing algorithm to create the
1541	client extension outputs, for each client extension in clientDataJSON.clientExtensions.
1542	extension in chemidata050N.chemicxtensions.
1543	3. Let constructAssertionAlg be an algorithm that takes a
1544	global object global, and whose steps are:
1545 154€	Let pubKeyCred be a new PublicKeyCredential object consisted with global whose fields are:
1547	associated with global whose fields are:
1548	[[identifier]]
1549	A new ArrayBuffer, created using
1550	global's %ArrayBuffer%, containing the
1551 1552	bytes of assertionCreationData.credentialIdResult
1553	
1554	
1555	response
155€ 1557	A new Authenticator Assertion Response
1558	object associated with global whose fields are:
1559	noide die.
1560	clientDataJSON
1561	A new ArrayBuffer, created using
1562 1563	global's %ĀrrayBuffer%, containing the bytes of
1564	assertionCreationData.clientDataJS
1565	ONResult.

	,,
 1638	
1639	l
1640	i
	l
1641	l
	i
1642	l
1643	l
	1
1644	l
1645	i
164€	
1647	ı
1648	
1649	
1650	
1651	
1652	i
1653	
165/	
1654	
1655	
165€	
1657	i
	1
1658	l
1659	i
	l
1660	l
	ı
1661	ı
1662	
1663	l
1664	i
1665	
1 1 1 1	
166€	
1667	ı
1668	
1669	i
1670	
1671	
107	
1672	
1673	ı
1073	
1674	
1675	
1676	
1677	
1678	i
1679	
1680	
1681	
1682	l
1683	
	ı
1684	l
1685	l
	1
168€	l
1687	l
	ı
1688	ı
1689	ı
	1
1690	l
1691	i
	l
1692	l
1693	ı
	ı
1694	l
1695	l
169€	i
	l
1697	l
1698	ı
	ı
1699	ı
	1
1700	l
1701	ı
	!
1702	l
	ı
1703	l
1704	l
	l
1705	l
170€	i
1707	l
	•

manifests this state to users is unspecified. If any authenticator returns an error status, Remove authenticator from issuedRequests. If any authenticator indicates success, 1. Remove authenticator from issuedRequests. 2. Let assertionCreationData be a struct whose items are: credentialIdResult If savedCredentialld exists, set the value of credentialldResult to be the bytes of savedCredentialld. Otherwise, set the value of credentialldResult to be the bytes of the credential ID returned from the successful authenticatorGetAssertion operation, as defined in 6.2.3 The authenticatorGetAssertion operation. clientDataJSONResult whose value is the bytes of clientDataJSON. authenticatorDataResult whose value is the bytes of the authenticator data returned by the authenticator. signatureResult whose value is the bytes of the signature value returned by the authenticator. userHandleResult If the authenticator returned a user handle, set the value of userHandleResult to be the bytes of the returned user handle. Otherwise, set the value of userHandleResult to null. clientExtensionResults whose value is an AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs object containing extension identifier -> client extension output entries. The entries are created by running each extension's client extension processing algorithm to create the client extension outputs, for each client extension in clientDataJSON.clientExtensions. 3. Let constructAssertionAlg be an algorithm that takes a global object global, and whose steps are:
1. Let pubKeyCred be a new PublicKeyCredential object associated with global whose fields are: [[identifier]] A new ArrayBuffer, created using global's %ArrayBuffer%, containing the assertionCreationData.credentialIdResult response A new Authenticator Assertion Response object associated with global whose fields are: clientDataJSON A new ArrayBuffer, created using global's %ArrayBuffer%, containing the bytes of assertionCreationData.clientDataJS ONResult.

authenticatorData A new ArrayBuffer, created using global's %ArrayBuffer%, containing the bytes of assertionCreationData.authenticato rDataResult. signature A new ArrayBuffer, created using global's %ArrayBuffer%, containing the bytes of assertionCreationData.signatureRes userHandle

assertionCreationData.userHandleRe sult is null, set this field to null. Otherwise, set this field to a new ArrayBuffer, created using global's %ArrayBuffer%, containing the bytes of assertionCreationData.userHandleRe sult.

[[clientExtensionsResults]] A new ArrayBuffer, created using global's %ArrayBuffer%, containing the bytes of assertionCreationData.clientExtensionRes

2. Return pubKeyCred.

- 4. For each remaining authenticator in issuedRequests invoke the authenticatorCancel operation on authenticator and remove it from issuedRequests.
- 5. Return constructAssertionAla and terminate this algorithm.
- 19. Return a DOMException whose name is "NotAllowedError". In order to prevent information leak that could identify the user without consent, this step MUST NOT be executed before lifetime Timer has expired. See 14.3 Authentication Ceremony Privacy for details.

During the above process, the user agent SHOULD show some UI to the user to guide them in the process of selecting and authorizing an authenticator with which to complete the operation.

5.1.5. Store an existing credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[Store]](credential, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

The [[Storel](credential, sameOriginWithAncestors) method is not supported for Web Authentication's PublicKeyCredential type, so it always returns an error.

Note: This algorithm is synchronous: the Promise resolution/rejection is handled by navigator.credentials.store().

This internal method accepts two arguments:

credential

This argument is a PublicKeyCredential object.

sameOriginWithAncestors

This argument is a boolean which is true if and only if the caller's environment settings object is same-origin with its

When this method is invoked, the user agent MUST execute the following

This argument is a PublicKeyCredential object.

sameOriginWithAncestors

1622

1623

1624

1625

162€

1627

1628

This argument is a boolean which is true if and only if the caller's environment settings object is same-origin with its ancestors.

When this method is invoked, the user agent MUST execute the following

1635

163€

1637

1638 1639 1640

1641

1642

1643

1644

1645

164€

1647

1648

1649

1650

1651 1652

1653

1654

1655

165€

1657

1658

1659

1660

1661

1662

1663

1664

1665

166€

1667

1668

1669

1670

1671

1672

1673

1674

1675

167€

1677

1678

1679

1680

1681

1682

1683

1684

1685

168€

create() or get().

- 1. Return a DOMException whose name is "NotSupportedError", and terminate this algorithm
- 5.1.6. Availability of User-Verifying Platform Authenticator -

PublicKeyCredential's isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable() method

Relying Parties use this method to determine whether they can create a new credential using a user-verifying platform authenticator. Upon invocation, the client employs a platform-specific procedure to discover available user-verifying platform authenticators. If successful, the client then assesses whether the user is willing to create a credential using one of the available user-verifying platform authenticators. This assessment may include various factors, such as:

- * Whether the user is running in private or incognito mode.
 * Whether the user has configured the client to not create such
- * Whether the user has previously expressed an unwillingness to create a new credential for this Relying Party, either through configuration or by declining a user interface prompt.

 * The user's explicitly stated intentions, determined through user
- interaction.

If this assessment is affirmative, the promise is resolved with the value of True. Otherwise, the promise is resolved with the value of False. Based on the result, the Relying Party can take further actions to guide the user to create a credential.

This method has no arguments and returns a boolean value.

If the promise will return False, the client SHOULD wait a fixed period of time from the invocation of the method before returning False. This is done so that callers can not distinguish between the case where the user was unwilling to create a credential using one of the available user-verifying platform authenticators and the case where no user-verifying platform authenticators and the case where no user-verifying platform authenticator exists. Trying to make these cases indistinguishable is done in an attempt to not provide additional information that could be used for fingerprinting. A timeout value on the order of 10 minutes is recommended; this is enough time for successful user interactions to be performed but short enough that the dangling promise will still be resolved in a reasonably timely fashion. partial interface PublicKeyCredential { static Promise < boolean > isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable();

5.2. Authenticator Responses (interface Authenticator Response)

Authenticators respond to Relying Party requests by returning an object derived from the Authenticator Response interface: [SecureContext, Exposed=Window] interface AuthenticatorResponse { [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer clientDataJSON; clientDataJSON, of type ArrayBuffer, readonly
This attribute contains a JSON serialization of the client data passed to the authenticator by the client in its call to either

terminate this algorithm 5.1.6. Preventing silent access to an existing credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[preventSilentAccess]](credential, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

Calling the [[preventSilentAccess]](credential, sameOriginWithAncestors) method will have no effect on authenticators that require an authorization gesture, but setting that flag may potentially exclude authenticators that can operate without user intervention.

This internal method accepts no arguments.

5.1.7. Availability of User-Verifying Platform Authenticator - PublicKeyCredential's isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable() method

Relying Parties use this method to determine whether they can create a new credential using a user-verifying platform authenticator. Upon invocation, the client employs a platform-specific procedure to discover available user-verifying platform authenticators. If successful, the client then assesses whether the user is willing to create a credential using one of the available user-verifying platform authenticators. This assessment may include various factors, such as:

- * Whether the user is running in private or incognito mode.

 * Whether the user has configured the client to not create such credentials.
- * Whether the user has previously expressed an unwillingness to create a new credential for this Relying Party, either through configuration or by declining a user interface prompt.
- * The user's explicitly stated intentions, determined through user interaction.

If this assessment is affirmative, the promise is resolved with the value of True. Otherwise, the promise is resolved with the value of False. Based on the result, the Relying Party can take further actions to guide the user to create a credential.

This method has no arguments and returns a boolean value.

If the promise will return False, the client SHOULD wait a fixed period of time from the invocation of the method before returning False. This of time from the invocation of the method before returning False. This is done so that callers cannot distinguish between the case where the user was unwilling to create a credential using one of the available user-verifying platform authenticators and the case where no user-verifying platform authenticator exists. Trying to make these cases indistinguishable is done in an attempt to not provide additional information that could be used for fingerprinting. A timeout value on the order of 10 minutes is recommended; this is enough time for successful user interactions to be performed but short enough that the dangling promise will still be resolved in a reasonably timely fashion. partial interface PublicKevCredential { static Promise < boolean > isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable();

5.2. Authenticator Responses (interface AuthenticatorResponse)

Authenticators respond to Relying Party requests by returning an object derived from the Authenticator Response interface: [SecureContext, Exposed=Window] interface AuthenticatorResponse { [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer clientDataJSON;

clientDataJSON, of type ArrayBuffer, readonly This attribute contains a JSON serialization of the client data passed to the authenticator by the client in its call to either create() or get().

1841

1842

1843

1844

1845

184€

```
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
169€
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
170€
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
172€
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
173€
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
```

1756

5.2.1. Information about Public Key Credential (interface Authenticator Attestation Response)

The Authenticator Attestation Response interface represents the authenticator's response to a client's request for the creation of a new public key credential. It contains information about the new credential that can be used to identify it for later use, and metadata that can be used by the Relying Party to assess the characteristics of the credential during registration.
[SecureContext, Exposed=Window] interface AuthenticatorAttestationResponse : AuthenticatorResponse { [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer attestationObject;

clientDataJSON

This attribute, inherited from AuthenticatorResponse, contains the JSON-serialized client data (see 6.3 Attestation) passed to the authenticator by the client in order to generate this credential. The exact JSON serialization must be preserved, as the hash of the serialized client data has been computed over

attestationObject, of type ArrayBuffer, readonly
This attribute contains an attestation object, which is opaque
to, and cryptographically protected against tampering by, the client. The attestation object contains both authenticator data and an attestation statement. The former contains the AAGUID, a unique credential ID, and the credential public key. The contents of the attestation statement are determined by the attestation statement format used by the authenticator. It also contains any additional information that the Relying Party's server requires to validate the attestation statement, as well as to decode and validate the authenticator data along with the JSON-serialized client data. For more details, see 6.3 Attestation, 6.3.4 Generating an Attestation Object, and Figure

5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface AuthenticatorAssertionResponse)

The Authenticator Assertion Response interface represents an authenticator's response to a client's request for generation of a new authentication assertion given the Relying Party's challenge and optional list of credentials it is aware of. This response contains a cryptographic signature proving possession of the credential private key, and optionally evidence of user consent to a specific transaction. [SecureContext, Exposed=Window]

interface AuthenticatorAssertionResponse : AuthenticatorResponse {
 [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer authenticatorData; SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer signature; userHandle:

clientDataJSON

This attribute, inherited from AuthenticatorResponse, contains the JSON-serialized client data (see 5.8.1 Client data used in WebAuthn signatures (dictionary CollectedClientData)) passed to the authenticator by the client in order to generate this assertion. The exact JSON serialization must be preserved, as the hash of the serialized client data has been computed over

authenticatorData, of type ArrayBuffer, readonly This attribute contains the authenticator data returned by the authenticator. See 6.1 Authenticator data.

signature, of type ArrayBuffer, readonly This attribute contains the raw signature returned from the authenticator. See 6.2.2 The authenticator Get Assertion

```
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
185€
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
186€
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
187€
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
188€
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
189€
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
190€
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
```

```
5.2.1. Information about Public Key Credential (interface)
AuthenticatorAttestationResponse)
```

The Authenticator Attestation Response interface represents the authenticator's response to a client's request for the creation of a new public key credential. It contains information about the new credential that can be used to identify it for later use, and metadata that can be used by the Relying Party to assess the characteristics of the credential during registration.
[SecureContext, Exposed=Window]

interface AuthenticatorAttestationResponse : AuthenticatorResponse { [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer attestationObject;

clientDataJSON

This attribute, inherited from AuthenticatorResponse, contains the JSON-serialized client data (see 6.3 Attestation) passed to the authenticator by the client in order to generate this credential. The exact JSON serialization must be preserved, as the hash of the serialized client data has been computed over

attestationObject, of type ArrayBuffer, readonly
This attribute contains an attestation object, which is opaque to, and cryptographically protected against tampering by, the client. The attestation object contains both authenticator data and an attestation statement. The former contains the AAGUID, a unique credential ID, and the credential public key. The contents of the attestation statement are determined by the attestation statement format used by the authenticator. It also contains any additional information that the Relying Party's server requires to validate the attestation statement, as well as to decode and validate the authenticator data along with the JSON-serialized client data. For more details, see 6.3 Attestation, 6.3.4 Generating an Attestation Object, and Figure

5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface AuthenticatorAssertionResponse)

The Authenticator Assertion Response interface represents an authenticator's response to a client's request for generation of a new authentication assertion given the Relying Party's challenge and optional list of credentials it is aware of. This response contains a cryptographic signature proving possession of the credential private key, and optionally evidence of user consent to a specific transaction.

[SecureContext, Exposed=Window]
interface AuthenticatorAssertionResponse : AuthenticatorResponse {
 [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer authenticatorData; [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer? signature; userHandle:

clientDataJSON

This attribute, inherited from AuthenticatorResponse, contains the JSON-serialized client data (see 5.10.1 Client data used in WebAuthn signatures (dictionary CollectedClientData)) passed to the authenticator by the client in order to generate this assertion. The exact JSON serialization MUST be preserved, as the hash of the serialized client data has been computed over

authenticatorData, of type ArrayBuffer, readonly
This attribute contains the authenticator data returned by the authenticator. See 6.1 Authenticator data.

signature, of type ArrayBuffer, readonly

This attribute contains the raw signature returned from the authenticator. See 6.2.3 The authenticatorGetAssertion

1914

1915

191€

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 1918

```
1918
                operation.
1919
1920
           userHandle, of type ArrayBuffer, readonly, nullable
This attribute contains the user handle returned from the
1921
1922
1923
                authenticator, or null if the authenticator did not return a
                user handle. See 6.2.3 The authenticator Get Assertion operation.
1924
1925
           5.3. Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary
192€
           PublicKeyCredentialParameters)
1927
1928
          dictionary PublicKeyCredentialParameters {
1929
            required PublicKeyCredentialType
                                                   type;
1930
            required COSEAlgorithmIdentifier
1931
1932
1933
           This dictionary is used to supply additional parameters when creating a
1934
           new credential.
1935
193€
           The type member specifies the type of credential to be created.
1937
1938
           The alg member specifies the cryptographic signature algorithm with
1939
           which the newly generated credential will be used, and thus also the
1940
           type of asymmetric key pair to be generated, e.g., RSA or Elliptic
1941
           Curve.
1942
1943
           Note: we use "alg" as the latter member name, rather than spelling-out "algorithm", because it will be serialized into a message to the
1944
1945
           authenticator, which may be sent over a low-bandwidth link.
194€
1947
           5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary
1948
           PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions)
1949
1950
          dictionary PublicKevCredentialCreationOptions {
            required PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity required PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity
1951
1952
                                                          user:
1953
1954
            required BufferSource
                                                      challenge:
1955
            required sequence<PublicKevCredentialParameters> pubKevCredParams:
195€
1957
            unsigned long
                                               timeout:
1958
            sequence<PublicKevCredentialDescriptor>
                                                             excludeCredentials = []:
1959
            AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria
                                                      authenticatorSelection:
1960
            AttestationConveyancePreference
                                                         attestation = "none":
1961
            Authentication Extension s Client Inputs
                                                          extensions:
1962
1963
1964
           rp, of type PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity
1965
                This member contains data about the Relying Party responsible
196€
                for the request.
1967
1968
                Its value's name member is required.
1969
1970
                Its value's id member specifies the relying party identifier
1971
                with which the credential should be associated. If omitted, its
1972
                value will be the CredentialsContainer object's relevant
1973
                settings object's origin's effective domain.
1974
1975
           user, of type PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity
197€
                This member contains data about the user account for which the
1977
                Relying Party is requesting attestation.
1978
1979
                Its value's name, displayName and id members are required.
```

```
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
185€
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
186€
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
187€
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
```

```
specified by the Relying Party.
  challenge, of type BufferSource
        This member contains a challenge intended to be used for
       generating the newly created credential's attestation object.
  pubKeyCredParams, of type sequence<PublicKeyCredentialParameters>
This member contains information about the desired properties of
       the credential to be created. The sequence is ordered from most
        preferred to least preferred. The platform makes a best-effort
       to create the most preferred credential that it can.
  timeout, of type unsigned long
This member specifies a time, in milliseconds, that the caller
        is willing to wait for the call to complete. This is treated as
       a hint, and may be overridden by the platform.
  excludeCredentials, of type sequence<PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor>.
        defaulting to None
        This member is intended for use by Relying Parties that wish to
       limit the creation of multiple credentials for the same account on a single authenticator. The platform is requested to return an error if the new credential would be created on an
       authenticator that also contains one of the credentials
       enumerated in this parameter.
  authenticatorSelection, of type AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria
This member is intended for use by Relying Parties that wish to
        select the appropriate authenticators to participate in the
       create() operation.
  attestation, of type AttestationConveyancePreference, defaulting to
        "none"
        This member is intended for use by Relying Parties that wish to
       express their preference for attestation conveyance. The default
       is none.
  extensions, of type Authentication Extensions
       This member contains additional parameters requesting additional processing by the client and authenticator. For example, the caller may request that only authenticators with certain
       capabilies be used to create the credential, or that particular information be returned in the attestation object. Some extensions are defined in 9 WebAuthn Extensions; consult the
        IANA "WebAuthn Extension Identifier" registry established by
        [WebAuthn-Registries] for an up-to-date list of registered
        WebAuthn Extensions.
   5.4.1. Public Key Entity Description (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialEntity)
  The PublicKeyCredentialEntity dictionary describes a user account, or a
Relying Party, with which a public key credential is associated. dictionary PublicKeyCredentialEntity {
required DOMString name;
   USVString
  name, of type DOMString
       A human-friendly identifier for the entity. For example, this
       could be a company name for a Relying Party, or a user's name.

This identifier is intended for display. Authenticators MUST accept and store a 64 byte minimum length for a name members's
        value. Authenticators MAY truncate a name member's value to a
```

length equal to or greater than 64 bytes.

```
1980
1981
                challenge, of type BufferSource
1982
                       This member contains a challenge intended to be used for
                      generating the newly created credential's attestation object.
1983
1984
                pubKeyCredParams, of type sequence<PublicKeyCredentialParameters>
This member contains information about the desired properties of
1985
1986
1987
                      the credential to be created. The sequence is ordered from most
1988
                       preferred to least preferred. The platform makes a best-effort
1989
                      to create the most preferred credential that it can.
1990
                timeout, of type unsigned long
This member specifies a time, in milliseconds, that the caller
1991
1992
1993
                      is willing to wait for the call to complete. This is treated as a hint, and MAY be overridden by the platform.
1994
1995
199€
                excludeCredentials, of type sequence<PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor>,
1997
                       defaulting to None
1998
                       This member is intended for use by Relying Parties that wish to
                      limit the creation of multiple credentials for the same account on a single authenticator. The platform is requested to return
1999
2000
2001
                      an error if the new credential would be created on an
2002
                      authenticator that also contains one of the credentials
2003
                      enumerated in this parameter.
2004
2005
                authenticatorSelection, of type AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria
This member is intended for use by Relying Parties that wish to
200€
2007
                       select the appropriate authenticators to participate in the
2008
                      create() operation.
2009
2010
                attestation, of type AttestationConveyancePreference, defaulting to
2011
                       "none"
2012
                       This member is intended for use by Relying Parties that wish to
2013
                      express their preference for attestation conveyance. The default
2014
                       is none.
2015
2016
                extensions, of type AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs
                      This member contains additional parameters requesting additional processing by the client and authenticator. For example, the caller may request that only authenticators with certain
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
                      capabilities be used to create the credential, or that particular information be returned in the attestation object.

Some extensions are defined in 9 WebAuthn Extensions; consult
                       the IANA "WebAuthn Extension Identifier" registry established by
2024
                       [WebAuthn-Registries] for an up-to-date list of registered
2025
                       WebAuthn Extensions.
202€
2027
2028
                  5.4.1. Public Key Entity Description (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialEntity)
2029
                The PublicKeyCredentialEntity dictionary describes a user account, or a
             Relying Party, with which a public key credential is associated. dictionary PublicKeyCredentialEntity { required DOMString name;
2030
2031
2032
                 USVString
2033
2034
2035
203€
                name, of type DOMString
                      A human-readable name for the entity. Its function depends on what the PublicKeyCredentialEntity represents:
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
                       + When inherited by PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity it is a

    + When inherited by PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity it is a human-friendly identifier for the Relying Party, intended only for display. For example, "ACME Corporation", "Wonderful Widgets, Inc." or "Awesome Site".
    + When inherited by PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity, it is a human-palatable identifier for a user account. It is intended only for display, and SHOULD allow the user to easily tell the difference between user accounts with similar displayNames. For example, "alexm", "alex.p.mueller@example.com" or
```

1892 1893

1894

1895

1896 1897 1898

1899

1900

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905

190€

1907

1908 1909

1910

1911

1912

1913

1914

1915

1916

1917

1918 1919

1920

1921

1922

1923

1924

1925

1926

1927 1928 1929

1930

1931

1932

1933

1934

1935

1936 1937

1938 1939

1940

1941

1942

1943

1944

1945

194€

```
icon, of type USVString
A serialized URL which resolves to an image associated with the entity. For example, this could be a user's avatar or a Relying Party's logo. This URL MUST be an a priori authenticated URL. Authenticators MUST accept and store a 128 byte minimum length for a icon members's value. Authenticators MAY ignore a icon
      members's value if its length is greater than 128 byes.
  5.4.2. RP Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary
  PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity)
  The PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity dictionary is used to supply additional
  Relying Party attributes when creating a new credential.
dictionary PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity: PublicKeyCredentialEntity {
  DOMString id:
 id, of type DOMString
      A unique identifier for the Relying Party entity, which sets the
  5.4.3. User Account Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary
  PublicKevCredentialUserEntity)
 The PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity dictionary is used to supply
 additional user account attributes when creating a new credential.
dictionary PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity : PublicKeyCredentialEntity {
  required BufferSource id;
  required DOMString
                             displayName:
 id. of type BufferSource
      The user handle of the user account entity.
 displayName, of type DOMString
      A friendly name for the user account (e.g., "John P. Smith").
Authenticators MUST accept and store a 64 byte minimum length
      for a displayName members's value. Authenticators MAY truncate a
      displayName member's value to a length equal to or greater than
      64 bytes.
  5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary
  AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria)
  Relying Parties may use the Authenticator Selection Criteria dictionary
 to specify their requirements regarding authenticator attributes.
dictionary AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria {
  AuthenticatorAttachment authenticatorAttachment;
                           requireResidentKey = false;
  boolean
  UserVerificationRequirement userVerification = "preferred";
 authenticatorAttachment, of type AuthenticatorAttachment If this member is present, eligible authenticators are filtered
      to only authenticators attached with the specified 5.4.5
      Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum
```

```
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
                      "+14255551234". The Relying Party MAY let the user choose this, and MAY restrict the choice as needed or appropriate. For example, a Relying Party might choose to map human-palatable username account identifiers to the name member of PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity.
2054
2055
2056
2057
                     Authenticators MUST accept and store a 64-byte minimum length for a name member's value. Authenticators MAY truncate a name
                     member's value to a length equal to or greater than 64 bytes.
2058
2059
               icon, of type USVString
A serialized URL which resolves to an image associated with the entity. For example, this could be a user's avatar or a Relying Party's logo. This URL MUST be an a priori authenticated URL. Authenticators MUST accept and store a 128-byte minimum length for an icon member's value. Authenticators MAY ignore an icon member's value if its length is greater than 128 bytes.
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
206€
2067
                 5.4.2. RP Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary
2068
                 PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity)
2069
2070
                The PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity dictionary is used to supply additional
2071
                Relying Party attributes when creating a new credential.
2072
             dictionary PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity: PublicKeyCredentialEntity {
2073
                DOMString
                                    id;
2074
2075
207€
                id, of type DOMString
2077
                     A unique identifier for the Relying Party entity, which sets the
2078
2079
2080
                 5.4.3. User Account Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary
2081
                 PublicKevCredentialUserEntity)
2082
2083
                The PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity dictionary is used to supply
2084
                additional user account attributes when creating a new credential.
2085
             dictionary PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity : PublicKeyCredentialEntity {
208€
                required BufferSource id:
2087
                required DOMString
                                                 displayName:
2088
2089
2090
                id. of type BufferSource
2091
                      The user handle of the user account entity.
2092
               displayName, of type DOMString
A human-friendly name for the user account, intended only for display. For example, "Alex P. Mller" or " ". The Relying Party SHOULD let the user choose this, and SHOULD NOT restrict
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
                     the choice more than necessary.
2098
2099
2100
2101
                     Authenticators MUST accept and store a 64-byte minimum length for a displayName member's value. Authenticators MAY truncate a displayName member's value to a length equal to or greater than
2102
                     64 bytes.
2103
2104
                 5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary
2105
                AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria)
210€
2107
                Relying Parties may use the Authenticator Selection Criteria dictionary
2108
                to specify their requirements regarding authenticator attributes.
2109
             dictionary AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria
2110
                 AuthenticatorAttachment authenticatorAttachment;
2111
                                              requireResidentKey = false;
                 boolean
                 UserVerificationRequirement userVerification = "preferred";
2112
2113
2114
2115
                authenticatorAttachment, of type AuthenticatorAttachment
                      If this member is present, eligible authenticators are filtered
2116
2117
                      to only authenticators attached with the specified 5.4.5
2118
                     Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum
```

5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum

32/126

```
AuthenticatorAttachment).
                         requireResidentKey, of type boolean, defaulting to false
This member describes the Relying Parties' requirements
regarding availability of the Client-side-resident Credential
                                    Private Key. If the parameter is set to true, the authenticator
                                    MUST create a Client-side-resident Credential Private Key when
                                   creating a public key credential.
                          userVerification, of type UserVerificationRequirement, defaulting to
                                     "preferred"
                                   This member describes the Relying Party's requirements regarding user verification for the create() operation. Eligible
                                    authenticators are filtered to only those capable of satisfying
                                    this requirement.
                           5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum Authenticator Attachment)
                     enum AuthenticatorAttachment {
                            "platform", // Platform attachment
                            "cross-platform" // Cross-platform attachment
                         Clients can communicate with authenticators using a variety of mechanisms. For example, a client MAY use a platform-specific API to communicate with an authenticator which is physically bound to a
                        platform. On the other hand, a client can use a variety of standardized cross-platform transport protocols such as Bluetooth (see 5.10.4 Authenticator Transport enumeration (enum Authenticator Transport)) to discover and communicate with cross-platform attached authenticators. Therefore, we use AuthenticatorAttachment to describe an authenticator's attachment modality. We define authenticators that are part of the client's platform as having a platform attachment, and refer to them as platform authenticators. While those that are reachable via cross-platform transport protocols are defined as having
                          reachable via cross-platform transport protocols are defined as having
                          cross-platform attachment, and refer to them as roaming authenticators.
                               platform attachment - the respective authenticator is attached using platform-specific transports. Usually, authenticators of this
                               class are non-removable from the platform. A public key credential
                              bound to a platform authenticator is called a platform credential.

* cross-platform attachment - the respective authenticator is
                              attached using cross-platform transports. Authenticators of this class are removable from, and can "roam" among, client platforms. A public key credential bound to a roaming authenticator is called a
                               roaming credential.
                          This distinction is important because there are use-cases where only
                          platform authenticators are acceptable to a Relying Party, and
                        platform authenticators are acceptable to a Relying Party, and conversely ones where only roaming authenticators are employed. As a concrete example of the former, a platform credential may be used by Relying Parties to quickly and conveniently reauthenticate the user with a minimum of friction, e.g., the user will not have to dig around in their pocket for their key fob or phone. As a concrete example of the latter, when the user is accessing the Relying Party from a given client for the first time, they may be asked to use a roaming credential which was originally registered with the Relying Party using a different client
                          a different client.
                       Note: An attachment modality selection option is available only in the [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) operation. The Relying Party may use it to, for example, ensure the user has a roaming credential for authenticating using other clients; or to specifically register a platform credential for easier reauthentication using a particular client. The [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) operation has no attachment modality selection option, so the Relying Party should accept any of the user's registered credentials. The client and user will then use whichever is available and convenient at the time.
2186
2187
2188
                            5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum
```

2005 200€

2007

2008

2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

2014

2015

2016 2017

2018

2019

2020 2021

2022

2023

2024

2025

2026 2027

2028 2029

2030

2031

2032

2033

2034

2035

2041

2042

2043

2044

2045

2046

2047

2048

2053

2054

2055

205€

2057

2058

2059

2060

2061 2062

2063

2064 2065

206€

2067

2068

2069

2070

2071

2072

AttestationConveyancePreference)

```
/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-5e63e57-WD-07.txt, Top line: 2004
                 AttestationConveyancePreference)
                Relying Parties may use AttestationConveyancePreference to specify
               their preference regarding attestation conveyance during credential
             enum AttestationConveyancePreference {
                 "indirect",
                 "direct"
                 * none - indicates that the Relying Party is not interested in authenticator attestation. The client may replace the AAGUID and attestation statement generated by the authenticator with
                   meaningless client-generated values. For example, in order to avoid
                  having to obtain user consent to relay uniquely identifying information to the Relying Party, or to save a roundtrip to a
                   Privacy CA.
                   This is the default value.
                 indirect - indicates that the Relying Party prefers an attestation conveyance yielding verifiable attestation statements, but allows the client to decide how to obtain such attestation statements. The client may replace the authenticator-generated attestation statements with attestation statements generated by a Privacy CA,
                  in order to protect the user's privacy, or to assist Relying Parties with attestation verification in a heterogeneous ecosystem.
                  Note: There is no guarantee that the Relying Party will obtain a verifiable attestation statement in this case. For example, in the
                 case that the authenticator employs self attestation.

* direct - indicates that the Relying Party wants to receive the attestation statement as generated by the authenticator.
               5.5. Options for Assertion Generation (dictionary
               PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions)
                The PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions dictionary supplies get() with
               the data it needs to generate an assertion. Its challenge member must
            be present, while its other members are optional. dictionary PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions { required BufferSource challenge;
                 unsigned long
                                                         timeout:
                 USVString
                                                       rpld:
                sequence<PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor> allowCredentials = [];
                                                                  userVerification = "preferred":
                 UserVerificationRequirement
                AuthenticationExtensions
                                                                extensions:
               challenge, of type BufferSource
                     This member represents a challenge that the selected
                    authenticator signs, along with other data, when producing an authentication assertion. See the 13.1 Cryptographic Challenges security consideration.
               timeout, of type unsigned long
                     This optional member specifies a time, in milliseconds, that the
                     caller is willing to wait for the call to complete. The value is
                     treated as a hint, and may be overridden by the platform.
               rpld, of type USVString
                     This optional member specifies the relying party identifier claimed by the caller. If omitted, its value will be the
                     CredentialsContainer object's relevant settings object's
                     origin's effective domain.
               allowCredentials, of type sequence<PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor>, defaulting to None
                     This optional member contains a list of
                     PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor objects representing public key
                     credentials acceptable to the caller, in decending order of the
```

```
2190
2191
                Relying Parties may use AttestationConveyancePreference to specify
2192
                their preference regarding attestation conveyance during credential
2193
2194
              enum AttestationConveyancePreference {
2195
                 "none",
"indirect",
2196
2197
                 "direct"
2198
2199
2200
                  * none - indicates that the Relying Party is not interested in authenticator attestation. For example, in order to potentially avoid having to obtain user consent to relay identifying
2201
2202
2203
                    information to the Relying Party, or to save a roundtrip to an
2204
                    Attestation CA.
2205
                   This is the default value.
                  * indirect - indicates that the Relying Party prefers an attestation conveyance yielding verifiable attestation statements, but allows the client to decide how to obtain such attestation statements. The client MAY replace the authenticator-generated attestation
220€
2207
2208
2209
2210
2211
2212
2213
                   statements with attestation statements generated by an Anonymization CA, in order to protect the user's privacy, or to assist Relying Parties with attestation verification in a
                   heterogeneous ecosystem.

Note: There is no guarantee that the Relying Party will obtain a verifiable attestation statement in this case. For example, in the
2214
2215
                  case that the authenticator employs self attestation.

* direct - indicates that the Relying Party wants to receive the attestation statement as generated by the authenticator.
2216
2217
2218
2219
2220
               5.5. Options for Assertion Generation (dictionary
2221
               PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions)
2222
2223
                The PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions dictionary supplies get() with
2224
2225
                the data it needs to generate an assertion. Its challenge member MUST
             be present, while its other members are OPTIONAL. dictionary PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions { required BufferSource challenge;
222€
2227
2228
                 unsigned long
USVString
                                                           timeout;
2229
                                                        rpld:
2230
                 sequence<PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor> allowCredentials = [];
2231
                                                                    userVerification = "preferred";
                 UserVerificationRequirement
                 AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs extensions:
2232
2233
2234
2235
2236
                challenge, of type BufferSource
                      This member represents a challenge that the selected
2237
                      authenticator signs, along with other data, when producing an authentication assertion. See the 13.1 Cryptographic Challenges
2238
2239
                      security consideration.
2240
2241
                timeout, of type unsigned long
This OPTIONAL member specifies a time, in milliseconds, that the
2242
2243
                      caller is willing to wait for the call to complete. The value is
2244
2245
2246
                      treated as a hint, and MAY be overridden by the platform.
               rpld, of type USVString
This optional member specifies the relying party identifier claimed by the caller. If omitted, its value will be the
2247
2248
2249
                      CredentialsContainer object's relevant settings object's
2250
                      origin's effective domain.
2251
2252
                allowCredentials, of type sequence<PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor>, defaulting to None
2253
2254
                      This optional member contains a list of
2255
                      PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor objects representing public key
```

credentials acceptable to the caller, in descending order of the

preferred credential, and so on down the list).

userVerification, of type UserVerificationRequirement, defaulting to
"preferred"

caller's preference (the first item in the list is the most

This member describes the Relying Party's requirements regarding user verification for the get() operation. Eligible authenticators are filtered to only those capable of satisfying this requirement.

extensions, of type AuthenticationExtensions
This optional member contains additional parameters requesting additional processing by the client and authenticator. For example, if transaction confirmation is sought from the user, then the prompt string might be included as an extension.

5.6. Abort operations with AbortSignal

Developers are encouraged to leverage the AbortController to manage the [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) and [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) operations. See DOM 3.3 Using AbortController and AbortSignal objects in APIs section for detailed instructions.

Note: DOM 3.3 Using AbortController and AbortSignal objects in APIs section specifies that web platform APIs integrating with the AbortController must reject the promise immediately once the aborted flag is set. Given the complex inheritance and parallelization structure of the [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) and [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) methods, the algorithms for the two APIs fulfills this requirement by checking the aborted flag in three places. In the case of [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), the aborted flag is checked first in Credential Management 1 2.5.4 Create a Credential immediately before calling [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), then in 5.1.3 Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method right before authenticator sessions start, and finally during authenticator sessions. The same goes for [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors).

The visibility and focus state of the Window object determines whether the [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) and [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) operations should continue. When the Window object associated with the [Document loses focus, [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) and [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) operations SHOULD be aborted.

The WHATWG HTML WG is discussing whether to provide a hook when a browsing context gains or loses focuses. If a hook is provided, the above paragraph will be updated to include the hook. See WHATWG HTML WG Issue #2711 for more details.

5.7. Authentication Extensions (typedef AuthenticationExtensions)

typedef record<DOMString, any> AuthenticationExtensions;

This is a dictionary containing zero or more WebAuthn extensions, as defined in 9 WebAuthn Extensions. An AuthenticationExtensions instance can contain either client extensions or authenticator extensions, depending upon context.

5.8. Supporting Data Structures

preferred credential, and so on down the list).

userVerification, of type UserVerificationRequirement, defaulting to "preferred"

This member describes the Relying Party's requirements regarding user verification for the get() operation. Eligible authenticators are filtered to only those capable of satisfying this requirement.

extensions, of type AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs

This OPTIONAL member contains additional parameters requesting

caller's preference (the first item in the list is the most

This OPTIONAL member contains additional parameters requesting additional processing by the client and authenticator. For example, if transaction confirmation is sought from the user, then the prompt string might be included as an extension.

5.6. Abort operations with AbortSignal

Developers are encouraged to leverage the AbortController to manage the [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) and [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) operations. See DOM 3.3 Using AbortController and AbortSignal objects in APIs section for detailed instructions.

Note: DOM 3.3 Using AbortController and AbortSignal objects in APIs section specifies that web platform APIs integrating with the AbortController must reject the promise immediately once the aborted flag is set. Given the complex inheritance and parallelization structure of the [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) and [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) methods, the algorithms for the two APIs fulfills this requirement by checking the aborted flag in three places. In the case of [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), the aborted flag is checked first in Credential Management 1 2.5.4 Create a Credential immediately before calling [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), then in 5.1.3 Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method right before authenticator sessions start, and finally during authenticator sessions. The same goes for [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors).

The visibility and focus state of the Window object determines whether the [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) and [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) operations should continue. When the Window object associated with the [Document loses focus, [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) and [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) operations SHOULD be aborted.

The WHATWG HTML WG is discussing whether to provide a hook when a browsing context gains or loses focuses. If a hook is provided, the above paragraph will be updated to include the hook. See WHATWG HTML WG Issue #2711 for more details.

5.7. Authentication Extensions Client Inputs (typedef AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs)

dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs {
};

This is a dictionary containing the client extension input values for zero or more WebAuthn extensions, as defined in 9 WebAuthn Extensions.

5.8. Authentication Extensions Client Outputs (typedef AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs)

226€

230€ 230€

The public key credential type uses certain data structures that are specified in supporting specifications. These are as follows.

5.8.1. Client data used in WebAuthn signatures (dictionary CollectedClientData)

2139

2140

2141

2142

2143

2144

2145

2146

2147

2148

2149

2150

2151 2152

2153

2154

2155

2156 2157

2158 2159

2160

2161

2162

2163 2164

2165

2166

2167

2168

2169

2170

2171

2172

2173

2174

2175 2176

2177

2178 2179

2180

2181 2182 2183

2184 2185 2186

2187

The client data represents the contextual bindings of both the Relying Party and the client platform. It is a key-value mapping with

string-valued keys. Values may be any type that has a valid encoding in JSON. Its structure is defined by the following Web IDL. dictionary CollectedClientData {
required DOMString type;
required DOMString challenge;
required DOMString origin; required DOMString hashAlgorithm: **DOMString** tokenBindingId; AuthenticationExtensions clientExtensions; AuthenticationExtensions authenticatorExtensions;

The type member contains the string "webauthn.create" when creating new credentials, and "webauthn.get" when getting an assertion from an existing credential. The purpose of this member is to prevent certain types of signature confusion attacks (where an attacker substitutes one legitimate signature for another).

The challenge member contains the base64url encoding of the challenge provided by the RP. See the 13.1 Cryptographic Challenges security consideration.

The origin member contains the fully qualified origin of the requester. as provided to the authenticator by the client, in the syntax defined by [RFC6454].

The hashAlgorithm member is a recognized algorithm name that supports the "digest" operation, which specifies the algorithm used to compute the hash of the serialized client data. This algorithm is chosen by the client at its sole discretion.

The tokenBindingId member contains the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID that this client uses for the Token Binding protocol when communicating with the Relying Party. This can be omitted if no Token Binding has been negotiated between the client and the Relying Party.

The optional clientExtensions and authenticatorExtensions members contain additional parameters generated by processing the extensions passed in by the Relying Party. WebAuthn extensions are detailed in Section 9 WebAuthn Extensions.

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 2325

```
2325
2326
2327
               dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs {
2328
2329
                 This is a dictionary containing the client extension output values for zero or more WebAuthn extensions, as defined in 9 WebAuthn Extensions.
2330
2331
2332
2333
                5.9. Authentication Extensions Authenticator Inputs (typedef AuthenticationExtensionsAuthenticatorInputs)
2334
2335
               typedef record<DOMString, DOMString> AuthenticationExtensionsAuthenticatorInputs
2336
2337
2338
2339
                 This is a dictionary containing the authenticator extension input values for zero or more WebAuthn extensions, as defined in 9 WebAuthn
                 Extensions.
2340
2341
               5.10. Supporting Data Structures
2342
2343
                 The public key credential type uses certain data structures that are
2344
                 specified in supporting specifications. These are as follows.
2345
2346
                   5.10.1. Client data used in WebAuthn signatures (dictionary
2347
                   CollectedClientData)
2348
              The client data represents the contextual bindings of both the Relying Party and the client platform. It is a key-value mapping with string-valued keys. Values can be any type that has a valid encoding in JSON. Its structure is defined by the following Web IDL. dictionary CollectedClientData { required DOMString type; required DOMString challenge; required DOMString origin; Telepopling type type that has a valid encoding type; required DOMString challenge; required DOMString origin;
2349
2350
2351
2352
2353
2354
2355
235€
2357
                  TokenBinding
                                                        tokenBinding:
2358
2359
2360
               dictionary TokenBinding {
2361
2362
                  required TokenBindingStatus status;
                  DOMString id:
2363
2364
2365
               enum TokenBindingStatus { "present", "supported", "not-supported" };
2366
2367
2368
2369
2370
2371
                 legitimate signature for another).
2372
2373
2374
2375
                 consideration.
237€
2377
```

The type member contains the string "webauthn.create" when creating new credentials, and "webauthn.get" when getting an assertion from an existing credential. The purpose of this member is to prevent certain types of signature confusion attacks (where an attacker substitutes one

The challenge member contains the base64url encoding of the challenge provided by the RP. See the 13.1 Cryptographic Challenges security

The origin member contains the fully qualified origin of the requester. as provided to the authenticator by the client, in the syntax defined by [RFC6454].

The tokenBinding member contains information about the state of the Token Binding protocol used when communicating with the Relying Party. The status member is one of:

- * not-supported: when the client does not support token binding.

 * supported: the client supports token binding, but it was not negotiated when communicating with the Relying Party.

 * present: token binding was used when communicating with the Relying Party. In this case, the id member MUST be present and MUST be a base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID that was used.

2378

2379

2380

2381

2387

```
/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-5e63e57-WD-07.txt, Top line: 2188
2189
            This structure is used by the client to compute the following
2190
            quantities:
2191
2192
            JSON-serialized client data
2193
                 This is the UTF-8 encoding of the result of calling the initial
2194
                value of JSON.stringify on a CollectedClientData dictionary.
2195
2196
            Hash of the serialized client data
2197
                 This is the hash (computed using hashAlgorithm) of the
2198
                JSON-serialized client data, as constructed by the client.
2199
2200
             5.8.2. Credential Type enumeration (enum PublicKeyCredentialType)
2201
2202
          enum PublicKeyCredentialType {
2203
              "public-key"
2204
2205
2206
            This enumeration defines the valid credential types. It is an extension point; values may be added to it in the future, as more credential
2207
2208
            types are defined. The values of this enumeration are used for
2209
            versioning the Authentication Assertion and attestation structures
2210
            according to the type of the authenticator.
2211
2212
2213
            Currently one credential type is defined, namely "public-key".
2214
             5.8.3. Credential Descriptor (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor)
2215
          dictionary PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor { required PublicKeyCredentialType type
2216
2217
2218
             required BufferSource
2219
             sequence<AuthenticatorTransport>
                                                        transports;
2220
2221
2222
            This dictionary contains the attributes that are specified by a caller
2223
2224
2225
            when referring to a credential as an input parameter to the create() or
            get() methods. It mirrors the fields of the PublicKeyCredential object
            returned by the latter methods.
2226
2227
2228
            The type member contains the type of the credential the caller is
            referring to.
2229
2230
            The id member contains the identifier of the credential that the caller
2231
            is referring to.
2232
2233
             5.8.4. Authenticator Transport enumeration (enum AuthenticatorTransport)
2234
2235
          enum AuthenticatorTransport {
2236
2237
2238
2239
2240
              "usb"
             "nfc"
             "ble"
2241
            Authenticators may communicate with Clients using a variety of transports. This enumeration defines a hint as to how Clients might
2242
2243
            communicate with a particular Authenticator in order to obtain an
2244
            assertion for a specific credential. Note that these hints represent
            the Relying Party's best belief as to how an Authenticator may be
2245
2246
2247
            reached. A Relying Party may obtain a list of transports hints from
            some attestation statement formats or via some out-of-band mechanism;
            it is outside the scope of this specification to define that mechanism.

* usb - the respective Authenticator may be contacted over USB.
2248
2249
2250
              * nfc - the respective Authenticator may be contacted over Near Field
2251
               Communication (NFC).
2252
              * ble - the respective Authenticator may be contacted over Bluetooth
2253
               Smart (Bluetooth Low Energy / BLE).
2254
2255
             5.8.5. Cryptographic Algorithm Identifier (typedef COSEAlgorithmIdentifier)
225€
2257
          typedef long COSEAlgorithmIdentifier;
```

```
2391
             This structure is used by the client to compute the following
2392
             quantities:
2393
2394
             JSON-serialized client data
2395
                  This is the UTF-8 encoding of the result of calling the initial
                  value of JSON.stringify on a CollectedClientData dictionary.
239€
2397
2398
             Hash of the serialized client data
2399
                  This is the hash (computed using SHA-256) of the JSON-serialized
2400
                  client data, as constructed by the client.
2401
2402
2403
              5.10.2. Credential Type enumeration (enum PublicKeyCredentialType)
2404
           enum PublicKeyCredentialType {
2405
               "public-key'
240€
2407
2408
             This enumeration defines the valid credential types. It is an extension
2409
             point; values can be added to it in the future, as more credential
2410
             types are defined. The values of this enumeration are used for
2411
             versioning the Authentication Assertion and attestation structures
2412
             according to the type of the authenticator.
2413
2414
             Currently one credential type is defined, namely "public-key".
2415
2416
              5.10.3. Credential Descriptor (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor)
2417
           dictionary PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor { required PublicKeyCredentialType type
2418
2419
2420
              required BufferSource
2421
              sequence<AuthenticatorTransport>
                                                            transports;
2422
2423
2424
             This dictionary contains the attributes that are specified by a caller
2425
2426
2427
             when referring to a public key credential as an input parameter to the create() or get() methods. It mirrors the fields of the PublicKeyCredential object returned by the latter methods.
2428
2429
2430
             The type member contains the type of the public key credential the
             caller is referring to.
2431
2432
2433
             The id member contains the credential ID of the public key credential
             that the caller is referring to.
2434
2435
              5.10.4. Authenticator Transport enumeration (enum Authenticator Transport)
243€
2437
           enum AuthenticatorTransport {
2438
              "usb",
2439
              "nfc".
2440
              "ble"
2441
2442
             Authenticators may communicate with clients using a variety of transports. This enumeration defines a hint as to how clients might communicate with a particular authenticator in order to obtain an assertion for a specific credential. Note that these hints represent
2443
2444
2445
244€
2447
             the Relying Party's best belief as to how an authenticator may be
2448
             reached. A Relying Party may obtain a list of transports hints from
2449
             some attestation statement formats or via some out-of-band mechanism;
             it is outside the scope of this specification to define that mechanism.

* usb - the respective authenticator can be contacted over USB.
2450
2451
2452
               * nfc - the respective authenticator can be contacted over Near Field
                Communication (NFC).
2453
2454
               * ble - the respective authenticator can be contacted over Bluetooth
2455
                Smart (Bluetooth Low Energy / BLE).
245€
2457
              5.10.5. Cryptographic Algorithm Identifier (typedef COSEAlgorithmIdentifier)
2458
2459
           typedef long COSEAlgorithmIdentifier;
```

```
2259
2260
2261
2262
2263
2264
2265
226€
2267
2268
2269
2270
2271
2272
2273
2274
2275
2276
2277
2278
2279
2280
2281
2282
2283
2284
2285
2286
2287
2288
2289
2290
2291
2292
2293
2294
2295
2296
2297
2298
2299
2300
2301
2302
2303
2304
2305
230€
2307
2308
2309
2310
2311
2312
```

```
A COSEAlgorithmIdentifier's value is a number identifying a cryptographic algorithm. The algorithm identifiers SHOULD be values registered in the IANA COSE Algorithms registry [IANA-COSE-ALGS-REG], for instance, -7 for "ES256" and -257 for "RS256".
```

5.8.6. User Verification Requirement enumeration (enum UserVerificationRequirement)

```
enum UserVerificationRequirement {
    "required",
    "preferred",
    "discouraged"
};
```

A Relying Party may require user verification for some of its operations but not for others, and may use this type to express its needs.

The value required indicates that the Relying Party requires user verification for the operation and will fail the operation if the response does not have the UV flag set.

The value preferred indicates that the Relying Party prefers user verification for the operation if possible, but will not fail the operation if the response does not have the UV flag set.

The value discouraged indicates that the Relying Party does not want user verification employed during the operation (e.g., in the interest of minimizing disruption to the user interaction flow).

6. WebAuthn Authenticator model

The API defined in this specification implies a specific abstract functional model for an authenticator. This section describes the authenticator model.

Client platforms may implement and expose this abstract model in any way desired. However, the behavior of the client's Web Authentication API implementation, when operating on the authenticators supported by that platform, MUST be indistinguishable from the behavior specified in 5 Web Authentication API.

For authenticators, this model defines the logical operations that they must support, and the data formats that they expose to the client and the Relying Party. However, it does not define the details of how authenticators communicate with the client platform, unless they are required for interoperability with Relying Parties. For instance, this abstract model does not define protocols for connecting authenticators to clients over transports such as USB or NFC. Similarly, this abstract model does not define specific error codes or methods of returning them; however, it does define error behavior in terms of the needs of the client. Therefore, specific error codes are mentioned as a means of showing which error conditions must be distinguishable (or not) from each other in order to enable a compliant and secure client implementation.

In this abstract model, the authenticator provides key management and cryptographic signatures. It may be embedded in the WebAuthn client, or housed in a separate device entirely. The authenticator may itself contain a cryptographic module which operates at a higher security level than the rest of the authenticator. This is particularly

enum UserVerificationRequirement {
 "required",
 "preferred",
 "discouraged"
};

A Relying Party may require user verification for some of its operations but not for others, and may use this type to express its needs.

The value required indicates that the Relying Party requires user verification for the operation and will fail the operation if the response does not have the UV flag set.

The value preferred indicates that the Relying Party prefers user verification for the operation if possible, but will not fail the operation if the response does not have the UV flag set.

The value discouraged indicates that the Relying Party does not want user verification employed during the operation (e.g., in the interest of minimizing disruption to the user interaction flow).

6. WebAuthn Authenticator Model

The Web Authentication API implies a specific abstract functional model for an authenticator. This section describes that authenticator model.

Client platforms MAY implement and expose this abstract model in any way desired. However, the behavior of the client's Web Authentication API implementation, when operating on the authenticators supported by that platform, MUST be indistinguishable from the behavior specified in 5 Web Authentication API.

For authenticators, this model defines the logical operations that they MUST support, and the data formats that they expose to the client and the Relying Party. However, it does not define the details of how authenticators communicate with the client platform, unless they are necessary for interoperability with Relying Parties. For instance, this abstract model does not define protocols for connecting authenticators to clients over transports such as USB or NFC. Similarly, this abstract model does not define specific error codes or methods of returning them; however, it does define error behavior in terms of the needs of the client. Therefore, specific error codes are mentioned as a means of showing which error conditions must be distinguishable (or not) from each other in order to enable a compliant and secure client implementation.

Relying Parties may influence authenticator selection, if they deem necessary, by stipulating various authenticator characteristics when creating credentials and/or when generating assertions, through use of credential creation options or assertion generation options, respectively. The algorithms underlying the WebAuthn API marshal these options and pass them to the applicable authenticator operations defined below.

In this abstract model, the authenticator provides key management and cryptographic signatures. It can be embedded in the WebAuthn client or housed in a separate device entirely. The authenticator itself can contain a cryptographic module which operates at a higher security level than the rest of the authenticator. This is particularly

247€

248€

important for authenticators that are embedded in the WebAuthn client, as in those cases this cryptographic module (which may, for example, be a TPM) could be considered more trustworthy than the rest of the authenticator.

2342

2344

235€ 235€

236€

2368

2380

238€

Each authenticator stores some number of public key credentials. Each public key credential has an identifier which is unique (or extremely unlikely to be duplicated) among all public key credentials. Each credential is also associated with a Relying Party, whose identity is represented by a Relying Party Identifier (RP ID).

Each authenticator has an AAGUID, which is a 128-bit identifier that indicates the type (e.g. make and model) of the authenticator. The AAGUID MUST be chosen by the manufacturer to be identical across all substantially identical authenticators made by that manufacturer, and different (with probability 1-2^-128 or greater) from the AAGUIDs of all other types of authenticators. The RP MAY use the AAGUID to infer certain properties of the authenticator, such as certification level and strength of key protection, using information from other sources.

The primary function of the authenticator is to provide WebAuthn signatures, which are bound to various contextual data. These data are observed, and added at different levels of the stack as a signature request passes from the server to the authenticator. In verifying a signature, the server checks these bindings against expected values. These contextual bindings are divided in two: Those added by the RP or the client, referred to as client data; and those added by the authenticator, referred to as the authenticator data. The authenticator signs over the client data, but is otherwise not interested in its contents. To save bandwidth and processing requirements on the authenticator, the client hashes the client data and sends only the result to the authenticator. The authenticator signs over the result to the authenticator. The authenticator signs over the combination of the hash of the serialized client data, and its own authenticator data.

The goals of this design can be summarized as follows.

- * The scheme for generating signatures should accommodate cases where the link between the client platform and authenticator is very
- limited, in bandwidth and/or latency. Examples include Bluetooth
 Low Energy and Near-Field Communication.

 * The data processed by the authenticator should be small and easy to
 interpret in low-level code. In particular, authenticators should
 not have to parse high-level encodings such as JSON.

 * Both the client platform and the authenticator should have the
 flowibility to add contextual kindings as pecched.
- flexibility to add contextual bindings as needed.
- * The design aims to reuse as much as possible of existing encoding formats in order to aid adoption and implementation.

Authenticators produce cryptographic signatures for two distinct purposes:

- purposes:

 1. An attestation signature is produced when a new public key credential is created via an authenticatorMakeCredential operation. An attestation signature provides cryptographic proof of certain properties of the the authenticator and the credential. For instance, an attestation signature asserts the authenticator type (as denoted by its AAGUID) and the credential public key. The attestation signature is signed by an attestation private key, which is chosen depending on the type of attestation desired. For more details on attestation see 6.3 Attestation more details on attestation, see 6.3 Attestation.
- An assertion signature is produced when the authenticator Get Assertion method is invoked. It represents an assertion by the authenticator that the user has consented to a specific transaction, such as logging in, or completing a purchase. Thus, an assertion signature asserts that the authenticator possessing a particular credential private key has established, to the best of its ability, that the user requesting this transaction is the same user who consented to creating that particular public key credential. It also asserts additional information, termed client data, that may be useful to the caller, such as the means by

important for authenticators that are embedded in the WebAuthn client, as in those cases this cryptographic module (which may, for example, be a TPM) could be considered more trustworthy than the rest of the authenticator.

Each authenticator stores a credentials map, a map from (rpld, [userHandle]) to public key credential source.

Additionally, each authenticator has an AAGUID, which is a 128-bit identifier indicating the type (e.g. make and model) of the authenticator. The AAGUID MUST be chosen by the manufacturer to be identical across all substantially identical authenticators made by that manufacturer, and different (with probability 1-2^-128 or greater) from the AAGUIDs of all other types of authenticators. The RP MAY use the AAGUID to infer certain properties of the authenticator, such as certification level and strength of key protection, using information from other sources.

The primary function of the authenticator is to provide WebAuthn signatures, which are bound to various contextual data. These data are observed and added at different levels of the stack as a signature request passes from the server to the authenticator. In verifying a signature, the server checks these bindings against expected values. These contextual bindings are divided in two: Those added by the RP or the client, referred to as client data; and those added by the authenticator, referred to as the authenticator data. The authenticator signs over the client data, but is otherwise not interested in its contents. To save bandwidth and processing requirements on the authenticator, the client hashes the client data and sends only the result to the authenticator. The authenticator signs over the result to the authenticator. The authenticator signs over the combination of the hash of the serialized client data, and its own authenticator data.

The goals of this design can be summarized as follows.

- * The scheme for generating signatures should accommodate cases where the link between the client platform and authenticator is very limited, in bandwidth and/or latency. Examples include Bluetooth Low Energy and Near-Field Communication.
- * The data processed by the authenticator should be small and easy to interpret in low-level code. In particular, authenticators should not have to parse high-level encodings such as JSON.

 * Both the client platform and the authenticator should have the flexibility to add contextual bindings as needed.
- * The design aims to reuse as much as possible of existing encoding formats in order to aid adoption and implementation.

Authenticators produce cryptographic signatures for two distinct purposes:

- An attestation signature is produced when a new public key credential is created via an authenticatorMakeCredential operation. An attestation signature provides cryptographic proof of certain properties of the authenticator and the credential. For instance, an attestation signature asserts the authenticator type (as denoted by its AAGUID) and the credential public key. The attestation signature is signed by an attestation private key, which is chosen depending on the type of attestation desired. For more details on attestation, see 6.3 Attestation.
- An assertion signature is produced when the authenticator Get Assertion method is invoked. It represents an assertion by the authenticator that the user has consented to a specific transaction, such as logging in, or completing a purchase. Thus, an assertion signature asserts that the authenticator possessing a particular credential private key has established, to the best of its ability, that the user requesting this transaction is the same user who consented to creating that particular public key credential. It also asserts additional information, termed client data, that may be useful to the caller, such as the means by

2535

253€

254€

256€

2587 2588

2396 2397

2398 2399

2400

2401

2402

2403

2404

2405

240€

2407

2408

2409

2410

2411

2412

2413

2414

2415

2416

2417

2418 2419

2420

2421

2422

2423

2424

2425 2426

2427

2428

2429

2430

2431

2432

2433

2434

2435

2436

2437

2438

2439

2440

2441

2442

2443

2444

2445

244€

2447

2448

2449 2450

2451

2452

2453

2454

2455

245€

2457

2458

which user consent was provided, and the prompt shown to the user by the authenticator. The assertion signature format is illustrated in Figure 2, below.

The formats of these signatures, as well as the procedures for generating them, are specified below.

6.1. Authenticator data

The authenticator data structure encodes contextual bindings made by the authenticator. These bindings are controlled by the authenticator itself, and derive their trust from the Relying Party's assessment of the security properties of the authenticator. In one extreme case, the authenticator may be embedded in the client, and its bindings may be no more trustworthy than the client data. At the other extreme, the authenticator may be a discrete entity with high-security hardware and software, connected to the client over a secure channel. In both cases, the Palying Party receives the authenticator data in the came format. the Relying Party receives the authenticator data in the same format, and uses its knowledge of the authenticator to make trust decisions.

The authenticator data has a compact but extensible encoding. This is desired since authenticators can be devices with limited capabilities and low power requirements, with much simpler software stacks than the client platform components.

The authenticator data structure is a byte array of 37 bytes or more, as follows.

Name Length (in bytes) Description rpldHash 32 SHA-256 hash of the RP ID associated with the credential. flags 1 Flags (bit 0 is the least significant bit):

* Bit 0: User Present (UP) result.

- + 1 means the user is present.
- + 0 means the user is not present.

 * Bit 1: Reserved for future use (RFU1).
- * Bit 2: User Verified (UV) result.
- + 1 means the user is verified. + 0 means the user is not verified.
- * Bits 3-5: Reserved for future use (RFU2).
- * Bit 6: Attested credential data included (AT).
 + Indicates whether the authenticator added attested credential
- * Bit 7: Extension data included (ED).
- + Indicates if the authenticator data has extensions.

signCount 4 Signature counter, 32-bit unsigned big-endian integer. attestedCredentialData variable (if present) attested credential data (if present). See 6.3.1 Attested credential data for details. Its length depends on the length of the credential ID and credential public key being attested.

extensions variable (if present) Extension-defined authenticator data. This is a CBOR [RFC7049] map with extension identifiers as keys, and authenticator extension outputs as values. See 9 WebAuthn Extensions for details.

NOTE: The names in the Name column in the above table are only for reference within this document, and are not present in the actual representation of the authenticator data.

The RP ID is originally received from the client when the credential is created, and again when an assertion is generated. However, it differs from other client data in some important ways. First, unlike the client data, the RP ID of a credential does not change between operations but instead remains the same for the lifetime of that credential. Secondly, it is validated by the authenticator during the authenticatorGetAssertion operation, by verifying that the RP ID associated with the requested credential exactly matches the RP ID supplied by the client, and that the RP ID is a registrable domain suffix of or is equal to the effective domain of the RP's origin's effective domain.

which user consent was provided, and the prompt shown to the user by the authenticator. The assertion signature format is illustrated in Figure 2, below.

The formats of these signatures, as well as the procedures for generating them, are specified below.

6.1. Authenticator data

259€

2597

2598

2599

2600

2601

2602

2603

2604

2605 260€

2607

2608

2609

2610

2611

2612

2613

2614

2615

2616

2617

2618

2619

2620

2621

2622

2623

2624 2625

2626

2627

2628

2629

2630

2631

2632 2633

2634

2635

263€

2637

2638

2639

2640

2641

2642

2643 2644

2645

2646

2647

2648

2649

2650

2651

2652

2653

2654

2655

265€

2657

2658

2659

2660

2661

2662

2663

2664

2665

The authenticator data structure encodes contextual bindings made by the authenticator. These bindings are controlled by the authenticator itself, and derive their trust from the Relying Party's assessment of the security properties of the authenticator. In one extreme case, the authenticator may be embedded in the client, and its bindings may be no more trustworthy than the client data. At the other extreme, the authenticator may be a discrete entity with high-security hardware and software, connected to the client over a secure channel. In both cases, the Palying Party receives the authenticator data in the came format. the Relying Party receives the authenticator data in the same format, and uses its knowledge of the authenticator to make trust decisions.

The authenticator data has a compact but extensible encoding. This is desired since authenticators can be devices with limited capabilities and low power requirements, with much simpler software stacks than the client platform components.

The authenticator data structure is a byte array of 37 bytes or more, as follows.

Name Length (in bytes) Description rpldHash 32 SHA-256 hash of the RP ID associated with the credential. flags 1 Flags (bit 0 is the least significant bit):

* Bit 0: User Present (UP) result.

- + 1 means the user is present.
- + 0 means the user is not present.
- * Bit 1: Reserved for future use (RFU1).
- * Bit 2: User Verified (UV) result.
- + 1 means the user is verified. + 0 means the user is not verified.
- * Bits 3-5: Reserved for future use (RFU2).
- * Bit 6: Attested credential data included (AT).
- + Indicates whether the authenticator added attested credential
- * Bit 7: Extension data included (ED).
- + Indicates if the authenticator data has extensions.

signCount 4 Signature counter, 32-bit unsigned big-endian integer. attestedCredentialData variable (if present) attested credential data (if present). See 6.3.1 Attested credential data for details. Its length depends on the length of the credential ID and credential public key being attested.

extensions variable (if present) Extension-defined authenticator data. This is a CBOR [RFC7049] map with extension identifiers as keys, and authenticator extension outputs as values. See 9 WebAuthn Extensions for details.

NOTE: The names in the Name column in the above table are only for reference within this document, and are not present in the actual representation of the authenticator data.

The RP ID is originally received from the client when the credential is created, and again when an assertion is generated. However, it differs from other client data in some important ways. First, unlike the client data, the RP ID of a credential does not change between operations but instead remains the same for the lifetime of that credential. Secondly, it is validated by the authenticator during the authenticatorGetAssertion operation, by verifying that the RP ID associated with the requested credential exactly matches the RP ID supplied by the client, and that the RP ID is a registrable domain suffix of or is equal to the effective domain of the RP's origin's effective domain.

252€

The UP flag SHALL be set if and only if the authenticator detected a user through an authenticator specific gesture. The RFU bits SHALL be set to zero.

For attestation signatures, the authenticator MUST set the AT flag and include the attestedCredentialData. For authentication signatures, the AT flag MUST NOT be set and the attestedCredentialData MUST NOT be included.

If the authenticator does not include any extension data, it MUST set the ED flag to zero, and to one if extension data is included.

The figure below shows a visual representation of the authenticator data structure.

Authenticator data layout Authenticator data layout.

Note that the authenticator data describes its own length: If the AT and ED flags are not set, it is always 37 bytes long. The attested credential data (which is only present if the AT flag is set) describes its own length. If the ED flag is set, then the total length is 37 bytes plus the length of the attested credential data, plus the length of the CBOR map that follows.

6.1.1. Signature Counter Considerations

Authenticators MUST implement a signature counter feature. The signature counter is incremented for each successful authenticatorGetAssertion operation by some positive value, and its value is returned to the Relying Party within the authenticator data. The signature counter's purpose is to aid Relying Parties in detecting cloned authenticators. Clone detection is more important for authenticators with limited protection measures.

An Relying Party stores the signature counter of the most recent authenticatorGetAssertion operation. Upon a new authenticatorGetAssertion operation, the Relying Party compares the stored signature counter value with the new signCount value returned in the assertion's authenticator data. If this new signCount value is less than or equal to the stored value, a cloned authenticator may exist, or the authenticator may be malfunctioning.

Detecting a signature counter mismatch does not indicate whether the current operation was performed by a cloned authenticator or the original authenticator. Relying Parties should address this situation appropriately relative to their individual situations, i.e., their risk tolerance.

Authenticators:

- * should implement per-RP ID signature counters. This prevents the signature counter value from being shared between Relying Parties and being possibly employed as a correlation handle for the user. Authenticators may implement a global signature counter, i.e., on a per-authenticator basis, but this is less privacy-friendly for users.
- * should ensure that the signature counter value does not accidentally decrease (e.g., due to hardware failures).

6.2. Authenticator operations

A client must connect to an authenticator in order to invoke any of the operations of that authenticator. This connection defines an authenticator session. An authenticator must maintain isolation between sessions. It may do this by only allowing one session to exist at any particular time, or by providing more complicated session management.

The following operations can be invoked by the client in an authenticator session.

6.2.1. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation

The UP flag SHALL be set if and only if the authenticator detected a user through an authenticator specific gesture. The RFU bits SHALL be set to zero.

For attestation signatures, the authenticator MUST set the AT flag and include the attestedCredentialData. For authentication signatures, the AT flag MUST NOT be set and the attestedCredentialData MUST NOT be included.

If the authenticator does not include any extension data, it MUST set the ED flag to zero, and to one if extension data is included.

The figure below shows a visual representation of the authenticator data structure.

[fido-signature-formats-figure1.svg] Authenticator data layout.

Note that the authenticator data describes its own length: If the AT and ED flags are not set, it is always 37 bytes long. The attested credential data (which is only present if the AT flag is set) describes its own length. If the ED flag is set, then the total length is 37 bytes plus the length of the attested credential data, plus the length of the CBOR map that follows.

6.1.1. Signature Counter Considerations

Authenticators MUST implement a signature counter feature. The signature counter is incremented for each successful authenticatorGetAssertion operation by some positive value, and its value is returned to the Relying Party within the authenticator data. The signature counter's purpose is to aid Relying Parties in detecting cloned authenticators. Clone detection is more important for authenticators with limited protection measures.

An Relying Party stores the signature counter of the most recent authenticatorGetAssertion operation. Upon a new authenticatorGetAssertion operation, the Relying Party compares the stored signature counter value with the new signCount value returned in the assertion's authenticator data. If this new signCount value is less than or equal to the stored value, a cloned authenticator may exist, or the authenticator may be malfunctioning.

Detecting a signature counter mismatch does not indicate whether the current operation was performed by a cloned authenticator or the original authenticator. Relying Parties should address this situation appropriately relative to their individual situations, i.e., their risk tolerance.

Authenticators:

- * should implement per-RP ID signature counters. This prevents the signature counter value from being shared between Relying Parties and being possibly employed as a correlation handle for the user. Authenticators may implement a global signature counter, i.e., on a per-authenticator basis, but this is less privacy-friendly for users
- * should ensure that the signature counter value does not accidentally decrease (e.g., due to hardware failures).

6.2. Authenticator operations

A WebAuthn Client MUST connect to an authenticator in order to invoke any of the operations of that authenticator. This connection defines an authenticator session. An authenticator must maintain isolation between sessions. It may do this by only allowing one session to exist at any particular time, or by providing more complicated session management.

The following operations can be invoked by the client in an authenticator session.

6.2.1. Lookup Credential Source by Credential ID algorithm

266€

267€

268€

270€

271€

2585

It takes the following input parameters:

The hash of the serialized client data, provided by the client.

rpEntity

The Relying Party's PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity.

The user account's PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity, containing the user handle given by the Relying Party.

requireResidentKey

The authenticatorSelection.requireResidentKey value given by the Relying Party.

requireUserPresence

A Boolean value provided by the client, which in invocations from a WebAuthn Client's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method is always set to the inverse of requireUserVerification.

requireUserVerification

The effective user verification requirement for credential creation, a Boolean value provided by the client.

credTypesAndPubKeyAlgs

A sequence of pairs of PublicKeyCredentialType and public key algorithms (COSEAlgorithmIdentifier) requested by the Relying Party. This sequence is ordered from most preferred to least preferred. The platform makes a best-effort to create the most preferred credential that it can.

excludeCredentialDescriptorList

An optional list of PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor objects provided by the Relying Party with the intention that, if any of these are known to the authenticator, it should not create a new credential. excludeCredentialDescriptorList contains a list of known credentials.

extensions

A map from extension identifiers to their authenticator extension inputs, created by the client based on the extensions requested by the Relying Party, if any.

Note: Before performing this operation, all other operations in progress in the authenticator session must be aborted by running the authenticatorCancel operation.

When this operation is invoked, the authenticator must perform the following procedure:

- 1. Check if all the supplied parameters are syntactically well-formed and of the correct length. If not, return an error code equivalent to "UnknownError" and terminate the operation.
- 2. Check if at least one of the specified combinations of PublicKeyCredentialType and cryptographic parameters in

/Users	/jeh
2736	Ī
2737	
2738	
2739	
2740 2741	
2742	
2743	l
2744	
2745	
2746	
2747 2748	
2748 2749	
2750	
2751	İ
2752	İ
2753	
2754	
275€ 275€	ŀ
2757	
2758	l
2759	İ
2760	
2761	
2762 2763	
2764	ŀ
2765	
276€	İ
2767	
2768	
2769	
2770 2771	
2772	
2773	
277 4	İ
2775	
2776	
2777 2778	
2779	ŀ
2780	
2781	ĺ
2782	
2783	
2784	
2785 278€	
2787	
2788	
2789	ĺ
2790	
2791	
2792 2793	
2793 2794	
2795	l
2796	ĺ
2797	
2798	
2799 2800	
280t 2801	
2802	l
2002	

The result of looking up a credential id credentialld in an authenticator authenticator is the result of the following algorithm:

1. If authenticator can decrypt credentialld into a public key credential source credSource:

1. Set credSource.id to credentialld. Return credSource.
 For each public key credential source credSource of authenticator's

credentials map: 1. If credSource.id is credentialld, return credSource.

3. Return null.

6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation

It takes the following input parameters:

The hash of the serialized client data, provided by the client.

rpEntity

The Relying Party's PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity.

The user account's PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity, containing the user handle given by the Relying Party.

requireResidentKey
The authenticatorSelection.requireResidentKey value given by the Relying Party.

requireUserPresence

A Boolean value provided by the client, which in invocations from a WebAuthn Client's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method is always set to the inverse of requireUserVerification.

requireUserVerification

The effective user verification requirement for credential creation, a Boolean value provided by the client.

credTvpesAndPubKevAlas

A sequence of pairs of PublicKeyCredentialType and public key algorithms (COSEAlgorithmIdentifier) requested by the Relying Party. This sequence is ordered from most preferred to least preferred. The platform makes a best-effort to create the most preferred credential that it can.

excludeCredentialDescriptorList
An optional list of PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor objects
provided by the Relying Party with the intention that, if any of these are known to the authenticator, it should not create a new credential. excludeCredentialDescriptorList contains a list of known credentials.

extensions

A CBOR map from extension identifiers to their authenticator extension inputs, created by the client based on the extensions requested by the Relying Party, if any.

Note: Before performing this operation, all other operations in progress in the authenticator session MUST be aborted by running the authenticatorCancel operation.

When this operation is invoked, the authenticator MUST perform the following procedure:

- 1. Check if all the supplied parameters are syntactically well-formed and of the correct length. If not, return an error code equivalent to "UnknownError" and terminate the operation.
- 2. Check if at least one of the specified combinations of PublicKeyCredentialType and cryptographic parameters in

2804

- credTypesAndPubKeyAlgs is supported. If not, return an error code
- equivalent to "NotSupportedError" and terminate the operation.

 3. Check if any credential bound to this authenticator matches an item of excludeCredentialDescriptorList. A match occurs if a credential matches rpEntity.id and an excludeCredentialDescriptorList item's excludeCredentialDescriptorList.id and excludeCredentialDescriptorList.type. If so, return an error code equivalent to "NotAllowedError" and terminate the operation.

- 4. If requireResidentKey is true and the authenticator cannot store a Client-side-resident Credential Private Key, return an error code
- equivalent to "ConstraintError" and terminate the operation.

 5. If requireUserVerification is true and the authenticator cannot perform user verification, return an error code equivalent to
- "ConstraintError" and terminate the operation.

 6. Obtain user consent for creating a new credential. The prompt for obtaining this consent is shown by the authenticator if it has its own output capability, or by the user agent otherwise. The prompt SHOULD display rpEntity.id, rpEntity.name, userEntity.name and userEntity.displayName, if possible.

 If requireUserVerification is true, the method of obtaining user consent MUST include user verification. If requireUserPresence is true, the method of obtaining user consent MUST include a test of user presence.
- If the user denies consent or if user verification fails, return an error code equivalent to "NotAllowedError" and terminate the operation.
- 7. Once user consent has been obtained, generate a new credential obiect:
 - Let (publicKey,privateKey) be a new pair of cryptographic keys using the combination of PublicKeyCredentialType and cryptographic parameters represented by the first item in credTypesAndPubKevAlas that is supported by this authenticator.

 2. Let credentialld be a new identifier for this credential that
 - is globally unique with high probability across all credentials with the same type across all authenticators.

 3. Let userHandle be userEntity.id.

 - 4. Associate the credentialld and privateKey with rpEntity.id and userHandle.
 - 5. Delete any older credentials with the same rpEntity.id and userHandle that are stored locally by the authenticator.

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 2806

credTypesAndPubKeyAlgs is supported. If not, return an error code equivalent to "NotSupportedError" and terminate the operation.

3. For each descriptor of excludeCredentialDescriptorList:

1. If looking up descriptor.id in this authenticator returns non-null, and the returned item's RP ID and type match rpEntity.id and excludeCredentialDescriptorList.type respectively, then obtain user consent for creating a new credential. The method of obtaining user consent MUST include a test of user presence. If the user 280€ 2807 2808 2809 2810 2811 2812 2814 a test of user presence. If the user 2815 2816 confirms consent to create a new credential 2817 return an error code equivalent to "InvalidStateError" and terminate the operation. 2818 2819 2820 does not consent to create a new credential 2821 2822 return an error code equivalent to "NotAllowedError" and terminate the operation. 2823 4. If requireResidentKey is true and the authenticator cannot store a Client-side-resident Credential Private Key, return an error code 2824 2825 equivalent to "ConstraintError" and terminate the operation.

5. If requireUserVerification is true and the authenticator cannot perform user verification, return an error code equivalent to 282€ 2827 2828 "ConstraintError" and terminate the operation.

6. Obtain user consent for creating a new credential. The prompt for obtaining this consent is shown by the authenticator if it has its 2829 2830 2831 own output capability, or by the user agent otherwise. The prompt SHOULD display rpEntity.id, rpEntity.name, userEntity.name and userEntity.displayName, if possible.

If requireUserVerification is true, the method of obtaining user consent MUST include user verification. 2832 2833 2834 2835 2836 2837 If requireUserPresence is true, the method of obtaining user 2838 consent MUST include a test of user presence. 2839 2840 If the user does not consent or if user verification fails, return an error code equivalent to "NotAllowedError" and terminate the 2841 operation. 2842 7. Once user consent has been obtained, generate a new credential 2843 object: 2844 2845 Let (publicKey, privateKey) be a new pair of cryptographic keys using the combination of PublicKeyCredentialType and 284€ cryptographic parameters represented by the first item in 2847 credTypesAndPubKevAlgs that is supported by this 2848 authenticator. 2849 2850 2851 2852 2853 2854 2855 2856 2857 2. Let userHandle be userEntity.id. 3. Let credentialSource be a new public key credential source with the fields: type public-key. privateKey privateKey 2858 2859 2860 2861 rpEntity.id

userHandle userHandle

otherUl Any other information the authenticator chooses to include.

- 4. If requireResidentKey is true or the authenticator chooses to create a Client-side-resident Credential Private Key:

 1. Let credentialld be a new credential id.

 2. Set credentialSource.id to credentialld.

 3. Let credentials be this authenticator's credentials map.

 - 4. Set credentials[(rpEntity.id, userHandle)] to credentialSource.

2862 2863 2864

2865 2866 2867

2868

- 8. If any error occurred while creating the new credential object, return an error code equivalent to "UnknownError" and terminate the
- 9. Let processedExtensions be the result of authenticator extension processing for each supported extension identifier/input pair in extensions.
- 10. If the authenticator supports:
 - a per-RP ID signature counter allocate the counter, associate it with the RP ID, and initialize the counter value as zero.
 - a global signature counter Use the global signature counter's actual value when generating authenticator data.
 - a per credential signature counter allocate the counter, associate it with the new credential, and initialize the counter value as zero.
- 11. Let attestedCredentialData be the attested credential data byte array including the credentialId and publicKey.
 12. Let authenticatorData be the byte array specified in 6.1 Authenticator data, including attestedCredentialData as the attestedCredentialData and processedExtensions, if any, as the
- 13. Return the attestation object for the new credential created by the procedure specified in 6.3.4 Generating an Attestation Object using an authenticator-chosen attestation statement format. authenticatorData, and hash. For more details on attestation, see 6.3 Attestation.

On successful completion of this operation, the authenticator returns the attestation object to the client.

6.2.2. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation

It takes the following input parameters:

The caller's RP ID, as determined by the user agent and the client.

The hash of the serialized client data, provided by the client.

allowCredentialDescriptorList
An optional list of PublicKeyCredentialDescriptors describing credentials acceptable to the Relying Party (possibly filtered by the client), if any.

requireUserPresence

A Boolean value provided by the client, which in invocations from a WebAuthn Client's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method is always set to the inverse of requireUserVerification.

requireUserVerification

The effective user verification requirement for assertion, a Boolean value provided by the client.

extensions

A map from extension identifiers to their authenticator extension inputs, created by the client based on the extensions requested by the Relying Party, if any.

1. Let credentialld be the result of serializing and encrypting credentialSource so that only this authenticator can decrypt it.

8. If any error occurred while creating the new credential object, return an error code equivalent to "UnknownError" and terminate the

 Let processedExtensions be the result of authenticator extension processing for each supported extension identifier -> authenticator extension input in extensions.

- 10. If the authenticator supports:
 - a per-RP ID signature counter allocate the counter, associate it with the RP ID, and initialize the counter value as zero.
 - a global signature counter Use the global signature counter's actual value when generating authenticator data.
 - a per credential signature counter allocate the counter, associate it with the new credential, and initialize the counter value as zero.
- 11. Let attestedCredentialData be the attested credential data byte array including the credentialId and publicKey.
 12. Let authenticatorData be the byte array specified in 6.1
 Authenticator data, including attestedCredentialData as the attestedCredentialData and processedExtensions, if any, as the extensions.
- 13. Return the attestation object for the new credential created by the procedure specified in 6.3.4 Generating an Attestation Object using an authenticator-chosen attestation statement format, authenticatorData, and hash. For more details on attestation, see 6.3 Attestation.

On successful completion of this operation, the authenticator returns the attestation object to the client.

6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation

It takes the following input parameters:

The caller's RP ID, as determined by the user agent and the client.

The hash of the serialized client data, provided by the client.

allowCredentialDescriptorList
An optional list of PublicKeyCredentialDescriptors describing credentials acceptable to the Relying Party (possibly filtered by the client), if any.

requireUserPresence

A Boolean value provided by the client, which in invocations from a WebAuthn Client's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method is always set to the inverse of requireUserVerification.

requireUserVerification

The effective user verification requirement for assertion, a Boolean value provided by the client.

A CBOR map from extension identifiers to their authenticator extension inputs, created by the client based on the extensions requested by the Relying Party, if any.

2940

2941

2942

2943

2944

2759

2760 2761

2762

Note: Before performing this operation, all other operations in progress in the authenticator session must be aborted by running the authenticatorCancel operation.

When this method is invoked, the authenticator must perform the

- following procedure:

 1. Check if all the supplied parameters are syntactically well-formed and of the correct length. If not, return an error code equivalent
- to "UnknownError" and terminate the operation.

 2. If requireUserVerification is true and the authenticator cannot perform user verification, return an error code equivalent to
- "ConstraintError" and terminate the operation.
 3. If allowCredentialDescriptorList was not supplied, set it to a list of all credentials stored for rpld (as determined by an exact match
- 4. Remove any items from allowCredentialDescriptorList that do not match a credential bound to this authenticator. A match occurs if a credential matches rpld and an allowCredentialDescriptorList item's
- id and type members.

 5. If allowCredentialDescriptorList is now empty, return an error code equivalent to "NotAllowedError" and terminate the operation.

 6. Let selectedCredential be a credential as follows. If the size of
- allowCredentialDescriptorList

is exactly 1 Let selectedCredential be the credential matching allowCredentialDescriptorList[0].

is greater than 1

Prompt the user to select selectedCredential from the credentials matching the items in allowCredentialDescriptorList.

- 7. Obtain user consent for using selectedCredential. The prompt for obtaining this consent may be shown by the authenticator if it has its own output capability, or by the user agent otherwise. The prompt SHOULD display the rpld and any additional displayable data associated with selectedCredential, if possible.

 If requireUserVerification is true, the method of obtaining user consent MUST include user verification.

 If requireUserPresence is true, the method of obtaining user consent MUST include a test of user presence.

 If the user denies consent or if user verification fails, return an error code equivalent to "NotAllowedError" and terminate the operation.
- 8. Let processedExtensions be the result of authenticator extension processing for each supported extension identifier/input pair in

- extensions.

 9. Increment the RP ID-associated signature counter or the global signature counter value, depending on which approach is implemented by the authenticator, by some positive value.

 10. Let authenticatorData be the byte array specified in 6.1 Authenticator data including processedExtensions, if any, as the extensions and excluding attestedCredentialData.

 11. Let signature be the assertion signature of the concatenation authenticatorData II hash using the private key of selectedCredential as shown in Figure 2, below. A simple, undelimited concatenation is safe to use here because the authenticator data describes its own length. The bash of the authenticator data describes its own length. The hash of the serialized client data (which potentially has a variable length) is always the last element. Generating an assertion signature Generating an assertion
- 12. If any error occurred while generating the assertion signature, return an error code equivalent to "UnknownError" and terminate the operation.
- 13. Return to the user agent:
 - + selectedCredential's credential ID, if either a list of credentials of size 2 or greater was supplied by the client, or no such list was supplied. Otherwise, return only the below

Note: Before performing this operation, all other operations in progress in the authenticator session must be aborted by running the authenticatorCancel operation.

When this method is invoked, the authenticator MUST perform the

- following procedure:

 1. Check if all the supplied parameters are syntactically well-formed and of the correct length. If not, return an error code equivalent to "UnknownError" and terminate the operation.

 2. Let credentialOptions be a new empty set of public key credential

- 3. If allowCredentialDescriptorList was supplied, then for each descriptor of allowCredentialDescriptorList:

 1. Let credSource be the result of looking up descriptor.id in this authenticator.
- 2. If credSource is not null, append it to credentialOptions.
 4. Otherwise (allowCredentialDescriptorList was not supplied), for each key -> credSource of this authenticator's credentials map, append credSource to credentialOptions.
 5. Remove any items from credentialOptions whose rpld is not equal to

- 6. If credentialOptions is now empty, return an error code equivalent to "NotAllowedError" and terminate the operation.

 7. Prompt the user to select a public key credential source selectedCredential from credentialOptions. Obtain user consent for using selectedCredential. The prompt for obtaining this consent may be shown by the authenticator if it has its own output capability, or by the user agent otherwise.

If requireUserVerification is true, the method of obtaining user consent MUST include user verification.
If requireUserPresence is true, the method of obtaining user

- 8. Let processedExtensions be the result of authenticator extension processing for each supported extension identifier -> authenticator

- processing for each supported extension identifier -> authenticator
 extension input in extensions.

 9. Increment the RP ID-associated signature counter or the global
 signature counter value, depending on which approach is implemented
 by the authenticator, by some positive value.

 10. Let authenticatorData be the byte array specified in 6.1
 Authenticator data including processedExtensions, if any, as the
 extensions and excluding attestedCredentialData.

 11. Let signature be the assertion signature of the concatenation
 authenticatorData II hash using the privateKey of
 selectedCredential as shown in Figure 2, below. A simple,
 undelimited concatenation is safe to use here because the
 authenticator data describes its own length. The hash of the authenticator data describes its own length. The hash of the serialized client data (which potentially has a variable length) is always the last element. [fido-signature-formats-figure2.svg] Generating an assertion
- 12. If any error occurred while generating the assertion signature, return an error code equivalent to "UnknownError" and terminate the operation.
- 13. Return to the user agent:
 - + selectedCredential.id, if either a list of credentials (i.e., allowCredentialDescriptorList) of length 2 or greater was supplied by the client, or no such list was supplied.

consent MUST include a test of user presence.

If the user does not consent, return an error code equivalent to
"NotAllowedError" and terminate the operation.

294€

2947

2948

2949 2950

2951

2952

2953

2954

2972

2973

2974

2975

297€

2977

2978 2979

2980

2981 2982

2983 2984

2985

298€

2987

2988

2989

2990

2991

2992

2993

2994

2995

2996

2997

2998

2999

3000

3001

3002 3003

2777

2778

2779

2790

2827

2828

2829

2830

Note: If the client supplies a list of exactly one credential and it was successfully employed, then its credential ID is not returned since the client already knows it. This saves transmitting these bytes over what may be a constrained connection in what is likely a common case.

- + authenticatorData
- + signature
- + The user handle associated with selectedCredential.

If the authenticator cannot find any credential corresponding to the specified Relying Party that matches the specified criteria, it terminates the operation and returns an error.

6.2.3. The authenticator Cancel operation

This operation takes no input parameters and returns no result.

When this operation is invoked by the client in an authenticator session, it has the effect of terminating any authenticatorMakeCredential or authenticatorGetAssertion operation currently in progress in that authenticator session. The authenticator stops prompting for, or accepting, any user input related to authorizing the canceled operation. The client ignores any further responses from the authenticator for the canceled operation.

This operation is ignored if it is invoked in an authenticator session which does not have an authenticatorMakeCredential or authenticatorGetAssertion operation currently in progress.

6.3. Attestation

Authenticators must also provide some form of attestation. The basic requirement is that the authenticator can produce, for each credential public key, an attestation statement verifable by the Relying Party. Typically, this attestation statement contains a signature by an Typically, this attestation statement contains a signature by an attestation private key over the attested credential public key and a challenge, as well as a certificate or similar data providing provenance information for the attestation public key, enabling the Relying Party to make a trust decision. However, if an attestation key pair is not available, then the authenticator MUST perform self attestation of the credential public key with the corresponding credential private key. All this information is returned by authenticators any time a new public key credential is generated, in the overall form of an attestation object. The relationship of the attestation object with authenticator data (containing attested) attestation object with authenticator data (containing attested credential data) and the attestation statement is illustrated in figure 3. below.

Attestation object layout illustrating the included authenticator data (containing attested credential data) and the attestation statement. Attestation object layout illustrating the included authenticator data (containing attested credential data) and the attestation statement.

This figure illustrates only the packed attestation statement format. Several additional attestation statement formats are defined in 8 **Defined Attestation Statement Formats.**

An important component of the attestation object is the attestation statement. This is a specific type of signed data object, containing statements about a public key credential itself and the authenticator that created it. It contains an attestation signature created using the key of the attesting authority (except for the case of self attestation, when it is created using the credential private key). In order to correctly interpret an attestation statement, a Relying Party needs to understand these two aspects of attestation:

1. The attestation statement format is the manner in which the

signature is represented and the various contextual bindings are incorporated into the attestation statement by the authenticator.

Note: If, within allowCredentialDescriptorList, the client supplied exactly one credential and it was successfully employed, then its credential ID is not returned since the client already knows it. This saves transmitting these bytes over what may be a constrained connection in what is likely a common case.

- + authenticatorData
- + signature

3008

3009

3010

3011

3012

3013

3014 3015

301€

3017 3018

3019

3020

3022

3023

3024

3025

302€

3027 3028

3029

3030 3031

3032

3033

3034

3035

303€

3037

3038

3039 3040

3041

3042

3043

3044

3045

304€

3047 3048

3049 3050

3051

3052

3053 3054

3055 3056 3057

3058

3059

3060

3061

3062

3063

3064 3065

3066

3067

3068

3069

3070

3071

3072

3073

3021

+ selectedCredential.userHandle Note: the returned userHandle value may be null, see: userHandleResult.

If the authenticator cannot find any credential corresponding to the specified Relying Party that matches the specified criteria, it terminates the operation and returns an error.

6.2.4. The authenticator Cancel operation

This operation takes no input parameters and returns no result.

When this operation is invoked by the client in an authenticator session, it has the effect of terminating any authenticatorMakeCredential or authenticatorGetAssertion operation currently in progress in that authenticator session. The authenticator stops prompting for, or accepting, any user input related to authorizing the canceled operation. The client ignores any further responses from the authenticator for the canceled operation.

This operation is ignored if it is invoked in an authenticator session which does not have an authenticatorMakeCredential or authenticatorGetAssertion operation currently in progress.

6.3. Attestation

Authenticators MUST also provide some form of attestation. The basic requirement is that the authenticator can produce, for each credential public key, an attestation statement verifiable by the Relying Party. Typically, this attestation statement contains a signature by an Typically, this attestation statement contains a signature by an attestation private key over the attested credential public key and a challenge, as well as a certificate or similar data providing provenance information for the attestation public key, enabling the Relying Party to make a trust decision. However, if an attestation key pair is not available, then the authenticator MUST perform self attestation of the credential public key with the corresponding credential private key. All this information is returned by authenticators any time a new public key credential is generated, in the overall form of an attestation object. The relation of the attestation object with authenticator data (containing attested) attestation object with authenticator data (containing attested credential data) and the attestation statement is illustrated in figure 3. below. Attestation Object Layout diagram Attestation object layout illustrating the included authenticator data (containing attested credential data) and the attestation statement.

This figure illustrates only the packed attestation statement format. Several additional attestation statement formats are defined in 8 **Defined Attestation Statement Formats.**

An important component of the attestation object is the attestation statement. This is a specific type of signed data object, containing statements about a public key credential itself and the authenticator that created it. It contains an attestation signature created using the key of the attesting authority (except for the case of self attestation, when it is created using the credential private key). In order to correctly interpret an attestation statement, a Relying Party needs to understand these two aspects of attestation:

1. The attestation statement format is the manner in which the

signature is represented and the various contextual bindings are incorporated into the attestation statement by the authenticator.

In other words, this defines the syntax of the statement. Various existing devices and platforms (such as TPMs and the Android OS) have previously defined attestation statement formats. This specification supports a variety of such formats in an extensible way, as defined in 6.3.2 Attestation Statement Formats.

2. The attestation type defines the semantics of attestation of the statement and their underlying trust models. Specifically, it

2. The attestation type defines the semantics of attestation statements and their underlying trust models. Specifically, it defines how a Relying Party establishes trust in a particular attestation statement, after verifying that it is cryptographically valid. This specification supports a number of attestation types, as described in 6.3.3 Attestation Types.

In general, there is no simple mapping between attestation statement formats and attestation types. For example, the "packed" attestation statement format defined in 8.2 Packed Attestation Statement Format can be used in conjunction with all attestation types, while other formats and types have more limited applicability.

The privacy, security and operational characteristics of attestation depend on:

* The attestation type, which determines the trust model,

* The attestation statement format, which may constrain the strength of the attestation by limiting what can be expressed in an attestation statement, and

* The characteristics of the individual authenticator, such as its construction, whether part or all of it runs in a secure operating environment, and so on.

It is expected that most authenticators will support a small number of attestation types and attestation statement formats, while Relying Parties will decide what attestation types are acceptable to them by policy. Relying Parties will also need to understand the characteristics of the authenticators that they trust, based on information they have about these authenticators. For example, the FIDO Metadata Service [FIDOMetadataService] provides one way to access such information.

6.3.1. Attested credential data

283€

284€

285€

Attested credential data is a variable-length byte array added to the authenticator data when generating an attestation object for a given credential. It has the following format:

Name Length (in bytes) Description agguid 16 The AAGUID of the authenticator. credentialIdLength 2 Byte length L of Credential ID

credentialId L Credential ID credentialPublicKey variable The credential public key encoded in COSE_Key format, as defined in Section 7 of [RFC8152]. The encoded credential public key MUST contain the "alg" parameter and MUST NOT contain any other optional parameters. The "alg" parameter MUST contain a COSEAlgorithmIdentifier value.

NOTE: The names in the Name column in the above table are only for reference within this document, and are not present in the actual representation of the attested credential data.

In other words, this defines the syntax of the statement. Various existing devices and platforms (such as TPMs and the Android OS) have previously defined attestation statement formats. This specification supports a variety of such formats in an extensible way, as defined in 6.3.2 Attestation Statement Formats.

2. The attestation type defines the semantics of attestation of the statements and their underlying trust models. Statistically, it

2. The attestation type defines the semantics of attestation statements and their underlying trust models. Specifically, it defines how a Relying Party establishes trust in a particular attestation statement, after verifying that it is cryptographically valid. This specification supports a number of attestation types, as described in 6.3.3 Attestation Types.

In general, there is no simple mapping between attestation statement formats and attestation types. For example, the "packed" attestation statement format defined in 8.2 Packed Attestation Statement Format can be used in conjunction with all attestation types, while other formats and types have more limited applicability.

The privacy, security and operational characteristics of attestation depend on:

- * The attestation type, which determines the trust model,

 * The attestation statement format, which MAY constrain the strength
 of the attestation by limiting what can be expressed in an
 attestation statement, and
- * The characteristics of the individual authenticator, such as its construction, whether part or all of it runs in a secure operating environment, and so on.

It is expected that most authenticators will support a small number of attestation types and attestation statement formats, while Relying Parties will decide what attestation types are acceptable to them by policy. Relying Parties will also need to understand the characteristics of the authenticators that they trust, based on information they have about these authenticators. For example, the FIDO Metadata Service [FIDOMetadataService] provides one way to access such information.

6.3.1. Attested credential data

Attested credential data is a variable-length byte array added to the authenticator data when generating an attestation object for a given credential. It has the following format:

Name Length (in bytes) Description agguid 16 The AAGUID of the authenticator. credentialIdLength 2 Byte length L of Credential ID, 16-bit unsigned big-endian integer. credentialId L Credential ID credentialPublicKey variable The credential public key encoded in COSE_Key format, as defined in Section 7 of [RFC8152], using the CTAP2 canonical CBOR encoding form. The COSE_Key-encoded credential public key MUST contain the optional "alg" parameter and MUST NOT contain any other optional parameters. The "alg" parameter MUST contain a COSEAlgorithmIdentifier value. The encoded credential public key MUST also contain any additional required parameters stipulated by the relevant key type specification, i.e., required for the key type "kty" and algorithm "alg" (see Section 8 of [RFC8152]).

NOTE: The names in the Name column in the above table are only for reference within this document, and are not present in the actual representation of the attested credential data.

6.3.1.1. Examples of credential Public Key Values encoded in COSE Key format

This section provides examples of COSE_Key-encoded Elliptic Curve and RSA public keys for the ES256, PS256, and RS256 signature algorithms. These examples adhere to the rules defined above for the credentialPublicKey value, and are presented in [CDDL] for clarity.

[RFC8152] Section 7 defines the general framework for all

307€

309€

310€

3151 3157 3158 3159 3160 3161 3162 3163 3164 3165 3166 3167 3168 3169 3170 3171 3172 3173 3174 3175 3176 3177 3178 3179 3180 3181 3182 3183 3184 3185 3186 3187 3188 3189 3190 3191 3192 3193 3194 3195 3196 3197 3198 3199 3200 3201 3202 3203 3204 3205 320€ 3207 3208 3209 3210 3211 3212 3213

```
3144
3145
3146
3147
                 COSE_Key-encoded keys. Specific key types for specific algorithms are defined in other sections of [RFC8152] as well as in other
                 specifications, as noted below.
3148
3149
                 Below is an example of a COSE_Key-encoded Elliptic Curve public key in EC2 format (see [RFC8152] Section 13.1), on the P-256 curve, to be used with the ES256 signature algorithm (ECDSA w/ SHA-256, see [RFC8152]
                  Section 8.1):
               1: 2, ; kty: EC2 key type
3: -7, ; alg: ES256 signature algorithm
-1: 1, ; crv: P-256 curve
                -2: x, ; x-coordinate as byte string 32 bytes in length ; e.g., in hex: 65eda5a12577c2bae829437fe338701a10aaa375e1bb5b5de108d
               -3: y ; y-coordinate as byte string 32 bytes in length ; e.g., in hex: 1e52ed75701163f7f9e40ddf9f341b3dc9ba860af7e0ca7ca7e9e
               ecd0084d19c
                 Below is the above Elliptic Curve public key encoded in the CTAP2 canonical CBOR encoding form, whitespace and line breaks are included here for clarity and to match the [CDDL] presentation above:
                01 02
               03 26
               20 01
               21 58 20 65eda5a12577c2bae829437fe338701a10aaa375e1bb5b5de108de439c08551d
                22 58 20 1e52ed75701163f7f9e40ddf9f341b3dc9ba860af7e0ca7ca7e9eecd0084d19c
                 Below is an example of a COSE_Key-encoded 2048-bit RSA public key (see [RFC8230] Section 4), to be used with the PS256 signature algorithm (RSASSA-PSS with SHA-256, see [RFC8230] Section 2):
                 1: 3, ; kty: RSA key type
              3: -37, ; alg: PS256
-1: n, ; n: RSA modulus n byte string 256 bytes in length
; e.g., in hex (middle bytes elided for brevity): DB5F651550...6
DC6548ACC3
                -2: e ; e: RSA public exponent e byte string 3 bytes in length
                               e.g., in hex: 010001
                 Below is an example of the same COSE_Key-encoded RSA public key as above, to be used with the RS256 signature algorithm (RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 with SHA-256, see 11.3 COSE Algorithm Registrations):
              1: 3, ; kty: RSA key type
3:-257, ; alg: RS256
-1: n, ; n: RSA modulus n byte string 256 bytes in length
; e.g., in hex (middle bytes elided for brevity): DB5F651550...6
DC6548ACC3
               -2: e ; e: RSA public exponent e byte string 3 bytes in length ; e.g., in hex: 010001
                  6.3.2. Attestation Statement Formats
                 As described above, an attestation statement format is a data format
                 which represents a cryptographic signature by an authenticator over a set of contextual bindings. Each attestation statement format MUST be
                 defined using the following template:
                    * Attestation statement format identifier:
                    * Supported attestation types:
                    * Syntax: The syntax of an attestation statement produced in this
                     format, defined using [CDDL] for the extension point $attStmtFormat
```

As described above, an attestation statement format is a data format which represents a cryptographic signature by an authenticator over a set of contextual bindings. Each attestation statement format MUST be defined using the following template:

* Attestation statement format identifier:

* Supported attestation types:

2888

2889

2890

2891 2892

2893

2894

2895

289€

2897

* Syntax: The syntax of an attestation statement produced in this format, defined using [CDDL] for the extension point \$attStmtFormat

- defined in 6.3.4 Generating an Attestation Object. * Signing procedure: The signing procedure for computing an attestation statement in this format given the public key credential to be attested, the authenticator data structure containing the authenticator data for the attestation, and the hash of the serialized client data.
- * Verification procedure: The procedure for verifying an attestation statement, which takes the following verification procedure inputs:
- + attStmt: The attestation statement structure
- + authenticator Data: The authenticator data claimed to have been used for the attestation
- + clientDataHash: The hash of the serialized client data The procedure returns either:
- + An error indicating that the attestation is invalid, or
 + The attestation type, and the trust path. This attestation
 trust path is either empty (in case of self attestation), an
 identifier of a ECDAA-Issuer public key (in the case of
 ECDAA), or a set of X.509 certificates.

The initial list of specified attestation statement formats is in 8 **Defined Attestation Statement Formats.**

6.3.3. Attestation Types

WebAuthn supports multiple attestation types:

Basic Attestation

2898

2899

2900

2901

2902

2903

2904

2905 290€

2907

2908

2909

2910

2911

2912

2913 2914

2915

2916 2917

2918

2924

2925

2926

2927

2928

2929

2930 2931

2932 2933 2934

2935

2936

2937

2938

2939

2946 2947 2948

2949

2950

2951

2952 2953

2954 2955 2956

2957 2958

2959

2960

2961

2962

2963

In the case of basic attestation [UAFProtocol], the authenticator's attestation key pair is specific to an authenticator model. Thus, authenticators of the same model often share the same attestation key pair. See 6.3.5.1 Privacy for futher information.

Self Attestation

In the case of self attestation, also known as surrogate basic attestation [UAFProtocol], the Authenticator does not have any specific attestation key. Instead it uses the credential private key to create the attestation signature. Authenticators without meaningful protection measures for an attestation private key typically use this attestation type.

In this case, the Authenticator owns an authenticator-specific (endorsement) key. This key is used to securely communicate with a trusted third party, the Privacy CA. The Authenticator can generate multiple attestation key pairs and asks the Privacy CA to issue an attestation certificate for it. Using this approach, the Authenticator can limit the exposure of the endorsement key (which is a global correlation handle) to Privacy CA(s). Attestation keys can be requested for each public key credential individually.

Note: This concept typically leads to multiple attestation certificates. The attestation certificate requested most recently is called "active".

Elliptic Curve based Direct Anonymous Attestation (ECDAA) In this case, the Authenticator receives direct anonymous attestation (DAA) credentials from a single DAA-Issuer. These
DAA credentials are used along with blinding to sign the
attested credential data. The concept of blinding avoids the DAA
credentials being misused as global correlation handle. WebAuthn
supports DAA using elliptic curve cryptography and bilinear
pairings, called ECDAA (see [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]) in this specification. Consequently we denote the DAA-Issuer as ECDAA-Issuer (see [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]).

defined in 6.3.4 Generating an Attestation Object.

* Signing procedure: The signing procedure for computing an attestation statement in this format given the public key credential to be attested, the authenticator data structure containing the authenticator data for the attestation, and the hash of the serialized client data.

* Verification procedure: The procedure for verifying an attestation statement, which takes the following verification procedure inputs:

+ attStmt: The attestation statement structure

+ authenticator Data: The authenticator data claimed to have been used for the attestation

+ clientDataHash: The hash of the serialized client data The procedure returns either:

+ An error indicating that the attestation is invalid, or + The attestation type, and the trust path. This attestation trust path is either empty (in case of self attestation), an identifier of an ECDAA-Issuer public key (in the case of ECDAA), or a set of X.509 certificates.

The initial list of specified attestation statement formats is in 8 **Defined Attestation Statement Formats.**

6.3.3. Attestation Types

3215

321€

3217

3218

3219

3220

3221 3222

3223 3224

3225

322€

3227

3228

3229

3230

3231 3232

3233

3234

3235 3236 3237

3238

3239

3240

3241

3242

3243

3244 3245 3246

3247 3248

3249

3250 3251

3252

3253

3254

3268

3269

3270

3271

3272

3273

3274 3275

3276 3277

3278

3279

3280

3281

3282

3283

WebAuthn supports multiple attestation types:

Basic Attestation (Basic)
In the case of basic attestation [UAFProtocol], the authenticator's attestation key pair is specific to an authenticator model. Thus, authenticators of the same model often share the same attestation key pair. See 14.1 Attestation Privacy for further information.

Self Attestation (Self)
In the case of self attestation, also known as surrogate basic attestation [UAFProtocol], the Authenticator does not have any specific attestation key. Instead it uses the credential private key to create the attestation signature. Authenticators without meaningful protection measures for an attestation private key typically use this attestation type.

Attestation CA (AttCA)

In this case, an authenticator is based on a Trusted Platform Module (TPM) and holds an authenticator-specific "endorsement key" (EK). This key is used to securely communicate with a trusted third party, the Attestation CA [TCG-CMCProfile-AlKCertEnroll] (formerly known as a "Privacy CA"). The authenticator can generate multiple attestation identity key pairs (AlK) and requests an Attestation CA to issue an AlK certificate for each. Using this approach, such an authenticator can limit the exposure of the EK (which is a global correlation handle) to Attestation CA(s). AlKs can be requested for each authenticator-generated public key credential individually, and conveyed to Relying Parties as attestation certificates.

Note: This concept typically leads to multiple attestation certificates. The attestation certificate requested most recently is called "active".

Elliptic Curve based Direct Anonymous Attestation (ECDAA)
In this case, the Authenticator receives direct anonymous attestation (DAA) credentials from a single DAA-Issuer. These DAA credentials are used along with blinding to sign the attested credential data. The concept of blinding avoids the DAA credentials being misused as global correlation handle. WebAuthn supports DAA using elliptic curve cryptography and bilinear pairings, called ECDAA (see [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]) in this specification. Consequently we denote the DAA-Issuer as specification. Consequently we denote the DAA-Issuer as ECDAA-Issuer (see [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]).

```
2964
```

```
2965
2966
2967
2968
2969
2970
2971
2972
2973
2974
2975
297€
2977
2978
2979
2980
2981
2982
2983
2984
2985
2986
2987
2988
2989
2990
2991
2992
2993
2994
2995
299€
2997
2998
2999
3000
3001
3002
3003
3004
3005
3006
3007
3008
3009
3010
3011
3012
3013
3014
3015
3016
3017
3018
3019
3020
3021
3022
3023
3024
3025
3026
3027
```

```
6.3.4. Generating an Attestation Object
 To generate an attestation object (see: Figure 3) given:
 attestationFormat
     An attestation statement format.
 authData
     A byte array containing authenticator data.
     The hash of the serialized client data.
 the authenticator MUST:
  1. Let attStmt be the result of running attestationFormat's signing
   procedure given authData and hash.
  2. Let fmt be attestationFormat's attestation statement format
  3. Return the attestation object as a CBOR map with the following
   syntax, filled in with variables initialized by this algorithm:
  attÓbi = {
         authData: bytes,
         $$attStmtType
  attStmtTemplate = (
               fmt: text.
               attStmt: { * tstr => any } ; Map is filled in by each
concrete attStmtType
```

; Every attestation statement format must have the above fields attStmtTemplate .within \$\$attStmtType

6.3.5. Security Considerations

6.3.5.1. Privacy

Attestation keys may be used to track users or link various online identities of the same user together. This may be mitigated in several ways, including:

- ways, including:

 * A WebAuthn authenticator manufacturer may choose to ship all of their devices with the same (or a fixed number of) attestation key(s) (called Basic Attestation). This will anonymize the user at the risk of not being able to revoke a particular attestation key should its WebAuthn Authenticator be compromised.
- * A WebAuthn Authenticator be compromised.

 * A WebAuthn Authenticator may be capable of dynamically generating different attestation keys (and requesting related certificates) per origin (following the Privacy CA approach). For example, a WebAuthn Authenticator can ship with a master attestation key (and certificate), and combined with a cloud operated privacy CA, can dynamically generate per origin attestation keys and attestation certificates
- * A WebAuthn Authenticator can implement Elliptic Curve based direct anonymous attestation (see [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]). Using this scheme, the authenticator generates a blinded attestation signature. This allows the Relying Party to verify the signature using the ECDAA-Issuer public key, but the attestation signature does not serve as a global correlation handle.

6.3.5.2. Attestation Certificate and Attestation Certificate CA Compromise

When an intermediate CA or a root CA used for issuing attestation certificates is compromised, WebAuthn authenticator attestation keys are still safe although their certificates can no longer be trusted. A WebAuthn Authenticator manufacturer that has recorded the public

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 3284

```
3284
3285
3286
           No attestation statement (None)
               In this case, no attestation information is available.
3287
3288
            6.3.4. Generating an Attestation Object
3289
3290
           To generate an attestation object (see: Figure 3) given:
3291
3292
           attestationFormat
3293
3294
               An attestation statement format.
3295
           authData
329€
                A byte array containing authenticator data.
3297
3298
3299
                The hash of the serialized client data.
3300
3301
           the authenticator MUST:
3302
            1. Let attStmt be the result of running attestationFormat's signing
3303
              procedure given authData and hash.
3304
            2. Let fmt be attestationFormat's attestation statement format
3305
330€
            3. Return the attestation object as a CBOR map with the following
3307
              syntax, filled in with variables initialized by this algorithm:
3308
            attÓbi = {
3309
                   authData: bytes,
3310
                   $$attStmtType
3311
3312
3313
            attStmtTemplate = (
3314
                         fmt: text.
3315
                         attStmt: { * tstr => any } ; Map is filled in by each
331€
         concrete attStmtType
3317
3318
3319
            Every attestation statement format must have the above fields
3320
            attStmtTemplate .within $$attStmtType
3321
3322
3323
            6.3.5. Signature Formats for Packed Attestation, FIDO U2F Attestation, and
            Assertion Signatures
```

attestation keys for their devices can issue new attestation certificates for these keys from a new intermediate CA or from a new root CA. If the root CA changes, the Relying Parties must update their trusted root certificates accordingly.

A WebAuthn Authenticator attestation certificate must be revoked by the issuing CA if its key has been compromised. A WebAuthn Authenticator manufacturer may need to ship a firmware update and inject new attestation keys and certificates into already manufactured WebAuthn Authenticators, if the exposure was due to a firmware flaw. (The process by which this happens is out of scope for this specification.) If the WebAuthn Authenticator manufacturer does not have this capability, then it may not be possible for Relying Parties to trust any further attestation statements from the affected WebAuthn Authenticators.

If attestation certificate validation fails due to a revoked intermediate attestation CA certificate, and the Relying Party's policy requires rejecting the registration/authentication request in these situations, then it is recommended that the Relying Party also un-registers (or marks with a trust level equivalent to "self attestation") public key credentials that were registered after the CA compromise date using an attestation certificate chaining up to the same intermediate CA. It is thus recommended that Relying Parties remember intermediate attestation CA certificates during Authenticator registration in order to un-register related public key credentials if the registration was performed after revocation of such certificates.

If an ECDAA attestation key has been compromised, it can be added to the RogueList (i.e., the list of revoked authenticators) maintained by the related ECDAA-Issuer. The Relying Party should verify whether an authenticator belongs to the RogueList when performing ECDAA-Verify (see section 3.6 in [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]). For example, the FIDO Metadata Service [FIDOMetadataService] provides one way to access such information.

6.3.5.3. Attestation Certificate Hierarchy

 A 3-tier hierarchy for attestation certificates is recommended (i.e., Attestation Root, Attestation Issuing CA, Attestation Certificate). It is also recommended that for each WebAuthn Authenticator device line (i.e., model), a separate issuing CA is used to help facilitate isolating problems with a specific version of a device.

If the attestation root certificate is not dedicated to a single WebAuthn Authenticator device line (i.e., AAGUID), the AAGUID should be specified in the attestation certificate itself, so that it can be verified against the authenticator data.

7. Relying Party Operations

Upon successful execution of create() or get(), the Relying Party's script receives a PublicKeyCredential containing an

```
* For COSEAlgorithmIdentifier -7 (ES256), and other ECDSA-based algorithms, a signature value is encoded as an ASN.1 DER Ecdsa-Sig-Value, as defined in [RFC3279] section 2.2.3. Example:

30 44 ; SEQUENCE (68 Bytes)

02 20 ; INTEGER (32 Bytes)

1 3d 46 28 7b 8c 6e 8c 8c 26 1c 1b 88 f2 73 b0 9a

1 32 a6 cf 28 09 fd 6e 30 d5 a7 9f 26 37 00 8f 54

02 20 ; INTEGER (32 Bytes)

1 4e 72 23 6e a3 90 a9 a1 7b cf 5f 7a 09 d6 3a b2

1 17 6c 92 bb 8e 36 c0 41 98 a2 7b 90 9b 6e 8f 13
```

Note: As CTAP1/U2F devices are already producing signatures values in this format, CTAP2 devices will also produce signatures values in the same format, for consistency reasons. It is recommended that any new attestation formats defined not use ASN.1 encodings, but instead represent signatures as equivalent fixed-length byte arrays without internal structure, using the same representations as used by COSE signatures as defined in [RFC8152] and [RFC8230].

* For COSEAlgorithmIdentifier -257 (RS256), sig contains the signature generated using the RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 signature scheme defined in section 8.2.1 in [RFC8017] with SHA-256 as the hash function. The signature is not ASN.1 wrapped.

* For COSEAlgorithmIdentifier -37 (PS256), sig contains the signature generated using the RSASSA-PSS signature scheme defined in section 8.1.1 in [RFC8017] with SHA-256 as the hash function. The signature is not ASN.1 wrapped.

7. Relying Party Operations

Upon successful execution of create() or get(), the Relying Party's script receives a PublicKeyCredential containing an

335€

AuthenticatorAttestationResponse or AuthenticatorAssertionResponse structure, respectively, from the client. It must then deliver the contents of this structure to the Relying Party server, using methods outside the scope of this specification. This section describes the operations that the Relying Party must perform upon receipt of these 308€ structures. 3092

7.1. Registering a new credential

When registering a new credential, represented by a AuthenticatorAttestationResponse structure, as part of a registration

- AuthenticatorAttestationResponse structure, as part of a registration ceremony, a Relying Party MUST proceed as follows:

 1. Perform JSON deserialization on the clientDataJSON field of the AuthenticatorAttestationResponse object to extract the client data C claimed as collected during the credential creation.

 2. Verify that the type in C is the string webauthn.create.

 3. Verify that the challenge in C matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the create() call.

 4. Verify that the origin in C matches the Relying Party's origin.

 5. Verify that the tokenBindingId in C matches the Token Binding ID for the TLS connection over which the attestation was obtained.

 6. Verify that the clientExtensions in C is a subset of the extensions requested by the RP and that the authenticatorExtensions in C is also a subset of the extensions requested by the RP.

- also a subset of the extensions requested by the RP.

 7. Compute the hash of clientDataJSON using the algorithm identified by C.hashAlgorithm.

- 8. Perform CBOR decoding on the attestationObject field of the AuthenticatorAttestationResponse structure to obtain the attestation statement format fmt, the authenticator data authData, and the attestation statement attStmt.
- Verify that the RP ID hash in authData is indeed the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID expected by the RP.
- 10. Determine the attestation statement format by performing an USASCII

case-sensitive match on fmt against the set of supported WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier values. The up-to-date list of registered WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier values is maintained in the in the IANA registry of the same name

[WebAuthn-Registries].

11. Verify that attStmt is a correct attestation statement, conveying a valid attestation signature, by using the attestation statement format fmt's verification procedure given attStmt, authData and the hash of the serialized client data computed in step 6.

AuthenticatorAttestationResponse or AuthenticatorAssertionResponse structure, respectively, from the client. It must then deliver the contents of this structure to the Relying Party server, using methods outside the scope of this specification. This section describes the operations that the Relying Party must perform upon receipt of these structures.

7.1. Registering a new credential

3372

339€

3401

3409 3410

When registering a new credential, represented by an Authenticator Attestation Response structure response and an AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs structure clientExtensionResults, as part of a registration ceremony, a Relying Party MUST proceed as follows:

- 1. Let JSONtext be the result of running UTF-8 decode on the value of response.clientDataJSON.

 Note: Using any implementation of UTF-8 decode is acceptable as long as it yields the same result as that yielded by the UTF-8 decode algorithm. In particular, any leading byte order mark (BOM) MUST be stripped.

 2. Let C, the client data claimed as collected during the credential creation, be the result of running an implementation-specific JSON parser on JSONtext.
- parser on JSONtext.
- Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by this algorithm.

 3. Verify that the value of C.type is webauthn.create.

 4. Verify that the value of C.challenge matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the create() call.

 5. Verify that the value of C.origin matches the Relying Party's

- origin.

 6. Verify that the value of C.tokenBinding.status matches the state of Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the assertion was obtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C.tokenBinding.id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection.

 7. Compute the hash of response.clientDataJSON using SHA-256.

 8. Perform CBOR decoding on the attestationObject field of the
- AuthenticatorAttestationResponse structure to obtain the attestation statement format fmt, the authenticator data authData, and the attestation statement attStmt.

- and the attestation statement attStmt.

 9. Verify that the RP ID hash in authData is indeed the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID expected by the RP.

 10. If user verification is required for this registration, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in authData is set.

 11. If user verification is not required for this registration, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in authData is set.

 12. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the create() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use.

 Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon.

 13. Determine the attestation statement format by performing a USASCII case-sensitive match on fmt against the set of supported WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier values. The up-to-date list of the requested extensions.
- Attestation Statement Format Identifier values. The up-to-date list of registered WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier values is maintained in the in the IANA registry of the same name
- [WebAuthn-Registries].

 14. Verify that attStmt is a correct attestation statement, conveying a valid attestation signature, by using the attestation statement format fmt's verification procedure given attStmt, authData and the hash of the serialized client data computed in step 7.

- Note: Each attestation statement format specifies its own verification procedure. See 8 Defined Attestation Statement Formats for the initially-defined formats, and [WebAuthn-Registries] for the up-to-date list.

 12. If validation is successful, obtain a list of acceptable trust anchors (attestation root certificates or ECDAA-Issuer public keys) for that attestation type and attestation statement format fmt, from a trusted source or from policy. For example, the FIDO
- from a trusted source or from policy. For example, the FIDO Metadata Service [FIDOMetadataService] provides one way to obtain such information, using the aaguid in the attestedCredentialData in
- 13. Assess the attestation trustworthiness using the outputs of the verification procedure in step 10, as follows:
 - + If self attestation was used, check if self attestation is
 - acceptable under Relying Party policy.

 + If ECDAA was used, verify that the identifier of the ECDAA-Issuer public key used is included in the set of acceptable trust anchors obtained in step 11.

 + Otherwise, use the X.509 certificates returned by the verification procedure to verify that the attestation public
 - key correctly chains up to an acceptable root certificate.
- 14. If the attestation statement attStmt verified successfully and is

found to be trustworthy, then register the new credential with the account that was denoted in the options.user passed to create(), by associating it with the credentialld and credentialPublicKey in the attestedCredentialData in authData, as appropriate for the Relying Party's system.

15. If the attestation statement attStmt successfully verified but is not trustworthy per step 12 above, the Relying Party SHOULD fail the registration ceremony.

NOTE: However, if permitted by policy, the Relying Party MAY register the credential ID and credential public key but treat the credential as one with self attestation (see 6.3.3 Attestation Types). If doing so, the Relying Party is asserting there is no cryptographic proof that the public key credential has been generated by a particular authenticator model. See [FIDOSecRef] and [UAFProtocol] for a more detailed discussion.

Verification of attestation objects requires that the Relying Party has a trusted method of determining acceptable trust anchors in step 11 above. Also, if certificates are being used, the Relying Party must have access to certificate status information for the intermediate CA certificates. The Relying Party must also be able to build the attestation certificate chain if the client did not provide this chain in the attestation information.

To avoid ambiguity during authentication, the Relying Party SHOULD check that each credential is registered to no more than one user. If registration is requested for a credential that is already registered to a different user, the Relying Party SHOULD fail this ceremony, or it MAY decide to accept the registration, e.g. while deleting the older registration.

7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion

When verifying a given PublicKeyCredential structure (credential) as part of an authentication ceremony, the Relying Party MUST proceed as follows:

1. Using credential's id attribute (or the corresponding rawld, if

- Note: Each attestation statement format specifies its own verification procedure. See 8 Defined Attestation Statement Formats for the initially-defined formats, and [WebAuthn-Registries] for the up-to-date list.

 15. If validation is successful, obtain a list of acceptable trust anchors (attestation root certificates or ECDAA-Issuer public keys) for that attestation type and attestation statement format fmt, format fine the EDA. from a trusted source or from policy. For example, the FIDO Metadata Service [FIDOMetadataService] provides one way to obtain such information, using the aaguid in the attestedCredentialData in authData.
 - 16. Assess the attestation trustworthiness using the outputs of the verification procedure in step 14, as follows:
 - + If self attestation was used, check if self attestation is
 - acceptable under Relying Party policy.

 + If ECDAA was used, verify that the identifier of the ECDAA-Issuer public key used is included in the set of acceptable trust anchors obtained in step 15.

 + Otherwise, use the X.509 certificates returned by the verification procedure to verify that the attestation public

 - verification procedure to verify that the attestation public key correctly chains up to an acceptable root certificate.

 17. Check that the credentialld is not yet registered to any other user. If registration is requested for a credential that is already registered to a different user, the Relying Party SHOULD fail this registration ceremony, or it MAY decide to accept the registration, e.g. while deleting the older registration.

 18. If the attestation statement attStmt verified successfully and is found to be trustworthy, then register the new credential with the account that was denoted in the options user passed to create(), by associating it with the credentialld and credentialPublicKey in the attestedCredentialData in authData, as appropriate for the Relying Party's system. Party's system.
 - 19. If the attestation statement attStmt successfully verified but is not trustworthy per step 16 above, the Relying Party SHOULD fail the registration ceremony. NOTE: However, if permitted by policy, the Relying Party MAY register the credential ID and credential public key but treat the credential as one with self attestation (see 6.3.3 Attestation Types). If doing so, the Relying Party is asserting there is no cryptographic proof that the public key credential has been generated by a particular authenticator model. See [FIDOSecRef] and [UAFProtocol] for a more detailed discussion.

Verification of attestation objects requires that the Relying Party has a trusted method of determining acceptable trust anchors in step 15 above. Also, if certificates are being used, the Relying Party MUST have access to certificate status information for the intermediate CA certificates. The Relying Party MUST also be able to build the attestation certificate chain if the client did not provide this chain in the attestation information.

7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion

When verifying a given PublicKeyCredential structure (credential) and When verifying a given PublicKeyCredential structure (credential) and an AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs structure clientExtensionResults, as part of an authentication ceremony, the Relying Party MUST proceed as follows:

1. If the allowCredentials option was given when this authentication ceremony was initiated, verify that credential.id identifies one of the public key credentials that were listed in allowCredentials.

2. If credential.response.userHandle is present, verify that the user identified by this value is the owner of the public key credential identified by credential.id.

343€

3439 3440

344€

345€

3460

3462

347€

base64uri encoding is inappropriate for your use case), look up the corresponding credential public key. 2. cresponders clientData/SON, authenticatorData, and signature responders clientData/SON, authenticatorData, and signature respectively. 3190 3191 3192 3193 3194 3195 3196 3196 3196 3196 3196 3196 3196 3196	/Users/	/Jenoages/Documents/work/standards/w3C/webautnn/index-master-tr-5eb3e5/-wD-0/.txt, 1op line: 3185	
7. Verify that the tokenBindingId member of C (if present) matches the Token Binding ID for the TLS connection over which the signature was obtained. 8. Verify that the clientExtensions member of C is a subset of the extensions requested by the Relying Party and that the authenticator Extensions in C is also a subset of the extensions requested by the Relying Party. 9. Verify that the rpidHash in abata is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID expected by the Relying Party. 10. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using the algorithm represented by the hashAlgorithm member of C. 11. Using the credential public key looked up in step 1, verify that 22. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following substep: 12. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. 23. greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. 23. User of the TLS connection of the SHA substance of the RP ID extensions are using the also a subset of the extensions requested by the Relying Party. 24. The signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. 25. The signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the	3186 3187 3188 3189 3190 3191 3192 3193 3194 3195	corresponding credential public key. 2. Let cData, aData and sig denote the value of credential's response's clientDataJSON, authenticatorData, and signature respectively. 3. Perform JSON deserialization on cData to extract the client data C used for the signature. 4. Verify that the type in C is the string webauthn.get. 5. Verify that the challenge member of C matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions passed to the get() call.	
hash. 12. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following substep: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the	3198 3199 3200 3201 3202 3203 3204 3205 3206 3207 3208	7. Verify that the tokenBindingId member of C (if present) matches the Token Binding ID for the TLS connection over which the signature was obtained. 8. Verify that the clientExtensions member of C is a subset of the extensions requested by the Relying Party and that the authenticatorExtensions in C is also a subset of the extensions requested by the Relying Party. 9. Verify that the rpIdHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID expected by the Relying Party. 10. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using the algorithm represented by the hashAlgorithm member of C.	
3222 Salue of adata.signCount. 3222 Salue of adata.signCount. 3224 Salue of adata.signCount. 3224 Salue of adata.signCount. 3225 Salue of adata.signCount. 3226 Salue of adata.signCount. 3227 Salue of adata.signCount. 3228 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3221 Salue of adata.signCount. 3221 Salue of adata.signCount. 3222 Salue of adata.signCount. 3224 Salue of adata.signCount. 3226 Salue of adata.signCount. 3227 Salue of adata.signCount. 3228 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3220 Salue of adata.signCount. 3221 Salue of adata.signCount. 3221 Salue of adata.signCount. 3222 Salue of adata.signCount. 3224 Salue of adata.signCount. 3226 Salue of adata.signCount. 3226 Salue of adata.signCount. 3227 Salue of adata.signCount. 3228 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3220 Salue of adata.signCount. 3221 Salue of adata.signCount. 3221 Salue of adata.signCount. 3222 Salue of adata.signCount. 3224 Salue of adata.signCount. 3226 Salue of adata.signCount. 3226 Salue of adata.signCount. 3227 Salue of adata.signCount. 3228 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3220 Salue of adata.signCount. 3221 Salue of adata.signCount. 3221 Salue of adata.signCount. 3222 Salue of adata.signCount. 3224 Salue of adata.signCount. 3226 Salue of adata.signCount. 3226 Salue of adata.signCount. 3227 Salue of adata.signCount. 3228 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount. 3229 Salue of adata.signCount.s	3211 3212 3215 3214 3215 3216 3217 3218 3219 3220 3221 3222 3222 3223 3224	hash. 12. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following substep: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute.	

3. Using credential's id attribute (or the corresponding rawld, if base64url encoding is inappropriate for your use case), look up the corresponding credential public key. 3. 494 3. 495 3. 4. Let cData, aData and sig denote the value of credential's response's clientDataJSON, authenticatorData, and signature response's clientDataJSON, authenticatorData, and signature response's clientDataJSON, authenticatorData, and signature response's clientDataJSON, authenticatorData, and signature response's clientDataJSON, authenticatorData, and signature response signature in the control of the	/U	sers/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.tx
base64url encoding is inappropriate for your use case), look up the corresponding credential public key. 4. Let cData, aData and sig denote the value of credential's response's clientDataJSON, authenticatorData, and signature respectively. 3499 3491 3492 3493 3494 3495 3496 3497 3497 3498 3498 3498 3499 3499 3499 3499 3499	34	3. Using credential's id attribute (or the corresponding rawld, if
4. Let cData, aData and sig denote the value of credential's response's clientData/SON, authenticatorData, and signature respectively. 3499 3497 3498 3499 3499 3499 3499 3499 3499 3499	34	
response's clientDataJSON, authenticatorData, and signature respectively. 5. Let JSONtext be the result of running UTF-8 decode on the value of chats. Note: Using any implementation of UTF-8 decode is acceptable as long as it yields the same result as that yielded by the UTF-8 decode algorithm. In particular, any leading byte order mark (BOM) MUST be stripped. 6. Let C, the client data claimed as used for the signature, be the result of running an implementation-specific JSON parser on JSONtext. Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by this algorithm. 7. Verify that the value of C. challenge matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get) call. 9. Verify that the value of C. cokenBinding, status matches the state or Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation wo obtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C. tokenBinding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that the User Verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the user Verification is not required for the sassertion, verify that the user Verification is not required for the sassertion, verify that the user Verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the user Verification is not required for the sassertion, verify that the user Verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the user Verification is not required for the sassertion, verify that the user Verification is not required for the sassertion, verify that the user Verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the user Verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the user Verification is not required		
respectively. Superson Supers		4. Let cData, aData and sig denote the value of credential's
5. Lef JSONiext be the result of running UTF-8 decode on the value of chata. Note: Using any implementation of UTF-8 decode is acceptable as long as it yields the same result as that yielded by the UTF-8 decode algorithm. In particular, any leading byte order mark (BOM) MUST be stripped. 6. Let C, the client data claimed as used for the signature, be the result of running an implementation-specific JSON parser on JSONitext. Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by this algorithm. 7. Verify that the value of C.challenge matches the challenge that was ent to the authenticator in the PublickeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get) call. 8. Verify that the value of C.conlection over which the attestation working origin. 10. Verify that the value of C.connection over which the attestation working origin. 11. Verify that the value of C.connection over which the attestation working origin. 12. Verify that the value of C.connection over which the attestation working origin. 13. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verrified bit of the flags in abata is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Verrified bit of the flags in abata is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension on thousing the client extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension in particular, any extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were non requested to the requested extensions in authData and authenticator, the sequence of the proper of the proper of the proper of th		,,
cData. Note: Using any implementation of UTF-8 decode is acceptable as long as it yields the same result as that yielded by the UTF-8 decode algorithm. In particular, any leading byte order mark (BOM) MUST be stripped. 6. Let C, the client data claimed as used for the signature, be the result of running an implementation-specific JSON parser on JSONtext. Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by this algorithm. 7. Verify that the value of C.type is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C.type is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C.tope is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C.origin matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the PublickeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get() call. 9. Verify that the value of C.origin matches the Relying Party's origin. 10. Verify that the value of C.tokenBinding, status matches the state on token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C.tokenBinding, and matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C.tokenBinding, and matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension in authData are as expected, considering the client extension in authData and the extensions in authData MUST to also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions are in use. 15. Let have be a considered the value of a considering the client and the client Extension Results and the extensions were acted upon the client Extension Results and the extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result		- Toopoontoiji
Note: Using any implementation of UTF-8 decode is acceptable as long as it yields the same result as that yielded by the UTF-8 decode algorithm. In particular, any leading byte order mark (BOM) MUST be stripped. 6. Let C, the client data claimed as used for the signature, be the result of running an implementation-specific JSON parser on JSONtext. Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by this algorithm. 7. Verify that the value of C. type is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C. challenge matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get() call. 9. Verify that the value of C. corigin matches the Relying Party's origin. 10. Verify that the value of C. tokenBinding, status matches the state or Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation with obtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C. tokenBinding, and matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding of the TLS connection. 11. Verify that the right of the thing that the User Verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in abata is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions are present that were one of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properti		
long as it vields the same result as that vielded by the UTF-8 decode algorithm. In particular, any leading byte order mark (BOM) MUST be stripped. 6. Let C, the client data claimed as used for the signature, be the result of running an implementation-specific JSON parser on JSONtext. Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by this algorithm. 7. Verify that the value of C.challenge matches the challenge that wa- sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get() call. 9. Verify that the value of C.challenge matches the Relying Party's origin. 10. Verify that the value of C.tokenBinding, status matches the state o Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation w obtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C.tokenBinding, id matches the base64url encoding of th Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the repldHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID sexpected by the Relying Party. 12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the clientExtensionResults and the avertensions in authData MUST to specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use specific to th		
decode algorithm. In particular, any leading byte order mark (BOM) MUST be stripped. 6. Let C, the client data claimed as used for the signature, be the result of running an implementation-specific JSON parser on JSONtext. Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by this algorithm. 7. Verify that the value of C.type is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C.challenge matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get() call. 9. Verify that the value of C.challenge matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get() call. 9. Verify that the value of C.challenge matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get() call. 9. Verify that the value of C.tokenBinding, status matches the state o rofgin. 10. Verify that the value of C.tokenBinding, status matches the texten orbital that the value of C.tokenBinding, status matches the texten orbital that the value of C.tokenBinding, status matches the texten orbital that the value of C.tokenBinding, status matches the texten orbital that the value of C.tokenBinding, status matches the texten orbital that the value of C.tokenBinding, status matches the texten orbital that the User Present bit of the flags in abata is set. 11. Verify that the repidHash in abata is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID 12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in abata is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in abata is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions outputs in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions option in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions option in the clientExtens		
MUST be stripped. 6. Let C, the client data claimed as used for the signature, be the result of running an implementation-specific JSON parser on JSONtext. Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by this algorithm. 7. Verify that the value of C challenge matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get() call. 9. Verify that the value of C. othenBinding.status matches the state on Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation were obtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C tokenBinding. Id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the value of C tokenBinding.status matches the state on Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that C tokenBinding.id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the value of the flags in aData is set. 12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the submertication. T		
6. Let C, the client data claimed as used for the signature, be the result of running an implementation-specific JSON parser on JSONtext. Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by this algorithm. 7. Verify that the value of C. type is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C. type is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C. type is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C. type is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C. type is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C. origin matches the Relying Party's origin. 9. Verify that the value of C. tokenBinding.status matches the state of Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation webalined. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C. tokenBinding, id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 9521 9522 9523 9524 9525 13. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extensions identifier values in the extension input values that were given as the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the sulues stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. 954 954 9554 9555 9564 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash		
result of running an implementation-specific JSÖN parser on JSONtext. Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by this algorithm. 7. Verify that the value of C. type is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C. type is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C. totalenge matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get() call. 9. Verify that the value of C. tokenBinding.status matches the state o Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation w obtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C. tokenBinding.id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the pidHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID 252 252 253 254 2552 2553 256 257 258 258 258 258 259 259 259 259 259 260 260 270 280 280 280 280 280 280 280 280 280 28		moor bo on production
JSONtext. Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by this algorithm. The strip of the string webauthn.get. Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by this algorithm. Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by that the value of C. the the string webauthn.get. Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as components are referenceable, as required by the challenge matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get() call. Verify that the value of C. tokenBinding.status matches the state of Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation wobtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C. tokenBinding id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding in Drot the connection. 11. Verify that the rpldHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID expected by the Relying Party. 12. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a ha		
Note: C may be any implementation-specific data structure representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by this algorithm. 7. Verify that the value of C.type is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C.challenge matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get() call. 9. Verify that the value of C.tokenBinding.status matches the state or Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation we obtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C.tokenBinding.id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the rpidHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension option in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension in authData with extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party and which extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. 18.		
representation, as long as C's components are referenceable, as required by this algorithm. 7. Verify that the value of C.type is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C.challenge matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get() call. 9. Verify that the value of C.origin matches the Relying Party's origin. 10. Verify that the value of C.tokenBinding.status matches the state of Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation wobtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C.tokenBinding.id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the rpidHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID expected by the Relying Party. 12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the CData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the		
required by this algorithm. 7. Verify that the value of C. type is the string webauthn.get. 8. Verify that the value of C. challenge matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get() call. 9. Verify that the value of C. tokenBinding.status matches the state or Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation wo obtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection also verify that C. tokenBinding.id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the yalue of C. tokenBinding.status matches the state or Token Binding ID for the connection. 12. Verify that the value of C. tokenBinding.id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 13. Verify that the pidHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID that the User Verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension in authData and the authenticator extension of the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upo		
3506 3507 3508 3510 3511 3512 3513 3511 3512 3513 3514 3515 3517 3518 3519 3519 3519 3510 3510 3510 3511 3511 3511 3512 3512 3512 3513 3514 3515 3516 3517 3518 3519 3519 3519 3510 3510 3510 3510 3511 3511 3512 3512 3513 3514 3515 3516 3517 3518 3519 3518 3519 3519 3510 3510 3510 3510 3510 3510 3511 3511		
8. Verify that the value of C.challenge matches the challenge that was sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get() call. 9. Verify that the value of C.origin matches the Relying Party's origin. 10. Verify that the value of C.tokenBinding.status matches the state or Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation wo obtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C.tokenBinding.id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the rpldHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID expected by the Relying Party. 12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Perified bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions outputs in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData are as expected in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData are in the get() call. In particular, any extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client extensions in the cute of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the Cotat using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: 18. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than		
sent to the authenticator in the PublicKeyCredentialRequestOption passed to the get() call. 9. Verify that the value of C.origin matches the Relying Party's origin. 10. Verify that the value of C.tokenBinding.status matches the state of Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation wobtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C.tokenBinding.id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the rpldHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID expected by the Relying Party. 12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the celient extension identifier values in the celient extension results and the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the celient extension series in the extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator will be a served in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData and		8. Verify that the value of C.challenge matches the challenge that was
passed to the get() call. 9. Verify that the value of C. tokenBinding.status matches the state or Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation wo obtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C. tokenBinding and matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the rpldHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID developed by the Relying Party. 12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in the extension Results and the authenticator extension outputs in the extension input values that were given as the extensions of the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the extension input values that were given as the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party and which extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the Cata using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount.	35	
origin. 10. Verify that the value of C.tokenBinding.status matches the state of Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation with obtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C.tokenBinding.id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the rpldHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID expected by the Relying Party. 12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extension option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the CData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute. This is a signal tha	35	
10, Verify that the value of C tokenBinding, status matches the state o Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation w obtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C tokenBinding, id matches the base64url encoding of th Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the rpIdHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID expected by the Relying Party. 12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData was specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party and which extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. 18. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. 19. Using the credential to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. 19. Update the stored signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. 19. Update the stored signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. 19. Update	35	9. Verify that the value of C.origin matches the Relying Party's
Token Binding for the TLS connection over which the attestation w obtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C.tokenBinding.id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the rpldHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID expected by the Relying Party. 12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in the extensions Results and the authenticator extension outputs in the extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. It is is a signal that the authenticator may be	35	
obtained. If Token Binding was used on that TLS connection, also verify that C. tokenBinding.id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the rpIdHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID expected by the Relying Party. 12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST base be present as extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. It is standard to the signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. T		
verify that C tokenBinding.id matches the base64url encoding of the Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the rpIdHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID expected by the Relying Party. 12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and that authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute, Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. 18. If the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. 19. If the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. 19. If the signature count		
Token Binding ID for the connection. 11. Verify that the rpldHash in aData is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID 2521 2522 2523 2524 2525 2526 2526 2527 2527 2528 2529 2529 2529 2520 2520 2521 2521 2522 2522 2522 2522		
associated by the Relying Party. 12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is expected in conjunction with credential's id attribute, associated with credential's id attribute, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
expected by the Relying Party. 12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST balso be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, obe the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be	35	11. Verify that the roldhash in abata is the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID
12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
12. If user verification is required for this assertion, verify that the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be	35	or leavected by the Relying Party
the User Verified bit of the flags in aData is set. 13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value adata.signCount is conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. I less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with c		oxpooled by the Helying Furty.
13. If user verification is not required for this assertion, verify that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be present as extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be present as extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be present as extension are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
that the User Present bit of the flags in aData is set. 14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be		The state of the s
clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: 18. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute. 18. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. 18. It is a signal that the authenticator may be	35	
the extensions in authData are as expected, considering the client extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be	35	14. Verify that the values of the client extension outputs in
extension input values that were given as the extensions option in the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be	35	clientExtensionResults and the authenticator extension outputs in
the get() call. In particular, any extension identifier values in the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST by also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions are by present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
the clientExtensionResults and the extensions in authData MUST be also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
also be present as extension identifier values in the extensions member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
member of options, i.e., no extensions are present that were not requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon SHA-256. 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
requested. In the general case, the meaning of "are as expected" is specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
specific to the Relying Party and which extensions are in use. Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
Note: Since all extensions are OPTIONAL for both the client and the authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute, update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
authenticator, the Relying Party MUST be prepared to handle cases where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 3540 3541 3541 3542 3543 3544 3544 3544 3545 3546 3554 3556 3551 3552 3555 3555 3556 3556 3556 3556 3557 3556 3556		
where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 3544 3545 3546 3546 3546 3546 3547 3546 35546 35546 35556 35551 35552 35556 3556 3556 3556 3556 3556 35		Thousand and oxionological and or morning and or morning and and
 15. Let hash be the result of computing a hash over the cData using SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step:		where none or not all of the requested extensions were acted upon
3535 3540 3541 3541 3542 3543 3544 35544 35545 35546 35547 35546 35547 35548 35547 35548 35549 35551 35552 35553 35554 35555 35555 35556 35566 3560 SHA-256. 16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
16. Using the credential public key looked up in step 3, verify that sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is 3545 3546 3547 3548 35540 35550 35550 35551 35552 35553 35554 35555 35564 355655555 35566 sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. Sig is a valid signature count is nonzero or the value stored in attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. Sig is a valid signature over the binary concatenation of aData and hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in attribute. Update the stored signature counter value stored in attribute. Update the signature counter value stored in attribute. Update the signature counter value stored in attribute. Update the signature counter value stored in attribute. Update the signature coun		
hash. 17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is 18. If the signature counter value is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. 18. If the signature is nonzero or the value is nonzero or the value stored in attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value stored in associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. 18. If the signature counter value stored in attribute is nonzero or the value stored in attribute is nonzero. 18. If the signature counter value stored in attribute. 18. If the signature c		
17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is + If the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. Solution	35	
value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is nonzero, then run the following sub-step: + If the signature counter value adata.signCount is greater than the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. Update the stored signature counter value, associated with credential's id attribute, to be the value of adata.signCount. Iess than or equal to the signature counter value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute. This is a signal that the authenticator may be	35	17. If the signature counter value adata.signCount is nonzero or the
354f 3547 3548 3549 3549 3550 3551 3552 3552 3554 3555 3555 3555 3556 3556 3556 356 357 357 357 357 357 357 357 357 357 357	35	value stored in conjunction with credential's id attribute is
3547 3548 3549 3549 3550 3551 3551 3552 3552 3554 3555 3555 3555 3556 3556 3566 377 378 378 378 378 378 378 378 378 378		
3548 3549 3550 3550 3551 3552 3553 3554 3555 3554 3555 3555 3555		
3549 conjunction with credential's id attribute. 3550 Update the stored signature counter value, 3551 associated with credential's id attribute, to be the 3552 value of adata.signCount. 3553 3554 less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in 3555 conjunction with credential's id attribute. 3556 This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
3550 Update the stored signature counter value, 3551 associated with credential's id attribute, to be the 3552 value of adata.signCount. 3554 less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in 3555 conjunction with credential's id attribute. 3556 This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
3551 associated with credential's id attribute, to be the 3552 value of adata.signCount. 3553 3554 less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in 3555 conjunction with credential's id attribute. 3556 This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
3552 value of adata.signCount. 3553 less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in 3554 conjunction with credential's id attribute. 3556 This is a signal that the authenticator may be		opaato ino otoroa orginataro obanitor varao,
3553 3554 less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in 35555 conjunction with credential's id attribute. 35566 This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
3554 less than or equal to the signature counter value stored in 3555 conjunction with credential's id attribute. 3556 This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
3555 conjunction with credential's id attribute. 3556 This is a signal that the authenticator may be		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3556 This is a signal that the authenticator may be		
cioneu, i.e. at least two copies of the credential		
		or i cionea, i.e. at least two copies of the creaential

private key may exist and are being used in parallel. Relying Parties should incorporate this information into their risk scoring. Whether the Relying Party updates the stored signature counter value in this case, or not, or fails the authentication ceremony or not, is Relying Party-specific.

- 13. If all the above steps are successful, continue with the authentication ceremony as appropriate. Otherwise, fail the authentication ceremony.
- 8. Defined Attestation Statement Formats

WebAuthn supports pluggable attestation statement formats. This section defines an initial set of such formats.

8.1. Attestation Statement Format Identifiers

Attestation statement formats are identified by a string, called a attestation statement format identifier, chosen by the author of the attestation statement format.

Attestation statement format identifiers SHOULD be registered per [WebAuthn-Registries] "Registries for Web Authentication (WebAuthn)". All registered attestation statement format identifiers are unique amongst themselves as a matter of course.

Unregistered attestation statement format identifiers SHOULD use lowercase reverse domain-name naming, using a domain name registered by the developer, in order to assure uniqueness of the identifier. All attestation statement format identifiers MUST be a maximum of 32 octets in length and MUST consist only of printable USASCII characters, excluding backslash and doublequote, i.e., VCHAR as defined in [RFC5234] but without %x22 and %x5c.

Note: This means attestation statement format identifiers based on domain names MUST incorporate only LDH Labels [RFC5890].

Implementations MUST match WebAuthn attestation statement format identifiers in a case-sensitive fashion.

Attestation statement formats that may exist in multiple versions SHOULD include a version in their identifier. In effect, different versions are thus treated as different formats, e.g., packed2 as a new version of the packed attestation statement format.

The following sections present a set of currently-defined and registered attestation statement formats and their identifiers. The up-to-date list of registered WebAuthn Extensions is maintained in the IANA "WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier" registry established by [WebAuthn-Registries].

8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format

This is a WebAuthn optimized attestation statement format. It uses a very compact but still extensible encoding method. It is implementable by authenticators with limited resources (e.g., secure elements).

Attestation statement format identifier packed

Attestation types supported All

Syntax

329€

The syntax of a Packed Attestation statement is defined by the following CDDL:

\$\$attStmtType //= (

private key may exist and are being used in parallel. Relying Parties should incorporate this information into their risk scoring. Whether the Relying Party updates the stored signature counter value in this case, or not, or fails the authentication ceremony or not, is Relying Party-specific.

- 18. If all the above steps are successful, continue with the authentication ceremony as appropriate. Otherwise, fail the authentication ceremony.
- 8. Defined Attestation Statement Formats

WebAuthn supports pluggable attestation statement formats. This section defines an initial set of such formats.

8.1. Attestation Statement Format Identifiers

Attestation statement formats are identified by a string, called an attestation statement format identifier, chosen by the author of the attestation statement format.

Attestation statement format identifiers SHOULD be registered per [WebAuthn-Registries] "Registries for Web Authentication (WebAuthn)". All registered attestation statement format identifiers are unique amongst themselves as a matter of course.

Unregistered attestation statement format identifiers SHOULD use lowercase reverse domain-name naming, using a domain name registered by the developer, in order to assure uniqueness of the identifier. All attestation statement format identifiers MUST be a maximum of 32 octets in length and MUST consist only of printable USASCII characters, excluding backslash and doublequote, i.e., VCHAR as defined in [RFC5234] but without %x22 and %x5c.

Note: This means attestation statement format identifiers based on domain names MUST incorporate only LDH Labels [RFC5890].

Implementations MUST match WebAuthn attestation statement format identifiers in a case-sensitive fashion.

Attestation statement formats that may exist in multiple versions SHOULD include a version in their identifier. In effect, different versions are thus treated as different formats, e.g., packed2 as a new version of the packed attestation statement format.

The following sections present a set of currently-defined and registered attestation statement formats and their identifiers. The up-to-date list of registered WebAuthn Extensions is maintained in the IANA "WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier" registry established by [WebAuthn-Registries].

8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format

This is a WebAuthn optimized attestation statement format. It uses a very compact but still extensible encoding method. It is implementable by authenticators with limited resources (e.g., secure elements).

Attestation statement format identifier packed

Attestation types supported All

Syntax

The syntax of a Packed Attestation statement is defined by the following CDDL:

\$\$attStmtType //= (

357€

358€

362€

3299

3300

3301

3302

3303

3304

3305

3306 3307

3308

3309

3310

3312

3313

3314 3315 3316

3317 3318

3319

3320

3321

3322

3323

3324

3325

332€

3327

3328 3329 3330

3331

3332

3333

3334

3335

3336

3337

3338

3339

3340

3341 3342

3343

3344 3345 3346

3347

3348

3349

3350

3351 3352

3353 3354 3355

335€

3357

3358

3359

3360

3361

3362

```
/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-5e63e57-WD-07.txt, Top line: 3297
                                          fmt: "packed"
                                          attStmt: packedStmtFormat
                    packedStmtFormat = {
                                           alg: COSEAlgorithmIdentifier,
                                           x5c: [ attestnCert: bytes, * (caCert: bytes) ]
                                           alg: COSEAlgorithmIdentifier, (-260 for ED256 / -261
                for ED512)
                                           sig: bytes,
                                           ecdaaKeyld: bytes
                         The semantics of the fields are as follows:
                                A COSEAlgorithmIdentifier containing the identifier of the
                                algorithm used to generate the attestation signature.
                                A byte string containing the attestation signature.
                        x5c
                                The elements of this array contain the attestation
                                certificate and its certificate chain, each encoded in
                                X.509 format. The attestation certificate must be the
                                first element in the array.
                        ecdaaKeyld
                                The identifier of the ECDAA-Issuer public key. This is the
                                BigNumberToB encoding of the component "c" of the
                                ECDAA-Issuer public key as defined section 3.3, step 3.5
                                in [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm].
                   Signing procedure
                         The signing procedure for this attestation statement format is similar to the procedure for generating assertion signatures.
                        1. Let authenticatorData denote the authenticator data for the attestation, and let clientDataHash denote the hash of the
                        serialized client data.

2. If Basic or Privacy CA attestation is in use, the authenticator produces the sig by concatenating authenticator Data and client Data Hash, and signing the result
                       using an attestation private key selected through an authenticator-specific mechanism. It sets x5c to the certificate chain of the attestation public key and alg to the algorithm of the attestation private key.

3. If ECDAA is in use, the authenticator produces sig by
                           concatenating authenticator produces sig by concatenating authenticator Data and client Data Hash, and signing the result using ECDAA-Sign (see section 3.5 of [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]) after selecting an ECDAA-Issuer public key related to the ECDAA signature private key through an
                        authenticator-specific mechanism (see [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]). It sets alg to the algorithm of the selected ECDAA-Issuer public key and ecdaaKeyld to the identifier of the ECDAA-Issuer public key (see above).

4. If self attestation is in use, the authenticator produces sig by concatenating authenticatorData and clientDataHash, and
                            signing the result using the credential private key. It sets alg to the algorithm of the credential private key, and omits
                            the other fields.
```

```
/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 3628
                        fmt: "packed",
                        attStmt: packedStmtFormat
            packedStmtFormat = {
                         alg: COSEAlgorithmIdentifier,
                         x5c: [ attestnCert: bytes, * (caCert: bytes) ]
                         alg: COSEAlgorithmIdentifier, (-260 for ED256 / -261
         for ED512)
                         sig: bytes.
                         ecdaaKevld: bytes
                         alg: COSEAlgorithmIdentifier
                         sig: bytes,
               The semantics of the fields are as follows:
```

A COSEAlgorithmIdentifier containing the identifier of the algorithm used to generate the attestation signature.

A byte string containing the attestation signature.

The elements of this array contain the attestation certificate and its certificate chain, each encoded in X.509 format. The attestation certificate MUST be the first element in the array.

ecdaaKevld The identifier of the ECDAA-Issuer public key. This is the BigNumberToB encoding of the component "c" of the ECDAA-Issuer public key as defined section 3.3, step 3.5 in [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm].

Signing procedure

The signing procedure for this attestation statement format is similar to the procedure for generating assertion signatures.

- 1. Let authenticatorData denote the authenticator data for the attestation, and let clientDataHash denote the hash of the serialized client data.
- 2. If Basic or AttCA attestation is in use, the authenticator produces the sig by concatenating authenticator produces the sig by concatenating authenticator and clientDataHash, and signing the result using an attestation private key selected through an authenticator-specific mechanism. It sets x5c to the certificate chain of the attestation public key and alg to the algorithm of the attestation private key.
- 3. If ECDAA is in use, the authenticator produces sig by concatenating authenticator produces sig by concatenating authenticator Data and client Data Hash, and signing the result using ECDAA-Sign (see section 3.5 of [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]) after selecting an ECDAA-Issuer public key related to the ECDAA signature private key through an authenticator-specific mechanism (see [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]). It sets alg to the algorithm of the selected ECDAA-Issuer public key and ecdaaKeyld to the identifier of the
- ECDAA-Issuer public key (see above).

 4. If self attestation is in use, the authenticator produces sig by concatenating authenticator Data and client Data Hash, and signing the result using the credential private key. It sets alg to the algorithm of the credential private key and omits the other fields.

3628

3629

3630

3631 3632

3633

3634

3635

363€

3637 3638 3639

3640

3641

3643 3644 3645

364€

3647

3648

3649 3650 3651

3652 3653

3654 3655

365€

3657

3658

3659

3660

3661

3662

3663

3664

3665

366€

3667

3668

3669

3670

3671

3672

3673

3674

3675

3676 3677

3678

3679 3680 3681

3682

3683

3684

3685

368€ 3687

3688 3689 3690

3691

3692

3693

3694

3695

369€

3365

336€

3367

3368

3369

3370

3371

3372

3373 3374

3375

337€

3377

3378

3379

3380

3381

3382

3383 3384 3385

3386

3387

3388

3389

3390

3391

3392

3393

3394

3395

339€

3397

3398

3399

3400

3401

3402

3403

3404

3405

3406

3407 3408

3409

3410

3411 3412

3413

3414

3415

3416

3417

3418

3419

3420

3421

3422

3423

```
* If the related attestation root certificate is used for multiple
authenticator models, the Extension OID 1 3 6 1 4 1 45724 1 1 4
(id-fido-gen-ce-aaguid) MUST be present, containing the AAGUID as
value.
```

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 3698 Verification procedure Given the verification procedure inputs attStmt. authenticator Data and client Data Hash, the verification procedure is as follows: 1. Verify that attStmt is valid CBOR conforming to the syntax defined above and perform CBOR decoding on it to extract the contained fields. 2. If x5c is present, this indicates that the attestation type is not ECDAA. In this case: o Verify that sig is a valid signature over the concatenation of authenticatorData and clientDataHash using the attestation public key in x5c with the algorithm specified in alg. o Verify that x5c meets the requirements in 8.2.1 Packed attestation statement certificate requirements. o If x5c contains an extension with OID 1.3.6.1.4.1.45724.1.1.4 (id-fido-gen-ce-aaguid) verify that the value of this extension matches the aaguid in authenticatorData. o If successful, return attestation type Basic and attestation trust path x5c. 3. If ecdaaKeyld is present, then the attestation type is ECDAA. In this case: o Verify that sig is a valid signature over the concatenation of authenticatorData and clientDataHash using ECDAA-Verify with ECDAA-Issuer public key identified by ecdaaKeyld (see [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]). o If successful, return attestation type ECDAA and attestation trust path ecdaaKeyld. 4. If neither x5c nor ecdaaKeyld is present, self attestation is in use. o Validate that alg matches the algorithm of the credentialPublicKey in authenticatorData. o Verify that sig is a valid signature over the concatenation of authenticatorData and clientDataHash using the credential public key with alg. o If successful, return attestation type Self and empty attestation trust path. 8.2.1. Packed attestation statement certificate requirements The attestation certificate MUST have the following fields/extensions: Version MUST be set to 3 (which is indicated by an ASN.1 INTEGER Subject field MUST be set to: ISO 3166 code specifying the country where the Authenticator vendor is incorporated (PrintableString) Legal name of the Authenticator vendor (UTF8String) Subject-OU Literal string "Authenticator Attestation" (UTF8String) A UTF8String of the vendor's choosing * If the related attestation root certificate is used for multiple authenticator models, the Extension OID 1.3.6.1.4.1.45724.1.1.4
(id-fido-gen-ce-aaguid) MUST be present, containing the AAGUID as a
16-byte OCTET STRING. The extension MUST NOT be marked as critical.
Note that an X.509 Extension encodes the DER-encoding of the value
in an OCTET STRING. Thus, the AAGUID must be wrapped in two OCTET
STRINGS to be valid. Here is a sample, encoded Extension structure:
21
--- SEQUENCE

06 0b 2b 06 01 04 01 82 e5 1c 01 01 04 -- 1.3.6.1.4.1.45724.1.1.4 04 12 -- OCTET STRING

3698

3699

3700

3701

3702

3703

3704 3705

370€

3707

3708

3709

3710

3711

3712

3713

3714

3715

3716 3717

3718

3719

3720

3721

3722

3723

3724

3725

372€

3727

3728

3729

3730

3731

3732

3733

3734

3735

373€

3737

3738

3739

3740

3741 3742

3743 3744

3745

3746 3747 3748

3749

3750

3751

3752

3753 3754

3755

3756

3757

3758

3759 3760

342€

3427

3428

3429 3430

3431

3432 3433

3434

3435

3436

3437

3438

3439

3440

3441

3442

3443

3444

3445

3446

3447

3448

3449

3450

3451

3452

3453

3454

3455

345€

3457

3458

3459

3460

3461

3462

3463

3464

3465

3466

3467

3468

3469

3470

3471

3472

3473

3474

3475

3476

3477

3478

3479

3480

3481

3482

3483

3484

3485

348€

3487

3488

```
* The Basic Constraints extension MUST have the CA component set to
   * An Authority Information Access (AIA) extension with entry id-ad-ocsp and a CRL Distribution Point extension [RFC5280] are
    both optional as the status of many attestation certificates is
    available through authenticator metadata services. See, for example, the FIDO Metadata Service [FIDOMetadataService].
 8.3. TPM Attestation Statement Format
  This attestation statement format is generally used by authenticators
 that use a Trusted Platform Module as their cryptographic engine.
 Attestation statement format identifier
      tpm
 Attestation types supported
      Privacy CA, ECDAA
 Syntax
      The syntax of a TPM Attestation statement is as follows:
  $$attStmtType // = (
                 fmt: "tpm",
                 attStmt: tpmStmtFormat
  tpmStmtFormat = {
                ver: "2.0"
                  alg: COSEAlgorithmIdentifier,
                  x5c: [ aikCert: bytes, * (caCert: bytes) ]
                  alg: COSEAlgorithmIdentifier, (-260 for ED256 / -26
1 for ED512)
                  ecdaaKevld: bytes
                sig: bytes,
                certInfo: bytes.
               pubArea: bytes
      The semantics of the above fields are as follows:
          The version of the TPM specification to which the
          signature conforms.
          A COSEAlgorithmIdentifier containing the identifier of the
          algorithm used to generate the attestation signature.
          The AIK certificate used for the attestation and its
          certificate chain, in X.509 encoding.
     ecdaaKeyld
          The identifier of the ECDAA-Issuer public key. This is the
          BigNumberToB encoding of the component "c" as defined section 3.3, step 3.5 in [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm].
     sig
          The attestation signature, in the form of a TPMT_SIGNATURE
          structure as specified in [TPMv2-Part2] section 11.3.4.
```

```
3768
3769
3770
                                          -- OCTET STRING
              cd 8c 39 5c 26 ed ee de
65 3b 00 79 7d 03 ca 3c
                                                  -- AAGUID
3771
3772
              * The Basic Constraints extension MUST have the CA component set to
3773
              * An Authority Information Access (AIA) extension with entry id-ad-ocsp and a CRL Distribution Point extension [RFC5280] are
3774
3775
3776
               both OPTIONAL as the status of many attestation certificates is
               available through authenticator metadata services. See, for example, the FIDO Metadata Service [FIDOMetadataService].
3777
3778
3779
3780
            8.3. TPM Attestation Statement Format
3781
3782
             This attestation statement format is generally used by authenticators
3783
             that use a Trusted Platform Module as their cryptographic engine.
3784
3785
             Attestation statement format identifier
378€
                 tpm
3787
3788
             Attestation types supported
3789
                 AttCA, ECDAA
3790
3791
             Syntax
3792
                  The syntax of a TPM Attestation statement is as follows:
3793
             $$attStmtType // = (
fmt: "tpm",
3794
3795
379€
                             attStmt: tpmStmtFormat
3797
3798
3799
              tpmStmtFormat = {
3800
                           ver: "2.0"
3801
3802
                              alg: COSEAlgorithmIdentifier,
3803
                              x5c: [ aikCert: bytes, * (caCert: bytes) ]
3804
3805
3806
                              alg: COSEAlgorithmIdentifier, (-260 for ED256 / -26
3807
          1 for ED512)
3808
                              ecdaaKevld: bytes
3809
3810
                           sia: bytes.
3811
                           certinfo: bytes.
3812
                           pubArea: bytes
3813
3814
3815
                  The semantics of the above fields are as follows:
3816
3817
3818
                      The version of the TPM specification to which the
3819
                      signature conforms.
3820
3821
3822
                      A COSEAlgorithmIdentifier containing the identifier of the
3823
                      algorithm used to generate the attestation signature.
3824
3825
382€
                      The AIK certificate used for the attestation and its
3827
                      certificate chain, in X.509 encoding.
3828
3829
                ecdaaKeyld
3830
                     The identifier of the ECDAA-Issuer public key. This is the BigNumberToB encoding of the component "c" as defined section 3.3, step 3.5 in [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm].
3831
3832
3833
3834
                sig
3835
                      The attestation signature, in the form of a TPMT_SIGNATURE
383€
                      structure as specified in [TPMv2-Part2] section 11.3.4.
3837
```

Set the pubArea field to the public area of the credential public key, the certinfo field to the output parameter of the same name, and the sig field to the signature obtained from the above procedure.

Verification procedure

351€

3517

3518

3519

3520

3521

3522

3523

3524

3529

3530

3531

3532

3533 3534

3535 353€

3541

3542

3543 3544 3545

354€

3547 3548 3549

3550

3551

3552

3553

3554

Given the verification procedure inputs attStmt, authenticator Data and client Data Hash, the verification procedure is as follows:

Verify that attStmt is valid CBOR conforming to the syntax defined above, and perform CBOR decoding on it to extract the contained fields.

Verify that the public key specified by the parameters and unique fields of pubArea is identical to the credentialPublicKey in the attestedCredentialData in authenticatorData.

Concatenate authenticator Data and client Data Hash to form attToBeSigned.

Validate that certInfo is valid:

- + Verify that magic is set to TPM_GENERATED_VALUE.
 + Verify that type is set to TPM_ST_ATTEST_CERTIFY.
 + Verify that extraData is set to the hash of attToBeSigned using the hash algorithm employed in "alg".
 + Verify that attested contains a TPMS_CERTIFY_INFO structure, whose name field contains a valid Name for pubArea, as computed using the algorithm in the nameAlg field of pubArea using the procedure specified in [TPMv2-Part1] section 16.

If x5c is present, this indicates that the attestation type is not ECDAA. In this case:

- + Verify the sig is a valid signature over certInfo using the attestation public key in x5c with the algorithm specified in
- + Verify that x5c meets the requirements in 8.3.1 TPM attestation statement certificate requirements.

3838 3839 3840 3841 3842 3843 3844 3845 3846 3847 3848 3849 3850 3851 3852 3853 3854 3855 385€ 3857 3858 3859 3860 3861 3862 3863 3864 3865 386€ 3867 3868 3869 3870 3871 3872 3873 3874 3875 387€ 3877 3878 3879 3880 3881 3882 3883 3884 3885 388€ 3887 3888 3889 3890 3891 3892 3893 3894 3895 3896 3897 3899 3900 3901 3902 3903 3904 3905 390€

,	o ne TPMS_ATTEST structure over which the above signature as computed, as specified in [TPMv2-Part2] section).12.8.

The TPMT_PUBLIC structure (see [TPMv2-Part2] section 12.2.4) used by the TPM to represent the credential public key.

Signing procedure

Let authenticatorData denote the authenticator data for the attestation, and let clientDataHash denote the hash of the serialized client data.

Concatenate authenticatorData and clientDataHash to form attToBeSigned.

Generate a signature using the procedure specified in [TPMv2-Part3] Section 18.2, using the attestation private key and setting the extraData parameter to the digest of attToBeSigned using the hash algorithm corresponding to the "alg" signature algorithm. (For the "RS256" algorithm, this would be a SHA-256 digest.)

Set the pubArea field to the public area of the credential public key, the certinfo field to the output parameter of the same name, and the sig field to the signature obtained from the above procedure.

Verification procedure

Given the verification procedure inputs attStmt, authenticator Data and client Data Hash, the verification procedure is as follows:

Verify that attStmt is valid CBOR conforming to the syntax defined above and perform CBOR decoding on it to extract the contained fields.

Verify that the public key specified by the parameters and unique fields of pubArea is identical to the credentialPublicKey in the attestedCredentialData in authenticatorData.

Concatenate authenticator Data and client Data Hash to form attToBeSigned.

Validate that certinfo is valid:

- + Verify that magic is set to TPM_GENERATED_VALUE.
 + Verify that type is set to TPM_ST_ATTEST_CERTIFY.
 + Verify that extraData is set to the hash of attToBeSigned using the hash algorithm employed in "alg".
 + Verify that attested contains a TPMS_CERTIFY_INFO structure as specified in [TPMv2-Part2] section 10.12.3, whose name field contains a valid Name for pubArea, as computed using the algorithm in the nameAlg field of pubArea using the procedure specified in [TPMv2-Part1] section 16.
 + Note that the remaining fields in the "Standard Attestation Structure" [TPMv2-Part1] section 31.2, i.e., qualifiedSigner, clockInfo and firmwareVersion are ignored. These fields MAY be used as an input to risk engines.

If x5c is present, this indicates that the attestation type is not ECDAA. In this case:

- + Verify the sig is a valid signature over certInfo using the attestation public key in x5c with the algorithm specified in
- + Verify that x5c meets the requirements in 8.3.1 TPM attestation statement certificate requirements.

+ If x5c contains an extension with OID 1 3 6 1 4 1 45724 1 1 4 (id-fido-gen-ce-auguid) verify that the value of this extension matches the auguid in authenticatorData.

+ If successful, return attestation type Privacy CA and attestation trust path x5c.

If ecdaaKeyld is present, then the attestation type is ECDAA.

- + Perform ECDAA-Verify on sig to verify that it is a valid signature over certlnfo (see [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]).
 + If successful, return attestation type ECDAA and the
- identifier of the ECDAA-Issuer public key ecdaaKeyld.

8.3.1. TPM attestation statement certificate requirements

TPM attestation certificate MUST have the following fields/extensions:

- * Version must be set to 3.
- * Subject field MUST be set to empty.
 * The Subject Alternative Name extension must be set as defined in [TPMv2-EK-Profile] section 3.2.9.
- * The Extended Key Usage extension MUST contain the "joint-iso-itu-t(2) internationalorganizations(23) 133 tcg-kp(8) tcg-kp-AIKCertificate(3)" OID.
- * The Basic Constraints extension MUST have the CA component set to
- An Authority Information Access (AIA) extension with entry id-ad-ocsp and a CRL Distribution Point extension [RFC5280] are both optional as the status of many attestation certificates is available through metadata services. See, for example, the FIDO Metadata Service [FIDOMetadataService].

8.4. Android Key Attestation Statement Format

When the authenticator in question is a platform-provided Authenticator on the Android "N" or later platform, the attestation statement is based on the Android key attestation. In these cases, the attestation statement is produced by a component running in a secure operating environment, but the authenticator data for the attestation is produced outside this environment. The Relying Party is expected to check that the authenticator data claimed to have been used for the attestation is consistent with the fields of the attestation certificate's extension

Attestation statement format identifier android-kev

Attestation types supported **Basic Attestation**

An Android key attestation statement consists simply of the Android attestation statement, which is a series of DER encoded X.509 certificates. See the Android developer documentation. Its syntax is defined as follows:

```
$$attStmtType //= (
          fmt: "android-key",
attStmt: androidStmtFormat
x5c: [ credCert: bytes, * (caCert: bytes) ]
```

Signing procedure

Let authenticator Data denote the authenticator data for the attestation, and let clientDataHash denote the hash of the

```
+ If x5c contains an extension with OID 1 3 6 1 4 1 45724 1 1 4
(id-fido-gen-ce-aaguid) verify that the value of this extension matches the aaguid in authenticatorData.

+ If successful, return attestation type AttCA and attestation
  trust path x5c.
```

If ecdaaKeyld is present, then the attestation type is ECDAA.

- + Perform ECDAA-Verify on sig to verify that it is a valid signature over certlnfo (see [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]).
 + If successful, return attestation type ECDAA and the
- identifier of the ECDAA-Issuer public key ecdaaKeyld.

8.3.1. TPM attestation statement certificate requirements

TPM attestation certificate MUST have the following fields/extensions:

* Version MUST be set to 3.

391€

393€

394€

3957

396€

397€

- * Subject field MUST be set to empty.
 * The Subject Alternative Name extension MUST be set as defined in [TPMv2-EK-Profile] section 3.2.9.
- * The Extended Key Usage extension MUST contain the "joint-iso-itu-t(2) internationalorganizations(23) 133 tcg-kp(8) tcg-kp-AIKCertificate(3)" OID.
- * The Basic Constraints extension MUST have the CA component set to
- * An Authority Information Access (AIA) extension with entry id-ad-ocsp and a CRL Distribution Point extension [RFC5280] are both OPTIONAL as the status of many attestation certificates is available through metadata services. See, for example, the FIDO Metadata Service [FIDOMetadataService].

8.4. Android Key Attestation Statement Format

When the authenticator in question is a platform-provided Authenticator on the Android "N" or later platform, the attestation statement is based on the Android key attestation. In these cases, the attestation statement is produced by a component running in a secure operating environment, but the authenticator data for the attestation is produced outside this environment. The Relying Party is expected to check that the authenticator data claimed to have been used for the attestation is consistent with the fields of the attestation certificate's extension data.

Attestation statement format identifier android-kev

Attestation types supported Basic

An Android key attestation statement consists simply of the Android attestation statement, which is a series of DER encoded X.509 certificates. See the Android developer documentation. Its syntax is defined as follows:

```
$$attStmtType //= (
fmt: "android-key",
         attStmt: androidStmtFormat
x5c: [ credCert: bytes, * (caCert: bytes) ]
```

Signing procedure

Let authenticator Data denote the authenticator data for the attestation, and let clientDataHash denote the hash of the

400€

402€

Request an Android Key Attestation by calling "keyStore.getCertificateChain(myKeyUUID)") providing clientDataHash as the challenge value (e.g., by using setAttestationChallenge). Set x5c to the returned value.

The authenticator produces sig by concatenating authenticatorData and clientDataHash, and signing the result using the credential private key. It sets alg to the algorithm of the signature format.

Verification procedure

365€

367€

Given the verification procedure inputs attStmt, authenticatorData and clientDataHash, the verification procedure is as follows:

- + Verify that attStmt is valid CBOR conforming to the syntax defined above, and perform CBOR decoding on it to extract the contained fields.
- + Verify that the public key in the first certificate in the series of certificates represented by the signature matches the credentialPublicKey in the attestedCredentialData in authenticatorData.
- + Verify that in the attestation certificate extension data: o The value of the attestationChallenge field is identical to the concatenation of authenticatorData and clientDataHash.
 - o The AuthorizationList.allApplications field is not present, since PublicKeyCredentials must be bound to the RP ID.
 - The value in the AuthorizationList.origin field is equal to KM TAG GENERATED.
 - o The value in the AuthorizationList.purpose field is equal to KM PURPOSE SIGN.
- + If successful, return attestation type Basic with the attestation trust path set to the entire attestation statement.

8.5. Android SafetyNet Attestation Statement Format

When the authenticator in question is a platform-provided Authenticator on certain Android platforms, the attestation statement is based on the SafetyNet API. In this case the authenticator data is completely controlled by the caller of the SafetyNet API (typically an application running on the Android platform) and the attestation statement only provides some statements about the health of the platform and the identity of the calling application. This attestation does not provide information regarding provenance of the authenticator and its associated data. Therefore platform-provided authenticators should make use of the Android Key Attestation when available, even if the SafetyNet API is also present.

Attestation statement format identifier android-safetynet

Attestation types supported Basic Attestation

Syntax

The syntax of an Android Attestation statement is defined as follows:

```
$$attStmtType //= (
fmt: "android-safetynet",
attStmt: safetynetStmtFormat
```

serialized client data.

Request an Android Key Attestation by calling keyStore.getCertificateChain(myKeyUUID) providing clientDataHash as the challenge value (e.g., by using setAttestationChallenge). Set x5c to the returned value.

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 3978

The authenticator produces sig by concatenating authenticatorData and clientDataHash, and signing the result using the credential private key. It sets alg to the algorithm of the signature format.

Verification procedure

Given the verification procedure inputs attStmt, authenticatorData and clientDataHash, the verification procedure is as follows:

- + Verify that attStmt is valid CBOR conforming to the syntax defined above and perform CBOR decoding on it to extract the contained fields.
- + Verify that sig is a valid signature over the concatenation of authenticatorData and clientDataHash using the public key in the first certificate in x5c with the algorithm specified in alg
- alg.
 + Verify that the public key in the first certificate in in x5c matches the credentialPublicKey in the attestedCredentialData in authenticatorData.
- + Verify that in the attestation certificate extension data: o The value of the attestationChallenge field is identical to clientDataHash.
 - o The AuthorizationList.allApplications field is not present, since PublicKeyCredential must be bound to the RP ID.
 - The value in the AuthorizationList.origin field is equal to KM_TAG_GENERATED.
 - The value in the AuthorizationList.purpose field is equal to KM_PURPOSE_SIGN.
- + If successful, return attestation type Basic with the attestation trust path set to x5c.

8.5. Android SafetyNet Attestation Statement Format

When the authenticator in question is a platform-provided Authenticator on certain Android platforms, the attestation statement is based on the SafetyNet API. In this case the authenticator data is completely controlled by the caller of the SafetyNet API (typically an application running on the Android platform) and the attestation statement only provides some statements about the health of the platform and the identity of the calling application. This attestation does not provide information regarding provenance of the authenticator and its associated data. Therefore platform-provided authenticators should make use of the Android Key Attestation when available, even if the SafetyNet API is also present.

Attestation statement format identifier android-safetynet

Attestation types supported Basic

Syntax

The syntax of an Android Attestation statement is defined as follows:

```
$$attStmtType //= (
fmt: "android-safetynet",
attStmt: safetynetStmtFormat
```

```
3692
3693
3694
3695
3696
3697
3698
3699
3700
3701
3702
3703
3704
3705
370€
3707
3708
3709
3710
3711
3712
3713
3714
3715
371€
3717
3718
3719
3720
3721
3722
3723
3724
3725
372€
3727
3728
3729
3730
3731
3732
3733
3734
3735
3736
3737
3738
3739
3740
3741
3742
3743
3744
3745
3746
3747
3748
3749
3750
3751
3752
3753
3754
3755
3756
3757
3758
3759
3760
3761
```

```
404€
 safetynetStmtFormat = {
                  ver: text.
                 response: bytes
     The semantics of the above fields are as follows:
         The version number of Google Play Services responsible for
         providing the SafetyNet API.
         The UTF-8 encoded result of the getJwsResult() call of the SafetyNet API. This value is a JWS [RFC7515] object (see
         SafetyNet online documentation) in Compact Serialization.
Signing procedure
     Let authenticator Data denote the authenticator data for the
     attestation, and let clientDataHash denote the hash of the
     serialized client data.
     Concatenate authenticator Data and client Data Hash to form
     attToBeSigned.
    Request a SafetyNet attestation, providing attToBeSigned as the nonce value. Set response to the result, and ver to the version
     of Google Play Services running in the authenticator.
Verification procedure
     Given the verification procedure inputs attStmt,
     authenticatorData and clientDataHash, the verification procedure
     is as follows:
     + Verify that attStmt is valid CBOR conforming to the syntax
      defined above, and perform CBOR decoding on it to extract the
      contained fields.
     + Verify that response is a valid SafetyNet response of version
      ver.
    + Verify that the nonce in the response is identical to the concatenation of authenticatorData and clientDataHash.
     + Verify that the attestation certificate is issued to the
      hostname "attest.android.com" (see SafetyNet online
      documentation).
     + Verify that the ctsProfileMatch attribute in the payload of
      response is true.
     + If successful, return attestation type Basic with the
      attestation trust path set to the above attestation
      certificate.
8.6. FIDO U2F Attestation Statement Format
This attestation statement format is used with FIDO U2F authenticators
using the formats defined in [FIDO-U2F-Message-Formats].
Attestation statement format identifier
     fido-u2f
Attestation types supported
     Basic Attestation, Self Attestation, Privacy CA
     The syntax of a FIDO U2F attestation statement is defined as
     follows:
 $$attStmtType //= (
               fmt: "fido-u2f",
               attStmt: u2fStmtFormat
```

```
4047
             safetvnetStmtFormat = {
4048
                             ver: text.
4049
                             response: bytes
4050
4051
4052
                The semantics of the above fields are as follows:
4053
4054
4055
                    The version number of Google Play Services responsible for
405€
                    providing the SafetyNet API.
4057
4058
                    The UTF-8 encoded result of the getJwsResult() call of the SafetyNet API. This value is a JWS [RFC7515] object (see
4059
4060
4061
                    SafetyNet online documentation) in Compact Serialization.
4062
4063
            Signing procedure
                 Let authenticator Data denote the authenticator data for the
4064
4065
                attestation, and let clientDataHash denote the hash of the
406€
                serialized client data.
4067
4068
                Concatenate authenticator Data and client Data Hash to form
4069
                attToBeSigned.
4070
4071
                Request a SafetyNet attestation, providing attToBeSigned as the nonce value. Set response to the result, and ver to the version
4072
4073
                of Google Play Services running in the authenticator.
4074
4075
            Verification procedure
407€
                Given the verification procedure inputs attStmt,
4077
                authenticatorData and clientDataHash, the verification procedure
4078
                is as follows:
4079
4080
                + Verify that attStmt is valid CBOR conforming to the syntax
4081
                  defined above and perform CBOR decoding on it to extract the
4082
                 contained fields.
4083
                + Verify that response is a valid SafetyNet response of version
4084
                + Verify that the nonce in the response is identical to the concatenation of authenticator Data and client Data Hash.
4085
408€
4087
                + Verify that the attestation certificate is issued to the
4088
                 hostname "attest.android.com" (see SafetyNet online
4089
                  documentation).
4090
                + Verify that the ctsProfileMatch attribute in the payload of
4091
                 response is true.
4092
                + If successful, return attestation type Basic with the
4093
                  attestation trust path set to the above attestation
4094
                  certificate.
4095
409€
           8.6. FIDO U2F Attestation Statement Format
4097
4098
            This attestation statement format is used with FIDO U2F authenticators
4099
            using the formats defined in [FIDO-U2F-Message-Formats].
4100
4101
            Attestation statement format identifier
4102
                fido-u2f
4103
4104
            Attestation types supported
4105
                Basic, AttCA
410€
4107
4108
                The syntax of a FIDO U2F attestation statement is defined as
4109
                follows:
4110
            $$attStmtType //= (
fmt: "fido-u2f",
4111
4112
                           attStmt: u2fStmtFormat
4113
4114
4115
```

3764

3765

376€

3767

3768

3769

3770

3771

3772

3773

3774

3775

377€

3777 3778

3779

3780

3781

3782

3783

3784

3785

3786

3787 3788

3789 3790

3791

3792

3793

3794

3800

3801

3802

3803

3804

3805

3806

3807

3808

3809

3810

3811

3812

3813 3814

3815

3816

3817

3820

3827

3828

3829

3830

3831

error.

```
/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-5e63e57-WD-07.txt, Top line: 3762
                   u2fStmtFormat = {
                                      x5c: [ attestnCert: bytes, * (caCert: bytes) ],
                                     sig: bytes
                        The semantics of the above fields are as follows:
                              The elements of this array contain the attestation
                               certificate and its certificate chain, each encoded in
                               X.509 format. The attestation certificate must be the
                              first element in the array.
                      sig
                              The attestation signature. The signature was calculated over the (raw) U2F registration response message [FIDO-U2F-Message-Formats] received by the platform from
                               the authenticator.
                  Signing procedure
                       If the credential public key of the given credential is not of algorithm -7 ("E$256"), stop and return an error. Otherwise, let authenticator Data denote the authenticator data for the
                        attestation, and let clientDataHash denote the hash of the
                        serialized client data.
                        If clientDataHash is 256 bits long, set tbsHash to this value. Otherwise set tbsHash to the SHA-256 hash of clientDataHash.
                       Generate a Registration Response Message as specified in [FIDO-U2F-Message-Formats] section 4.3, with the application parameter set to the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID associated with
                       the given credential, the challenge parameter set to the Hash, and the key handle parameter set to the credential ID of the given credential. Set the raw signature part of this Registration Response Message (i.e., without the user public
                        key, key handle, and attestation certificates) as sig and set
                        the attestation certificates of the attestation public key as
                        x5c.
                  Verification procedure
                         Given the verification procedure inputs attStmt.
                        authenticatorData and clientDataHash, the verification procedure
                        is as follows:
                       1. Verify that attStmt is valid CBOR conforming to the syntax
                          defined above, and perform CBOR decoding on it to extract the
                          contained fields.
                        2. Let attCert be value of the first element of x5c. Let
                          certificate public key be the public key conveyed by attCert.
                      key over the Public key is not an Elliptic Curve (EC) public key over the P-256 curve, terminate this algorithm and return an appropriate error.

3. Extract the claimed rpldHash from authenticatorData, and the claimed credentialld and credentialPublicKey from authenticatorData.attestedCredentialData.
                       4. If clientDataHash is 256 bits long, set tbsHash to this value.

Otherwise set tbsHash to the SHA-256 hash of clientDataHash.
                       5. Convert the COSE KEY formatted credential Public Key (see
                          Section 7 of [RFC8152]) to CTAP1/U2F public Key format
                          [FIDO-CTAP]
                            o Let publicKeyU2F represent the result of the conversion operation and set its first byte to 0x04. Note: This signifies uncompressed ECC key format.

o Extract the value corresponding to the "-2" key
                               (representing x coordinate) from credentialPublicKey, confirm its size to be of 32 bytes and concatenate it with publicKeyU2F. If size differs or "-2" key is not
                               found, terminate this algorithm and return an appropriate
```

```
u2fStmtFormat = {
4117
                                     x5c: [ attestnCert: bytes, * (caCert: bytes) ].
4118
                                     sig: bytes
4119
4120
4121
                        The semantics of the above fields are as follows:
4122
4123
4124
                             The elements of this array contain the attestation
4125
                              certificate and its certificate chain, each encoded in
4126
                              X.509 format. The attestation certificate MUST be the
4127
                             first element in the array.
4128
4129
                      sig
                             The attestation signature. The signature was calculated over the (raw) U2F registration response message [FIDO-U2F-Message-Formats] received by the platform from
4130
4131
4132
4133
                              the authenticator.
4134
4135
                 Signing procedure
                       If the credential public key of the given credential is not of algorithm -7 ("E$256"), stop and return an error. Otherwise, let authenticator Data denote the authenticator data for the
413€
4137
4138
                       attestation, and let clientDataHash denote the hash of the serialized client data. (Since SHA-256 is used to hash the serialized client data, clientDataHash will be 32 bytes long.)
4139
4140
4141
                       Generate a Registration Response Message as specified in [FIDO-U2F-Message-Formats] section 4.3, with the application parameter set to the SHA-256 hash of the RP ID associated with
4143
4144
4145
4146
                        the given credential, the challenge parameter set to
4147
4148
4149
                       clientDataHash, and the key handle parameter set to the credential ID of the given credential. Set the raw signature
                        part of this Registration Response Message (i.e., without the
4150
                       user public key, key handle, and attestation certificates) as sig and set the attestation certificates of the attestation
4151
4152
                        public key as x5c.
4153
4154
                 Verification procedure
4155
                        Given the verification procedure inputs attStmt.
415€
                        authenticatorData and clientDataHash, the verification procedure
4157
                        is as follows:
4158
4159
                       1. Verify that attStmt is valid CBOR conforming to the syntax
4160
                          defined above and perform CBOR decoding on it to extract the
4161
                          contained fields.
4162
4163

    Let attCert be the value of the first element of x5c. Let
certificate public key be the public key conveyed by attCert.

                      If certificate public key is not an Elliptic Curve (EC) public key over the P-256 curve, terminate this algorithm and return an appropriate error.

3. Extract the claimed rpldHash from authenticatorData, and the claimed credentialld and credentialPublicKey from
4164
4165
416€
4167
4168
4169
                          authenticatorData.attestedCredentialData.
4170
                       4. Convert the COSE KEY formatted credential Public Key (see
4171
                          Section 7 of [RFC8152]) to CTAP1/U2F public Key format
4172
                          [FIDO-CTAP]
                            o Let publicKeyU2F represent the result of the conversion operation and set its first byte to 0x04. Note: This signifies uncompressed ECC key format.
o Extract the value corresponding to the "-2" key
4173
4174
4175
417€
                              (representing x coordinate) from credentialPublicKey, confirm its size to be of 32 bytes and concatenate it with publicKeyU2F. If size differs or "-2" key is not
4177
4178
4179
4180
                              found, terminate this algorithm and return an appropriate
4181
                              error.
```

3848

3849

3850

3851

3852

3853

3854

3855

385€

3857

3858

3859

3860

3861 3862 3863

3864

3865

386€

3867

3868

3869

3870

- o Extract the value corresponding to the "-3" key (representing y coordinate) from credentialPublicKey, confirm its size to be of 32 bytes and concatenate it with publicKeyU2F. If size differs or "-3" key is not found, terminate this algorithm and return an appropriate
- 6. Let verificationData be the concatenation of (0x00 II rpIdHash II tbsHash II credentialId II publicKeyU2F) (see Section 4.3 of [FIDO-U2F-Message-Formats]).
 7. Verify the sig using verificationData and certificate public
- key per [SEC1].
- 8. If successful, return attestation type Basic with the attestation trust path set to x5c.

9. WebAuthn Extensions

The mechanism for generating public key credentials, as well as requesting and generating Authentication assertions, as defined in 5 Web Authentication API, can be extended to suit particular use cases. Each case is addressed by defining a registration extension and/or an authentication extension.

Every extension is a client extension, meaning that the extension involves communication with and processing by the client. Client extensions define the following steps and data:

* navigator.credentials.create() extension request parameters and response values for registration extensions.

- * navigator.credentials.get() extension request parameters and response values for authentication extensions.
- * Client extension processing for registration extensions and authentication extensions.

When creating a public key credential or requesting an authentication assertion, a Relying Party can request the use of a set of extensions. These extensions will be invoked during the requested operation if they are supported by the client and/or the authenticator. The Relying Party sends the client extension input for each extension in the get() call (for authentication extensions) or create() call (for registration extensions) to the client platform. The client platform performs client

4183 4184 4185 418€ 4187 4188 4189 4190 4191 4192 4193 4194 4195 4196 4197 4198 4199 4200 4201 4202 4203 4204 4205 4206 4207 4208 4209 4210 4211 4212 4213 4214 4215 4216 4217 4218 4219 4220 4221 4222 4223 4224 4225 4226 4227 4228 4229 4230 4231 4232 4233 4234 4235 423€ 4237 4238 4239 4240 4241

o Extract the value corresponding to the "-3" key (representing y coordinate) from credentialPublicKey, confirm its size to be of 32 bytes and concatenate it with publicKeyU2F. If size differs or "-3" key is not found, terminate this algorithm and return an appropriate 5. Let verificationData be the concatenation of (0x00 II rpIdHash II clientDataHash II credentialId II publicKeyU2F) (see Section 4.3 of [FIDO-U2F-Message-Formats]). 6. Verify the sig using verificationData and certificate public key per [SEC1]. 7. If successful, return attestation type Basic with the

attestation trust path set to x5c. 8.7. None Attestation Statement Format

The none attestation statement format is used to replace any authenticator-provided attestation statement when a Relying Party indicates it does not wish to receive attestation information, see 5.4.6 Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum AttestationConveyancePreference).

Attestation statement format identifier none

Attestation types supported None

Syntax

The syntax of a none attestation statement is defined as

\$\$attStmtType //= (
fmt: "none", attStmt: emptyMap

emptyMap = {}

Signing procedure

Return the fixed attestation statement defined above.

Verification procedure Return attestation type None with an empty trust path.

9. WebAuthn Extensions

The mechanism for generating public key credentials, as well as requesting and generating Authentication assertions, as defined in 5 Web Authentication API, can be extended to suit particular use cases. Each case is addressed by defining a registration extension and/or an authentication extension.

Every extension is a client extension, meaning that the extension involves communication with and processing by the client. Client extensions define the following steps and data:

* navigator.credentials.create() extension request parameters and response values for registration extensions.

- * navigator.credentials.get() extension request parameters and response values for authentication extensions.
- * Client extension processing for registration extensions and authentication extensions.

When creating a public key credential or requesting an authentication assertion, a Relying Party can request the use of a set of extensions. These extensions will be invoked during the requested operation if they are supported by the client and/or the authenticator. The Relying Party sends the client extension input for each extension in the get() call (for authentication extensions) or create() call (for registration extensions) to the client platform. The client platform performs client

4242

4243

4244

4245

424€

4247

4248

4249

4250

3922

3923

3924

3925

the client data as specified by each extension, by including the extension identifier and client extension output values. An extension can also be an authenticator extension, meaning that the

extension processing for each extension that it supports, and augments

extension invoves communication with and processing by the authenticator. Authenticator extensions define the following steps and data:

- * authenticatorMakeCredential extension request parameters and response values for registration extensions.
- * authenticatorGetAssertion extension request parameters and response values for authentication extensions.
- * Authenticator extension processing for registration extensions and authentication extensions.

For authenticator extensions, as part of the client extension processing, the client also creates the CBOR authenticator extension input value for each extension (often based on the corresponding client extension input value), and passes them to the authenticator in the create() call (for registration extensions) or the get() call (for authentication extensions). These authenticator extension input values are represented in CBOR and passed as name-value pairs, with the extension identifier as the name, and the corresponding authenticator extension input as the value. The authenticator, in turn, performs additional processing for the extensions that it supports, and returns the CBOR authenticator extension output for each as specified by the extension. Part of the client extension processing for authenticator extensions is to use the authenticator extension output as an input to creating the client extension output.

All WebAuthn extensions are optional for both clients and authenticators. Thus, any extensions requested by a Relying Party may be ignored by the client browser or OS and not passed to the authenticator at all, or they may be ignored by the authenticator. Ignoring an extension is never considered a failure in WebAuthn API processing, so when Relying Parties include extensions with any API calls, they must be prepared to handle cases where some or all of those extensions are ignored.

Clients wishing to support the widest possible range of extensions may choose to pass through any extensions that they do not recognize to authenticators, generating the authenticator extension input by simply encoding the client extension input in CBOR. All WebAuthn extensions MUST be defined in such a way that this implementation choice does not endanger the user's security or privacy. For instance, if an extension requires client processing, it could be defined in a manner that ensures such a nave pass-through will produce a semantically invalid authenticator extension input value, resulting in the extension being ignored by the authenticator. Since all extensions are optional, this will not cause a functional failure in the API operation. Likewise, clients can choose to produce a client extension output value for an extension that it does not understand by encoding the authenticator extension output value into JSON, provided that the CBOR output uses only types present in JSON.

extension processing for each extension that it supports, and augments the client data as specified by each extension, by including the extension identifier and client extension output values.

An extension can also be an authenticator extension, meaning that the extension involves communication with and processing by the authenticator. Authenticator extensions define the following steps and data:

- * authenticatorMakeCredential extension request parameters and
- response values for registration extensions.

 * authenticatorGetAssertion extension request parameters and response values for authentication extensions.
- * Authenticator extension processing for registration extensions and authentication extensions.

For authenticator extensions, as part of the client extension processing, the client also creates the CBOR authenticator extension input value for each extension (often based on the corresponding client extension input value), and passes them to the authenticator in the create() call (for registration extensions) or the get() call (for authentication extensions). These authenticator extension input values are represented in CBOR and passed as name-value pairs, with the extension identifier as the name, and the corresponding authenticator extension input as the value. The authenticator, in turn, performs additional processing for the extensions that it supports, and returns the CBOR authenticator extension output for each as specified by the extension. Part of the client extension processing for authenticator extensions is to use the authenticator extension output as an input to creating the client extension output.

All WebAuthn extensions are **OPTIONAL** for both clients and authenticators. Thus, any extensions requested by a Relying Party **MAY** be ignored by the client browser or OS and not passed to the authenticator at all, or they MAY be ignored by the authenticator. Ignoring an extension is never considered a failure in WebAuthn API processing, so when Relying Parties include extensions with any API calls, they MUST be prepared to handle cases where some or all of those extensions are ignored.

Clients wishing to support the widest possible range of extensions MAY choose to pass through any extensions that they do not recognize to authenticators, generating the authenticator extension input by simply encoding the client extension input in CBOR. All WebAuthn extensions MUST be defined in such a way that this implementation choice does not endanger the user's security or privacy. For instance, if an extension requires client processing, it could be defined in a manner that ensures such a nave pass-through will produce a semantically invalid authenticator extension input value, resulting in the extension being ignored by the authenticator. Since all extensions are OPTIONAL, this will not cause a functional failure in the API operation. Likewise, clients can choose to produce a client extension output value for an extension that it does not understand by encoding the authenticator extension output value into JSON, provided that the CBOR output uses only types present in JSON.

When clients choose to pass through extensions they do not recognize, the JavaScript values in the client extension inputs are converted to CBOR values in the authenticator extension inputs. When the JavaScript value is an %ArrayBuffer%, it is converted to a CBOR byte array. When the JavaScript value is a non-integer number, it is converted to a 64-bit CBOR floating point number. Otherwise, when the JavaScript type corresponds to a JSON type, the conversion is done using the rules defined in Section 4.2 of [RFC7049] (Converting from JSON to CBOR), but operating on inputs of JavaScript type values rather than inputs of JSON type values. Once these conversions are done, canonicalization of the resulting CBOR MUST be performed using the CTAP2 canonical CBOR encoding form. When clients choose to pass through extensions they do not recognize, encoding form.

Likewise, when clients receive outputs from extensions they have passed through that they do not recognize, the CBOR values in the

4252

4253

4254

4255 425€

4257

4258

4259

4260

4261

4262

4263

4264

4265

4266

4267

4268

4269

4270

4271

4272

4273

4274

4275

427€

4277

4278

4279

4280

4281

4282 4283

4284

4285

428€

4287

4288

4289

4290

4291

4292

4293

4294 4295

429€

4297

4298

4299

4300 4301

4302

4303

4304

4305

430€ 4307 4308

4309

4316 4317 4318

4319 4320

The IANA "WebAuthn Extension Identifier" registry established by [WebAuthn-Registries] should be consulted for an up-to-date list of registered WebAuthn Extensions.

9.1. Extension Identifiers

Extensions are identified by a string, called an extension identifier, chosen by the extension author.

Extension identifiers SHOULD be registered per [WebAuthn-Registries] "Registries for Web Authentication (WebAuthn)". All registered extension identifiers are unique amongst themselves as a matter of course.

Unregistered extension identifiers should aim to be globally unique, e.g., by including the defining entity such as myCompany extension.

All extension identifiers MUST be a maximum of 32 octets in length and MUST consist only of printable USASCII characters, excluding backslash and doublequote, i.e., VCHAR as defined in [RFC5234] but without %x22 and %x5c. Implementations MUST match WebAuthn extension identifiers in a case-sensitive fashion.

Extensions that may exist in multiple versions should take care to include a version in their identifier. In effect, different versions are thus treated as different extensions, e.g., myCompany_extension_01

10 Defined Extensions defines an initial set of extensions and their identifiers. See the IANA "WebAuthn Extension Identifier" registry established by [WebAuthn-Registries] for an up-to-date list of registered WebAuthn Extension Identifiers.

9.2. Defining extensions

A definition of an extension must specify an extension identifier, a client extension input argument to be sent via the get() or create() call, the client extension processing rules, and a client extension output value. If the extension communicates with the authenticator (meaning it is an authenticator extension), it must also specify the CBOR authenticator extension input argument sent via the authenticatorGetAssertion or authenticatorMakeCredential call, the authenticator extension processing rules, and the CBOR authenticator extension output value.

Any client extension that is processed by the client MUST return a Any client extension that is processed by the client MUST return a client extension output value so that the Relying Party knows that the extension was honored by the client. Similarly, any extension that requires authenticator processing MUST return an authenticator extension output to let the Relying Party know that the extension was honored by the authenticator. If an extension does not otherwise require any result values, it SHOULD be defined as returning a JSON Boolean client extension output result, set to true to signify that the extension was understood and processed. Likewise, any authenticator extension that does not otherwise require any result values MUST return a CROB Boolean authenticator extension output. a value and SHOULD return a CBOR Boolean authenticator extension output result, set to true to signify that the extension was understood and

authenticator extension outputs are converted to JavaScript values in the client extension outputs. When the CBOR value is a byte string, it is converted to a JavaScript %ArrayBuffer% (rather than a base64url-encoded string). Otherwise, when the CBOR type corresponds to a JSON type, the conversion is done using the rules defined in Section 4.1 of [RFC7049] (Converting from CBOR to JSON), but producing outputs of JavaScript type values rather than outputs of JSON type values.

Note that some clients may choose to implement this pass-through capability under a feature flag. Supporting this capability can facilitate innovation, allowing authenticators to experiment with new extensions and Relying Parties to use them before there is explicit support for them in clients.

The IANA "WebAuthn Extension Identifier" registry established by [WebAuthn-Registries] can be consulted for an up-to-date list of registered WebAuthn Extensions.

9.1. Extension Identifiers

4329

4335 4336

4337

4338

4339 4340

4341

4342

4343

4344

4345

4346

4347

4348

4349

4350

4351

4352

4353

4354

4355

435€

4357

4358

4359

4360

4361

4362

4363

4364

4365

436€

4367

4368

4369

4370

4371

4372

4373

4374

4375

437€

4377

4378

4379

4380

4381

4382

4383

4384 4385

438€

4387

4388

4389

4390

4391

Extensions are identified by a string, called an extension identifier, chosen by the extension author.

Extension identifiers SHOULD be registered per [WebAuthn-Registries] "Registries for Web Authentication (WebAuthn)". All registered extension identifiers are unique amongst themselves as a matter of course.

Unregistered extension identifiers **SHOULD** aim to be globally unique, e.g., by including the defining entity such as myCompany extension.

All extension identifiers MUST be a maximum of 32 octets in length and MUST consist only of printable USASCII characters, excluding backslash and doublequote, i.e., VCHAR as defined in [RFC5234] but without %x22 and %x5c. Implementations MUST match WebAuthn extension identifiers in a case-sensitive fashion.

Extensions that may exist in multiple versions should take care to include a version in their identifier. In effect, different versions are thus treated as different extensions, e.g., myCompany_extension_01

10 Defined Extensions defines an initial set of extensions and their identifiers. See the IANA "WebAuthn Extension Identifier" registry established by [WebAuthn-Registries] for an up-to-date list of registered WebAuthn Extension Identifiers.

9.2. Defining extensions

A definition of an extension MUST specify an extension identifier, a client extension input argument to be sent via the get() or create() call, the client extension processing rules, and a client extension output value. If the extension communicates with the authenticator (meaning it is an authenticator extension), it MUST also specify the CBOR authenticator extension input argument sent via the authenticatorGetAssertion or authenticatorMakeCredential call, the authenticator extension processing rules, and the CBOR authenticator extension output value.

Any client extension that is processed by the client MUST return a client extension output value so that the Relying Party knows that the extension was honored by the client. Similarly, any extension that requires authenticator processing MUST return an authenticator extension output to let the Relying Party know that the extension was honored by the authenticator. If an extension does not otherwise require any result values, it SHOULD be defined as returning a JSON Boolean client extension output result, set to true to signify that the extension was understood and processed. Likewise, any authenticator extension that does not otherwise require any result values MUST return a value and SHOULD return a CBOR Boolean authenticator extension output result, set to true to signify that the extension was understood and

processed. 9.3. Extendi

400€

401€

404€

9.3. Extending request parameters

An extension defines one or two request arguments. The client extension input, which is a value that can be encoded in JSON, is passed from the Relying Party to the client in the get() or create() call, while the CBOR authenticator extension input is passed from the client to the authenticator for authenticator extensions during the processing of these calls.

A Relying Party simultaneously requests the use of an extension and sets its client extension input by including an entry in the extensions option to the create() or get() call. The entry key is the extension identifier and the value is the client extension input. var assertionPromise = navigator.credentials.get({ publicKey: { // The challenge must be produced by the server, see the Security Considerations

// The challenge must be produced by the server, see the Security Considerations challenge: new Uint8Array([4,99,22 /* 29 more random bytes generated by the server */]), extensions: {
 "webauthnExample_foobar": 42
 }
});

Extension definitions MUST specify the valid values for their client extension input. Clients SHOULD ignore extensions with an invalid client extension input. If an extension does not require any parameters from the Relying Party, it SHOULD be defined as taking a Boolean client argument, set to true to signify that the extension is requested by the Relying Party.

Extensions that only affect client processing need not specify authenticator extension input. Extensions that have authenticator processing MUST specify the method of computing the authenticator extension input from the client extension input. For extensions that do not require input parameters and are defined as taking a Boolean client extension input value set to true, this method SHOULD consist of passing an authenticator extension input value of true (CBOR major type 7, value 21).

Note: Extensions should aim to define authenticator arguments that are as small as possible. Some authenticators communicate over low-bandwidth links such as Bluetooth Low-Energy or NFC.

9.4. Client extension processing

Extensions may define additional processing requirements on the client platform during the creation of credentials or the generation of an assertion. The client extension input for the extension is used an input to this client processing. Supported client extensions are recorded as a dictionary in the client data with the key clientExtensions. For each such extension, the client adds an entry to this dictionary with the extension identifier as the key, and the extension's client extension input as the value.

Likewise, the client extension outputs are represented as a dictionary in the result of getClientExtensionResults() with extension identifiers as keys, and the client extension output value of each extension as the value. Like the client extension input, the client extension output is a value that can be encoded in JSON.

Extensions that require authenticator processing MUST define the process by which the client extension input can be used to determine the CBOR authenticator extension input and the process by which the CBOR authenticator extension output can be used to determine the client extension output.

443€

444(

445€

processed.

9.3. Extending request parameters

An extension defines one or two request arguments. The client extension input, which is a value that can be encoded in JSON, is passed from the Relying Party to the client in the get() or create() call, while the CBOR authenticator extension input is passed from the client to the authenticator for authenticator extensions during the processing of these calls.

A Relying Party simultaneously requests the use of an extension and sets its client extension input by including an entry in the extensions option to the create() or get() call. The entry key is the extension identifier and the value is the client extension input.

var assertionPromise = navigator.credentials.get({
 publicKev: {

// The challenge must be produced by the server, see the Security Considerations

challenge: new Uint8Array([4,99,22 /* 29 more random bytes generated by the server */]),

the server */|), extensions: { "webauthnExample_foobar": 42 } });

Extension definitions MUST specify the valid values for their client extension input. Clients SHOULD ignore extensions with an invalid client extension input. If an extension does not require any parameters from the Relying Party, it SHOULD be defined as taking a Boolean client argument, set to true to signify that the extension is requested by the Relying Party.

Extensions that only affect client processing need not specify authenticator extension input. Extensions that have authenticator processing MUST specify the method of computing the authenticator extension input from the client extension input. For extensions that do not require input parameters and are defined as taking a Boolean client extension input value set to true, this method SHOULD consist of passing an authenticator extension input value of true (CBOR major type 7, value 21).

Note: Extensions should aim to define authenticator arguments that are as small as possible. Some authenticators communicate over low-bandwidth links such as Bluetooth Low-Energy or NFC.

9.4. Client extension processing

Extensions MAY define additional processing requirements on the client platform during the creation of credentials or the generation of an assertion. The client extension input for the extension is used as an input to this client processing. For each supported client extension, the client adds an entry to the clientExtensions map with the extension identifier as the key, and the extension's client extension input as the value.

Likewise, the client extension outputs are represented as a dictionary in the result of getClientExtensionResults() with extension identifiers as keys, and the client extension output value of each extension as the value. Like the client extension input, the client extension output is a value that can be encoded in JSON.

Extensions that require authenticator processing MUST define the process by which the client extension input can be used to determine the CBOR authenticator extension input and the process by which the CBOR authenticator extension output can be used to determine the client extension output.

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-5e63e57-WD-07.txt, Top line: 4052

9.5. Authenticator extension processing

The CBOR authenticator extension input value of each processed authenticator extension is included in the extensions data part of the authenticator request. This part is a CBOR map, with CBOR extension identifier values as keys, and the CBOR authenticator extension input value of each extension as the value.

Likewise, the extension output is represented in the authenticator data as a CBOR map with CBOR extension identifiers as keys, and the CBOR authenticator extension output value of each extension as the value.

The authenticator extension processing rules are used create the authenticator extension output from the authenticator extension input, and possibly also other inputs, for each extension.

9.6. Example Extension

409€

This section is not normative.

To illustrate the requirements above, consider a hypothetical registration extension and authentication extension "Geo". This extension, if supported, enables a geolocation location to be returned from the authenticator or client to the Relying Party.

The extension identifier is chosen as webauthnExample_geo. The client extension input is the constant value true, since the extension does not require the Relying Party to pass any particular information to the client, other than that it requests the use of the extension. The Relying Party sets this value in its request for an assertion: var assertionPromise = navigator.credentials.get({ publicKey: { // The challenge must be produced by the server, see the Security Considerations challenge: new Uint8Array([11,103,35 /* 29 more random bytes generated by the server */]), allowCredentials: [], /* Empty filter */ extensions: { 'webauthnExample_geo': true } } }}

The extension also requires the client to set the authenticator parameter to the fixed value true.

The extension requires the authenticator to specify its geolocation in the authenticator extension output, if known. The extension e.g. specifies that the location shall be encoded as a two-element array of floating point numbers, encoded with CBOR. An authenticator does this by including it in the authenticator data. As an example, authenticator data may be as follows (notation taken from [RFC7049]):
11 (hex) -- Flags, ED and UP both set.
20 05 58 1F -- Signature counter 81 (hex) 20 05 58 1F -- CBOR map of one element -- Key 1: CBOR text string of 19 byt A1 73 77 65 62 61 75 74 68 6E 45 78 61 6D 70 6C 65 5F 67 65 6F -- "webauthnExample geo" [=UTF-8 enc oded=] string -- Value 1: CBOR array of two elemen FA 42 82 1E B3 -- Element 1: Latitude as CBOR encod ed float FA C1 5F E3 7F -- Element 2: Longitude as CBOR enco ded float

The extension defines the client extension output to be the geolocation information, if known, as a GeoJSON [GeoJSON] point. The client constructs the following client data:

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 4461

The CBOR authenticator extension input value of each processed authenticator extension is included in the extensions parameter of the authenticatorMakeCredential and authenticatorGetAssertion operations. The extensions parameter is a CBOR map where each key is an extension identifier and the corresponding value is the authenticator extension input for that extension.

9.5. Authenticator extension processing

Likewise, the extension output is represented in the extensions part of the authenticator data. The extensions part of the authenticator data is a CBOR map where each key is an extension identifier and the corresponding value is the authenticator extension output for that extension.

For each supported extension, the authenticator extension processing rule for that extension is used create the authenticator extension output from the authenticator extension input and possibly also other inputs.

```
4123
4124
4125
4126
4127
4128
4129
4130
4131
4132
4133
4134
4135
413€
4137
4138
4139
4140
4141
4142
4143
4144
4147
4148
4149
4150
4151
4152
4153
4154
4155
4156
4157
4158
4159
4160
4161
4162
4163
4164
4165
```

```
'extensions': {
    'webauthnExample_geo': {
        'type': 'Point',
        'coordinates': [65.059962, -13.993041]
10. Defined Extensions
  This section defines the initial set of extensions to be registered in the IANA "WebAuthn Extension Identifier" registry established by
  [WebAuthn-Registries]. These are recommended for implementation by user
  agents targeting broad interoperability.
 10.1. FIDO Appld Extension (appid)
  This authentication extension allows Relying Parties that have previously registered a credential using the legacy FIDO JavaScript
  APIs to request an assertion. Specifically, this extension allows Relying Parties to specify an appld [FIDO-APPID] to overwrite the otherwise computed rpld. This extension is only valid if used during
  the get() call; other usage will result in client error.
  Extension identifier
          appid
  Client extension input
         A single JSON string specifying a FIDO appld.
   Client extension processing
          If rold is present, return a DOMException whose name is
         "NotAllowedError", and terminate this algorithm (5.1.4.1 PublicKeyCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin,
         options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method).
         Otherwise, replace the calculation of rpld in Step 6 of 5.1.4.1
        PublicKeyCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method with the following procedure: The client uses the value of appid to perform the Appld validation procedure (as defined by [FIDO-APPID]). If valid, the value of rpld for all client processing should be replaced by the value of appid.
```

Client extension output Returns the JSON value true to indicate to the RP that the extension was acted upon

10. Defined Extensions

4480

4481

4482

4483

4484

4485

448€

4487

4488

4489

4490

4496 4497

4498 4499 4500

4501

4502

4503

4504

4505

450€

4507 4508

4509

4510

4511

4512

4514 4515

4516

4517 4518

4531 4532

4533 4534

4535

4536

4537

This section defines the initial set of extensions to be registered in the IANA "WebAuthn Extension Identifier" registry established by [WebAuthn-Registries]. These are RECOMMENDED for implementation by user agents targeting broad interoperability.

10.1. FIDO ApplD Extension (appid)

This client extension allows Relying Parties that have previously registered a credential using the legacy FIDO JavaScript APIs to request an assertion. The FIDO APIs use an alternative identifier for relying parties called an AppID [FIDO-APPID], and any credentials created using those APIs will be bound to that identifier. Without this extension, they would need to be re-registered in order to be bound to

This extension does not allow FIDO-compatible credentials to be created. Thus, credentials created with WebAuthn are not backwards compatible with the FIDO JavaScript APIs.

Extension identifier appid

Client extension input A single USVString specifying a FIDO ApplD.

partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs { USVString appid;

Client extension processing

- 1. If present in a create() call, return a "NotSupportedError" DOMException--this extension is only valid when requesting an assertion.

 2. Let facetld be the result of passing the caller's origin to
- the FIDO algorithm for determining the FacetID of a calling application.
 3. Let appld be the extension input.
- Let appld be the extension input.
 Pass facetId and appld to the FIDO algorithm for determining if a caller's FacetID is authorized for an ApplD. If that algorithm rejects appld then return a "SecurityError" DOMException.
- DOMEXCEPTION.

 5. When building allowCredentialDescriptorList, if a U2F authenticator indicates that a credential is inapplicable (i.e. by returning SW_WRONG_DATA) then the client MUST retry with the U2F application parameter set to the SHA-256 hash of appld. If this results in an applicable credential, the client MUST include the credential in allowCredentialDescriptorList. The value of appld then replaces the rpld parameter of authenticatorGetAssertion.

Client extension output

Returns the value true to indicate to the RP that the extension was acted upon.

partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs {

```
/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-5e63e57-WD-07.txt, Top line: 4170
4170
4171
            Authenticator extension input
4172
                None.
4173
4174
            Authenticator extension processing
4175
                None.
4176
4177
            Authenticator extension output
4178
                None.
4179
4180
           10.2. Simple Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthSimple)
4181
4182
            This registration extension and authentication extension allows for a
            simple form of transaction authorization. A Relying Party can specify a
4183
4184
            prompt string, intended for display on a trusted device on the
4185
            authenticator.
418€
4187
            Extension identifier
4188
                txAuthSimple
4189
4190
            Client extension input
4191
                A single JSON string prompt.
4192
4193
            Client extension processing
4194
                None, except creating the authenticator extension input from the
4195
                client extension input.
4196
4197
            Client extension output
4198
                Returns the authenticator extension output string UTF-8 decoded
4199
                into a JSON string
4200
4201
            Authenticator extension input
4202
                The client extension input encoded as a CBOR text string (major
4203
                type 3).
4204
           Authenticator extension processing
The authenticator MUST display the prompt to the user before performing either user verification or test of user presence.
4205
420€
4207
4208
                The authenticator may insert line breaks if needed.
4209
4210
            Authenticator extension output
4211
                A single CBOR string, representing the prompt as displayed
4212
                (including any eventual line breaks).
4213
4214
           10.3. Generic Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthGeneric)
4215
           This registration extension and authentication extension allows images to be used as transaction authorization prompts as well. This allows
4216
4217
4218
            authenticators without a font rendering engine to be used and also
4219
            supports a richer visual appearance.
4220
4221
            Extension identifier
4222
                txAuthGeneric
```

```
4538
4539
4540
           boolean appid;
4541
4542
            Authenticator extension input
4543
                None.
4544
4545
            Authenticator extension processing
454€
                None.
4547
4548
            Authenticator extension output
4549
                None.
4550
4551
           10.2. Simple Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthSimple)
4552
4553
            This registration extension and authentication extension allows for a
            simple form of transaction authorization. A Relying Party can specify a
4554
4555
            prompt string, intended for display on a trusted device on the
455€
            authenticator.
4557
4558
            Extension identifier
4559
                txAuthSimple
4560
4561
            Client extension input
4562
4563
                A single USVString prompt.
4564
4565
4566
          partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs { USVString txAuthSimple;
4567
4568
           Client extension processing
None, except creating the authenticator extension input from the
4569
4570
                client extension input.
4571
4572
            Client extension output
4573
                Returns the authenticator extension output string UTF-8 decoded
4574
4575
                into a USVString.
4576
          partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs {
4577
           USVString txAuthSimple:
4578
4579
4580
            Authenticator extension input
4581
                The client extension input encoded as a CBOR text string (major
4582
                type 3).
4583
4584
4585
          CDDL:
          txAuthSimpleInput = (tstr)
4586
4587
            Authenticator extension processing
4588
                The authenticator MUST display the prompt to the user before
                performing either user verification or test of user presence. The authenticator MAY insert line breaks if needed.
4589
4590
4591
4592
            Authenticator extension output
4593
                A single CBOR string, representing the prompt as displayed
4594
                (including any eventual line breaks).
4595
4596
4597
          txAuthSimpleOutput = (tstr)
4598
4599
           10.3. Generic Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthGeneric)
4600
4601
            This registration extension and authentication extension allows images
4602
            to be used as transaction authorization prompts as well. This allows
4603
            authenticators without a font rendering engine to be used and also
4604
            supports a richer visual appearance.
4605
460€
            Extension identifier
```

4607

txAuthGeneric

4229

4230

4278 4279 4280

typedef BufferSource AAGUID;

txAuthGenericArg = { contentType: text, ; MIME-Type of the content, e.g. "image/png"

content: bytes

Client extension processing None, except creating the authenticator extension input from the client extension input.

Client extension output Returns the base64url encoding of the authenticator extension output value as a JSON string

Authenticator extension input The client extension input encoded as a CBOR map.

Authenticator extension processing
The authenticator MUST display the content to the user before performing either user verification or test of user presence. The authenticator may add other information below the content. No changes are allowed to the content itself, i.e., inside content boundary box.

Authenticator extension output The hash value of the content which was displayed. The authenticator MUST use the same hash algorithm as it uses for the signature itself.

10.4. Authenticator Selection Extension (authnSel)

This registration extension allows a Relying Party to guide the selection of the authenticator that will be leveraged when creating the credential. It is intended primarily for Relying Parties that wish to tightly control the experience around credential creation.

Extension identifier authnSel

Client extension input A sequence of AAGUIDs:

typedef sequence<AAGUID> AuthenticatorSelectionList:

Each AAGUID corresponds to an authenticator model that is acceptable to the Relying Party for this credential creation. The list is ordered by decreasing preference.

An AAGUID is defined as an array containing the globally unique identifier of the authenticator model being sought.

Client extension processing

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 4608

4608 4609 Client extension input 4610 A JavaScript object defined as follows: 4611 4612 4613 dictionary txAuthGenericArg { required USVString contentType; // MIME-Type of the content, e.g., "image 4614 /png' 4615 required ArrayBuffer content; 4616 4617 4618 partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs { 4619 txAuthGenericArg txAuthGeneric; 4620 4621 4622 Client extension processing None, except creating the authenticator extension input from the 4623 4624 client extension input. 4625 462€ Client extension output 4627 4628 Returns the authenticator extension output value as an ArrayBuffer. 4629 4630 4631 4632 4633 partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs { ArrayBuffer txAuthGeneric; 4634 Authenticator extension input 4635 The client extension input encoded as a CBOR map. 463€ Authenticator extension processing
The authenticator MUST display the content to the user before performing either user verification or test of user presence. 4637 4638 4639 4640 The authenticator MAY add other information below the content. 4641 No changes are allowed to the content itself, i.e., inside 4642 content boundary box. 4643 4644 Authenticator extension output 4645 The hash value of the content which was displayed. The 464€ authenticator MUST use the same hash algorithm as it uses for 4647 the signature itself. 4648 4649 10.4. Authenticator Selection Extension (authnSel) 4650 4651 This registration extension allows a Relying Party to guide the 4652 selection of the authenticator that will be leveraged when creating the 4653 credential. It is intended primarily for Relying Parties that wish to 4654 tightly control the experience around credential creation. 4655 465€ **Extension identifier** 4657 authnSel 4658 4659 Client extension input 4660 A sequence of AAGUIDs: 4661 4662 typedef sequence<AAGUID> AuthenticatorSelectionList; 4663 4664 4665 4666 partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs { AuthenticatorSelectionList authnSel; 4667 4668 Each AAGUID corresponds to an authenticator model that is 4669 acceptable to the Relying Party for this credential creation. 4670 The list is ordered by decreasing preference. 4671 4672 An AAGUID is defined as an array containing the globally unique 4673 identifier of the authenticator model being sought. 4674 4675 typedef BufferSource AAGUID; 467€ 4677 Client extension processing

433€

10.6. User Verification Index Extension (uvi)

This extension can only be used during create(). If the client supports the Authenticator Selection Extension, it MUST use the first available authenticator whose AAGUID is present in the AuthenticatorSelectionList. If none of the available authenticators match a provided AAGUID, the client MUST select an authenticator from among the available authenticators to generate the credential. Client extension output Returns the value true to indicate to the RP that the extension was acted upon. partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs { boolean authnSel; **Authenticator extension input** Authenticator extension processing Authenticator extension output 10.5. Supported Extensions Extension (exts) This registration extension enables the Relying Party to determine which extensions the authenticator supports. **Extension identifier** Client extension input The Boolean value true to indicate that this extension is requested by the Relying Party. partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs { Client extension processing None, except creating the authenticator extension input from the client extension input. Client extension output Returns the list of supported extensions as an array of extension identifier strings. typedef sequence<USVString> AuthenticationExtensionsSupported; partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs { AuthenticationExtensionsSupported exts; Authenticator extension input The Boolean value true, encoded in CBOR (major type 7, value Authenticator extension processing
The authenticator sets the authenticator extension output to be a list of extensions that the authenticator supports, as defined below. This extension can be added to attestation objects. Authenticator extension output The SupportedExtensions extension is a list (CBOR array) of extension identifier (UTF-8 encoded) strings. 474€ 10.6. User Verification Index Extension (uvi) 4747

```
This registration extension and authentication extension enables use of
4338
           a user verification index.
4339
4340
           Extension identifier
4341
               uvi
4342
4343
4344
4345
           Client extension input
               The Boolean value true to indicate that this extension is
               requested by the Relying Party.
434€
4347
           Client extension processing
4348
               None, except creating the authenticator extension input from the
4349
               client extension input.
4350
4351
           Client extension output
4352
               Returns a JSON string containing the base64url encoding of the
4353
               authenticator extension output
4354
4355
435€
4357
4358
4359
```

4361

4362

4363

4364

4365

436€

4367

4368

4369

4370

4371

4372

4373

4374

4375

437€

4377

4378

4379

4380

4381

4382

4383

4384

4385

438€

4387

4388 4389

4390

4391

4392

4393

4394

4395

439€

4397

4398

4399

Authenticator extension input

The Boolean value true, encoded in CBOR (major type 7, value

Authenticator extension processing
The authenticator sets the authenticator extension output to be
a user verification index indicating the method used by the user to authorize the operation, as defined below. This extension can be added to attestation objects and assertions.

Authenticator extension output

The user verification index (UVI) is a value uniquely identifying a user verification data record. The UVI is encoded as CBOR byte string (type 0x58). Each UVI value MUST be specific to the related key (in order to provide unlinkability). It also must contain sufficient entropy that makes guessing impractical. UVI values MUST NOT be reused by the Authenticator (for other biometric data or users).

The UVI data can be used by servers to understand whether an authentication was authorized by the exact same biometric data as the initial key generation. This allows the detection and prevention of "friendly fraud".

As an example, the UVI could be computed as SHA256(KeyID II SHA256(rawUVI)), where II represents concatenation, and the rawUVI reflects (a) the biometric reference data, (b) the related OS level user ID and (c) an identifier which changes whenever a factory reset is performed for the device, e.g. rawUVI = biometricReferenceData II OSLevelUserID II FactoryResetCounter.

Servers supporting UVI extensions MUST support a length of up to 32 bytes for the UVI value.

Example for authenticator data containing one UVI extension

```
-- [=RP ID=] hash (32 bytes)
                                -- UP and ED set
00 00 00 01
                                    -- (initial) signature counter
                               -- all public key alg etc.
-- extension: CBOR map of one elemen
...
A1
  63
                                -- Key 1: CBOR text string of 3 byte
S
```

```
4749
4750
4751
4752
4753
4754
4755
475€
4757
4758
4759
4760
4761
4762
4763
4764
4765
476€
4767
4768
4769
4770
4771
4772
4773
4774
4775
477€
4777
4778
4779
4780
4781
4782
4783
4784
4785
478€
4787
4788
4789
4790
4791
4792
4793
4794
4795
479€
4797
4798
4799
4800
4801
4802
4803
4804
4805
480€
4807
4808
4809
```

```
This registration extension and authentication extension enables use of
 a user verification index.
 Extension identifier
      uvi
 Client extension input
      The Boolean value true to indicate that this extension is
      requested by the Relying Party.
partial dictionary Authentication Extensions ClientInputs {
boolean uvi;
 Client extension processing
      None, except creating the authenticator extension input from the
      client extension input.
 Client extension output
      Returns the authenticator extension output as an ArrayBuffer.
partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs {
ArrayBuffer uvi;
 Authenticator extension input
      The Boolean value true, encoded in CBOR (major type 7, value
 Authenticator extension processing
The authenticator sets the authenticator extension output to be a user verification index indicating the method used by the user
      to authorize the operation, as defined below. This extension can
      be added to attestation objects and assertions.
 Authenticator extension output
      biometric data or users).
```

The user verification output
The user verification index (UVI) is a value uniquely
identifying a user verification data record. The UVI is encoded
as CBOR byte string (type 0x58). Each UVI value MUST be specific
to the related key (in order to provide unlinkability). It also
MUST contain sufficient entropy that makes guessing impractical. UVI values MUST NOT be reused by the Authenticator (for other

The UVI data can be used by servers to understand whether an authentication was authorized by the exact same biometric data as the initial key generation. This allows the detection and prevention of "friendly fraud".

As an example, the UVI could be computed as SHA256(KeyID II SHA256(rawUVI)), where II represents concatenation, and the rawUVI reflects (a) the biometric reference data, (b) the related OS level user ID and (c) an identifier which changes whenever a factory reset is performed for the device, e.g. rawUVI = biometricReferenceData II OSLevelUserID II FactoryResetCounter.

Servers supporting UVI extensions MUST support a length of up to 32 bytes for the UVI value.

Example for authenticator data containing one UVI extension

```
-- [=RP ID=] hash (32 bytes)
-- UP and ED set
00 00 00 01
                                   -- (initial) signature counter
                             -- all public key alg etc.
Ä1
                               -- extension: CBOR map of one elemen
  63
                              -- Key 1: CBOR text string of 3 byte
S
```

4810

4811

4812

4813

4814

4815

481€

```
4400
                     75 76 69
                                                         -- "uvi" [=UTF-8 encoded=] string
4401
                 58 20
                                                        -- Value 1: CBOR byte string with 0x
4402
              20 bytes
4403
4404
                    00 43 B8 E3 BE 27 95 8C
28 D5 74 BF 46 8A 85 CF
                                                                    -- the UVI value itself
4405
                     46 9A 14 F0 E5 16 69 31
4406
                     DA 4B CF FF C1 BB 11 32
4407
4408
4409
4410
               10.7. Location Extension (loc)
4411
                The location registration extension and authentication extension
4412
                provides the client device's current location to the WebAuthn Relying
4413
                Party.
4414
4415
                Extension identifier
441€
                      loc
4417
4418
                Client extension input
4419
                       The Boolean value true to indicate that this extension is
4420
                      requested by the Relying Party.
4421
                Client extension processing
None, except creating the authenticator extension input from the
4422
4423
4424
                       client extension input.
4425
4426
                Client extension output
4427
                       Returns a JSON object that encodes the location information in
4428
                      the authenticator extension output as a Coordinates value, as
                       defined by The W3C Geolocation API Specification.
4430
4431
4432
                Authenticator extension input
                       The Boolean value true, encoded in CBOR (major type 7, value
4433
4434
4435
                Authenticator extension processing
                      If the authenticator does not support the extension, then the authenticator MUST ignore the extension request. If the
4436
4437
4438
4439
                       authenticator accepts the extension, then the authenticator
                       SHOULD only add this extension data to a packed attestation or
4440
                      assertion.
4441
4442
                Authenticator extension output
                     If the authenticator accepts the extension request, then authenticator accepts the extension request, then authenticator extension output SHOULD provide location data in the form of a CBOR-encoded map, with the first value being the extension identifier and the second being an array of returned values. The array elements SHOULD be derived from (key,value) pairings for each location attribute that the authenticator supports. The following is an example of authenticator data where the returned array is comprised of a {longitude, latitude, altitude} triplet following the coordinate representation
4443
4444
4445
4446
4447
4448
4446
4449
4450
4451
4452
4453
4454
4455
                      altitude} triplet, following the coordinate representation defined in The W3C Geolocation API Specification.
                                                    -- [=RP ID=] hash (32 bytes)
-- UP and ED set
              00 00 00 01
                                                            -- (initial) signature counter
4457
                                                    -- all public key alg etc.
-- extension: CBOR map of one elemen
4458
              A1
4459
4460
                 63
                                                      -- Value 1: CBOR text string of 3 by
```

```
/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 4818
```

```
4818
                                                 -- "uvi" [=UTF-8 encoded=] string
-- Value 1: CBOR byte string with 0x
                   75 76 69
4819
                58 20
4820
            20 bytes
4821
4822
                  43 B8 E3 BE 27 95 8C 28
D5 74 BF 46 8A 85 CF 46
                                                             -- the UVI value itself
4823
                   9A 14 F0 E5 16 69 31 DA
4824
                   4B CF FF C1 BB 11 32 82
4825
482€
              10.7. Location Extension (loc)
4827
4828
               The location registration extension and authentication extension
4829
               provides the client device's current location to the WebAuthn Relying
4830
               Partv.
4831
4832
               Extension identifier
4833
                    loc
4834
4835
               Client extension input
483€
                    The Boolean value true to indicate that this extension is
4837
                    requested by the Relying Party.
4838
4839
4840
            partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs {
              boolean loc;
4841
4842
4843
              Client extension processing None, except creating the authenticator extension input from the
4844
4845
                    client extension input.
484€
4847
               Client extension output
4848
4849
4850
                    Returns a JavaScript object that encodes the location
                    information in the authenticator extension output as a Coordinates value, as defined by [Geolocation-API].
4851
4852
4853
4854
            partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs {
              Coordinates loc:
4855
485€
               Authenticator extension input
4857
                    The Boolean value true, encoded in CBOR (major type 7, value
4858
4859
4860
               Authenticator extension processing
4861
                    Determine the Geolocation value.
4862
4863
               Authenticator extension output
                   A [Geolocation-API] Coordinates record encoded as a CBOR map.
Values represented by the "double" type in JavaScript are
represented as 64-bit CBOR floating point numbers. Per the
Geolocation specification, the "latitude", "longitude", and
"accuracy" values are required and other values such as
"altitude" are optional.
4864
4865
4866
4867
4868
4869
```

```
6C 6F 63
                                             -- "loc" [=UTF-8 encoded=] string
4463
4464
                              -- Value 2: array of 6 elements

-- Element 1: CBOR text string of 8 bytes

9 74 75 64 65 -- "latitude" [=UTF-8 encoded=] stri
             86
                68
4465
                  6C 61 74 69 74 75 64 65
4466
4467
                FB ...
                                  -- Element 2: Latitude as CBOR encoded double-p
4468
4469
4470
4471
4472
4473
           recision float
                               -- Element 3: CBOR text string of 9 bytes
                  6C 6F 6E 67 69 74 75 64 65 -- "longitude" [=UTF-8 encoded=] str
           ing
                FB ...
                                  -- Element 4: Longitude as CBOR encoded double-
           precision float
                               -- Element 5: CBOR text string of 8 bytes
74 75 64 65 -- "altitude" [=UTF-8 encoded=] stri
                68
4475
                 61 6C 74 69 74 75 64 65
4476
4477
                                  -- Element 6: Altitude as CBOR encoded double-p
4478
           recision float
4479
4480
            10.8. User Verification Method Extension (uvm)
4481
4482
            This registration extension and authentication extension enables use of
4483
            a user verification method.
4484
4485
            Extension identifier
4486
                 uvm
4487
4488
            Client extension input
4489
                 The Boolean value true to indicate that this extension is requested by the WebAuthn Relying Party.
4490
4491
4492
            Client extension processing
4493
                 None, except creating the authenticator extension input from the
4494
                 client extension input.
4495
4496
            Client extension output
4497
                 Returns a JSON array of 3-element arrays of numbers that encodes
4498
                 the factors in the authenticator extension output
4499
4500
            Authenticator extension input
4501
                 The Boolean value true, encoded in CBOR (major type 7, value
4502
4503
            Authenticator extension processing
The authenticator sets the authenticator extension output to be one or more user verification methods indicating the method(s)
4504
4505
450€
4507
                 used by the user to authorize the operation, as defined below.
4508
                 This extension can be added to attestation objects and
4509
                 assertions.
4510
4511
            Authenticator extension output
4512
                 Authenticators can report up to 3 different user verification
4513
                 methods (factors) used in a single authentication instance,
4514
                 using the CBOR syntax defined below:
4515
451€
             uvmFormat = [ 1*3 uvmEntry ]
4517
             uvmEntry =
4518
                       userVerificationMethod: uint .size 4.
4519
                       keyProtectionType: uint .size 2,
4520
                       matcherProtectionType: uint .size 2
```

```
4870
4871
           10.8. User Verification Method Extension (uvm)
4872
4873
            This registration extension and authentication extension enables use of
4874
            a user verification method.
4875
487€
            Extension identifier
4877
                uvm
4878
4879
            Client extension input
4880
                 The Boolean value true to indicate that this extension is
4881
                requested by the Relying Party.
4882
4883
4884
4885
          partial dictionary Authentication Extensions ClientInputs {
           boolean uvm:
488€
4887
            Client extension processing
4888
                 None, except creating the authenticator extension input from the
4889
                 client extension input.
4890
4891
            Client extension output
4892
                 Returns a JSON array of 3-element arrays of numbers that encodes
4893
                 the factors in the authenticator extension output.
4894
4895
4896
          typedef sequence<unsigned long> UvmEntry; typedef sequence<UvmEntry> UvmEntries;
4897
4898
4899
4900
          partial dictionary Authentication Extensions Client Outputs {
           UvmEntries uvm:
4901
4902
            Authenticator extension input
4903
                 The Boolean value true, encoded in CBOR (major type 7, value
4904
4905
            Authenticator extension processing
The authenticator sets the authenticator extension output to be one or more user verification methods indicating the method(s)
4906
4907
4908
                used by the user to authorize the operation, as defined below.
4909
                 This extension can be added to attestation objects and
4910
4911
                assertions.
4912
4913
            Authenticator extension output
4914
                Authenticators can report up to 3 different user verification
4915
                methods (factors) used in a single authentication instance,
4916
                using the CBOR syntax defined below:
4917
             uvmFormat = [ 1*3 uvmEntrv ]
4918
4919
             uvmEntry = |
4920
                      userVerificationMethod: uint .size 4.
4921
                      keyProtectionType: uint .size 2,
4922
                      matcherProtectionType: uint .size 2
```

```
4522
4523
4524
4525
452€
4527
4528
4529
4530
4531
4532
4533
4534
4535
453€
4537
4538
4539
4540
4541
4542
4543
4544
4545
454€
4547
4548
4549
4550
4551
4552
4553
4554
4555
455€
4557
4558
4559
4560
4561
4562
4563
4564
4565
4566
4567
4568
4569
4570
4571
```

The semantics of the fields in each uvmEntry are as follows:

userVerificationMethod

The authentication method/factor used by the authenticator to verify the user. Available values are defined in [FIDOReg], "User Verification Methods" section.

keyProtectionType

01

ftware

The method used by the authenticator to protect the FIDO registration private key material. Available values are defined in [FIDOReg], "Key Protection Types" section.

matcherProtectionType

The method used by the authenticator to protect the matcher that performs user verification. Available values are defined in [FIDOReg], "Matcher Protection Types" section.

If >3 factors can be used in an authentication instance the authenticator vendor must select the 3 factors it believes will be most relevant to the Server to include in the UVM.

Example for authenticator data containing one UVM extension for a multi-factor authentication instance where 2 factors were used:

```
-- [=RP ID=] hash (32 bytes)
-- UP and ED set
_-- (initial) signature counter
00 00 00 01
               -- all public key alg etc.
A1
                -- extension: CBOR map of one element
               -- Key 1: CBOR text string of 3 bytes

-- "uvm" [=UTF-8 encoded=] string
  63
     75 76 6d
                -- Value 1: CBOR array of length 2 indicating two factor
  82
usage
                -- Item 1: CBOR array of length 3
     83
       02
                 -- Subitem 1: CBOR integer for User Verification Method
Fingerprint
                 -- Subitem 2: CBOR short for Key Protection Type TEE
       02
                 -- Subitem 3: CBOR short for Matcher Protection Type TE
Ε
     83
                -- Item 2: CBOR array of length 3
       04
                 -- Subitem 1: CBOR integer for User Verification Method
Passcode
       01
                 -- Subitem 2: CBOR short for Key Protection Type Softwa
                 -- Subitem 3: CBOR short for Matcher Protection Type So
```

```
/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 4923
```

```
4923
4924
4925
                  The semantics of the fields in each uvmEntry are as follows:
4926
4927
                userVerificationMethod
                     The authentication method/factor used by the authenticator to verify the user. Available values are defined in [FIDOReg], "User Verification Methods" section.
4928
4929
4930
4931
4932
                keyProtectionType
4933
                      The method used by the authenticator to protect the FIDO
4934
                      registration private key material. Available values are
4935
                      defined in [FIDOReg], "Key Protection Types" section.
493€
4937
                matcherProtectionType
4938
                      The method used by the authenticator to protect the
                      matcher that performs user verification. Available values
4939
4940
                      are defined in [FIDOReg], "Matcher Protection Types"
4941
                      section.
4942
                 If >3 factors can be used in an authentication instance the authenticator vendor MUST select the 3 factors it believes will
4943
4944
4945
                  be most relevant to the Server to include in the UVM.
4946
4947
                  Example for authenticator data containing one UVM extension for
4948
                 a multi-factor authentication instance where 2 factors were
4949
                 used:
4950
                          -- [=RP ID=] hash (32 bytes)
-- UP and ED set
4951
4952
4953
          00 00 00 01
                                -- (initial) signature counter
4954
                          -- all public key alg etc.
                           -- extension: CBOR map of one element

-- Key 1: CBOR text string of 3 bytes

-- "uvm" [=UTF-8 encoded=] string
4955
           A1
495€
             63
4957
                75 76 6d
                            -- Value 1: CBOR array of length 2 indicating two factor
4958
             82
4959
           usage
4960
                83
                            -- Item 1: CBOR array of length 3
4961
                   02
                             -- Subitem 1: CBOR integer for User Verification Method
4962
           Fingerprint
4963
                             -- Subitem 2: CBOR short for Key Protection Type TEE
                   04
4964
                             -- Subitem 3: CBOR short for Matcher Protection Type TE
                   02
4965
           Ε
496€
                83
                            -- Item 2: CBOR array of length 3
4967
                             -- Subitem 1: CBOR integer for User Verification Method
                   04
4968
           Passcode
4969
                   01
                             -- Subitem 2: CBOR short for Key Protection Type Softwa
4970
           re
4971
                             -- Subitem 3: CBOR short for Matcher Protection Type So
                   01
4972
           ftware
4973
4974
4975
            10.9. Biometric Authenticator Performance Bounds Extension (biometricPerfBounds)
4976
4977
            This registration extension allows Relying Parties to specify the desired performance bounds for selecting biometric authenticators as
4978
4979
             candidates to be employed in a registration ceremony.
4980
4981
4982
             Extension identifier
                 biometricPerfBounds
4983
4984
             Client extension input
4985
                  Biometric performance bounds:
4986
4987
           dictionary authenticatorBiometricPerfBounds{
4988
             float FAR;
float FRR;
4989
4990
4991
4992
                 The FAR is the maximum false acceptance rate for a biometric
```

4993 4994 4995 4996 4997 4998 4999 5000 5001 5002 5003 5004 5005 5006 5007 5008 5009 5010 5011 5012 5013 5014 5015 5016 5017 5018 5019 5020 5021 5022 5023 5024 5025 502€ 5027 5028 5029 5030 5031 5032 5033 5034 5035 503€ 5037 5038 5039 5040 5041 5042 5043 5044 5045 504€ 5047 5048 5049 5050 5051 5052 5053 5054 5055 505€ 5057 5058 5059 5060 5061 5062

Client extension processing
This extension can only be used during create(). If the client supports this extension, it MUST NOT use a biometric authenticator whose FAR or FRR does not match the bounds as provided. The client can obtain information about the biometric authenticator's performance from authoritative sources such as the FIDO Metadata Service [FIDOMetadataService] (see Sec. 3.2 of [FIDOUAFAuthenticatorMetadataStatements]).

The FAR is the maximum false rejection rate for a biometric authenticator allowed by the Relying Party.

Client extension output Returns the JSON value true to indicate to the RP that the extension was acted upon

authenticator allowed by the Relying Party.

Authenticator extension input None.

Authenticator extension processing None.

Authenticator extension output None.

11. IANA Considerations

11.1. WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier Registrations

This section registers the attestation statement formats defined in Section 8 Defined Attestation Statement Formats in the IANA "WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier" registry established by [WebAuthn-Registries].

* WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier: packed

* Description: The "packed" attestation statement format is a
WebAuthn-optimized format for attestation. It uses a very compact but still extensible encoding method. This format is implementable by authenticators with limited resources (e.g., secure elements).

* Specification Document: Section 8.2 Packed Attestation Statement

Format of this specification

* WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier: tpm

* Description: The TPM attestation statement format returns an

- attestation statement in the same format as the packed attestation statement format, although the rawData and signature fields are computed differently.
- * Specification Document: Section 8.3 TPM Attestation Statement Format of this specification

 * WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier: android-key
- * Description: Platform-provided authenticators based on versions
- "N", and later, may provide this proprietary "hardware attestation"
- * Specification Document: Section 8.4 Android Key Attestation Statement Format of this specification * WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier: android-safetynet
- * Description: Android-based, platform-provided authenticators MAY
- produce an attestation statement based on the Android SafetyNet API.
- * Specification Document: Section 8.5 Android SafetyNet Attestation Statement Format of this specification
- * WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier: fido-u2f
- * Description: Used with FIDO U2F authenticators
- * Specification Document: Section 8.6 FIDO U2F Attestation Statement Format of this specification

11.2. WebAuthn Extension Identifier Registrations

This section registers the extension identifier values defined in Section 9 WebAuthn Extensions in the IANA "WebAuthn Extension

11. IANA Considerations

4573

4574

4575

457€

4577

4578

4579

4580

4581

4582

4583

4584

4585

458€

4587

4588

4589

4590

4591

4592

4593

4594

4595

459€

4597

4598

4599

4600

4601

4602 4603

4604

4605

460€

4607

4608

4609

4610

4611

4612 4613

4614

11.1. WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier Registrations

This section registers the attestation statement formats defined in Section 8 Defined Attestation Statement Formats in the IANA "WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier" registry established by [WebAuthn-Registries].

- * WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier: packed
 * Description: The "packed" attestation statement format is a
 WebAuthn-optimized format for attestation. It uses a very compact but still extensible encoding method. This format is implementable by authenticators with limited resources (e.g., secure elements).

 * Specification Document: Section 8.2 Packed Attestation Statement
- Format of this specification

 * WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier: tpm

 * Description: The TPM attestation statement format returns an
- attestation statement in the same format as the packed attestation statement format, although the the rawData and signature fields are computed differently.
- * Specification Document: Section 8.3 TPM Attestation Statement Format of this specification
- * WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier: android-key Description: Platform-provided authenticators based on versions "N", and later, may provide this proprietary "hardware attestation" statement.
- * Specification Document: Section 8.4 Android Key Attestation Statement Format of this specification * WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier: android-safetynet
- * Description: Android-based, platform-provided authenticators may produce an attestation statement based on the Android SafetyNet API.
- * Specification Document: Section 8.5 Android SafetyNet Attestation Statement Format of this specification
- * WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier: fido-u2f
- * Description: Used with FIDO U2F authenticators
- * Specification Document: Section 8.6 FIDO U2F Attestation Statement Format of this specification

11.2. WebAuthn Extension Identifier Registrations

This section registers the extension identifier values defined in Section 9 WebAuthn Extensions in the IANA "WebAuthn Extension

Identifier" registry established by [WebAuthn-Registries].

Identifier" registry established by [WebAuthn-Registries]. * WebAuthn Extension Identifier: appid * Description: This authentication extension allows Relying Parties that have previously registered a credential using the legacy FIDO JavaScript APIs to request an assertion. 461€ * Specification Document: Section 10.1 FIDO Appld Extension (appld) of this specification WebAuthn Extension Identifier: txAuthSimple Description: This registration extension and authentication 4625 extension allows for a simple form of transaction authorization. A WebAuthn Relying Party can specify a prompt string, intended for * Specification Extension Identifier: txAuthGeneric * Description: This registration extension allows images to be used as transaction authorization * Description: This registration extension and authorization * Description: This registration extension and authorization extension allows images to be used as transaction authorization prompts as well. This allows authenticators without a font rendering engine to be used and also supports a richer visual appearance than accomplished with the webauthn.txauth.simple extension. * Specification Document: Section 10.3 Generic Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthGeneric) of this specification 463€ Authorization Extension (txAuthGeneric) of this specification * WebAuthn Extension Identifier: authnSel * Description: This registration extension allows a WebAuthn Relying Party to guide the selection of the authenticator that will be leveraged when creating the credential. It is intended primarily for WebAuthn Relying Parties that wish to tightly control the experience around credential creation. * Specification Document: Section 10.4 Authenticator Selection Extension (authnSel) of this specification * WebAuthn Extension Identifier: exts 464€ * Description: This registration extension enables the Relying Party to determine which extensions the authenticator supports. The extension data is a list (CBOR array) of extension identifiers encoded as UTF-8 Strings. This extension is added automatically by the authenticator. This extension can be added to attestation statements. * Specification Document: Section 10.5 Supported Extensions Extension (exts) of this specification * WebAuthn Extension Identifier: uvi * WebAuthn Extension Identifier: uvi * Description: This registration extension and authentication extension enables use of a user verification index. The user verification index is a value uniquely identifying a user verification data record. The UVI data can be used by servers to understand whether an authentication was authorized by the exact same biometric data as the initial key generation. This allows the detection and prevention of "friendly fraud". * Specification Document: Section 10.6 User Verification Index Extension (uvi) of this specification * WebAuthn Extension Identifier: loc * Description: The location registration extension and authentication extension provides the client device's current location to the WebAuthn relying party, if supported by the client device and 465€ WebAuthn relying party, if supported by the client device and subject to user consent. * Specification Document: Section 10.7 Location Extension (loc) of this specification WebAuthn Extension Identifier: uvm Description: This registration extension and authentication extension enables use of a user verification method. The user verification method extension returns to the Webauthn relying party 467€ which user verification methods (factors) were used for the WebAuthn operation. * Specification Document: Section 10.8 User Verification Method Extension (uvm) of this specification 11.3. COSE Algorithm Registrations This section registers identifiers for RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 [RFC8017] algorithms using SHA-2 and SHA-1 hash functions in the IANA COSE

5064	* WebAuthn Extension Identifier: appid
5065	* Description: This authentication extension allows Relying Parties
506€	that have previously registered a credential using the legacy FIDO
5067	JavaScript APIs to request an assertion.
5068 5069	* Specification Document: Section 10.1 FIDO ApplD Extension (appid) of this specification
5070	* WebAuthn Extension Identifier: txAuthSimple
5071	* Description: This registration extension and authentication
5072	extension allows for a simple form of transaction authorization. A
5073	WebAuthn Relying Party can specify a prompt string, intended for
5074	display on a trusted device on the authenticator
507€ 507€	* Specification Document: Section 10.2 Simple Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthSimple) of this specification
5077	* WebAuthn Extension Identifier: txAuthGeneric
5078	* Description: This registration extension and authentication
5079	extension allows images to be used as transaction authorization
5080	prompts as well. This allows authenticators without a font
5081	rendering engine to be used and also supports a richer visual
5082 5083	appearance than accomplished with the webauthn.txauth.simple extension.
5084	* Specification Document: Section 10.3 Generic Transaction
5085	Authorization Extension (txAuthGeneric) of this specification
508€	* WebAuthn Extension Identifier: authnSel
5087	* Description: This registration extension allows a WebAuthn Relying
5088 5089	Party to guide the selection of the authenticator that will be
5090	leveraged when creating the credential. It is intended primarily for WebAuthn Relying Parties that wish to tightly control the
5091	experience around credential creation.
5092	* Specification Document: Section 10.4 Authenticator Selection
5093	Extension (authnSel) of this specification
5094	* WebAuthn Extension Identifier: exts
509€ 509€	* Description: This registration extension enables the Relying Party
5097	to determine which extensions the authenticator supports. The extension data is a list (CBOR array) of extension identifiers
5098	encoded as UTF-8 Strings. This extension is added automatically by
5099	the authenticator. This extension can be added to attestation
5100	statements.
5101	* Specification Document: Section 10.5 Supported Extensions
5102 5103	Extension (exts) of this specification * WebAuthn Extension Identifier: uvi
5104	* Description: This registration extension and authentication
5105	extension enables use of a user verification index. The user
510€	verification index is a value uniquely identifying a user
5107	verification data record. The UVI data can be used by servers to
5108 5109	understand whether an authentication was authorized by the exact
5110	same biometric data as the initial key generation. This allows the detection and prevention of "friendly fraud".
5111	* Specification Document: Section 10.6 User Verification Index
5112	Extension (uvi) of this specification
5113	* WebAuthn Extension Identifier: loc
5114 5115	* Description: The location registration extension and authentication
5116	extension provides the client device's current location to the
5117	WebAuthn relying party, if supported by the client device and subject to user consent.
5118	* Specification Document: Section 10.7 Location Extension (loc) of
5119	this specification
5120	* WebAuthn Extension Identifier: uvm
5121 5122	* Description: This registration extension and authentication
5123	extension enables use of a user verification method. The user verification method extension returns to the Webauthn relying party
5124	which user verification methods (factors) were used for the
5125	WebAuthn operation.
5126	* Specification Document: Section 10.8 User Verification Method
5127 5128	Extension (uvm) of this specification
5128	11.3. COSE Algorithm Registrations
5130	
E121	This seation we sistems identificate for DOAGOA DKOOd at 5 [DEGO047]

This section registers identifiers for RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 [RFC8017]

algorithms using SHA-2 and SHA-1 hash functions in the IANA COSE

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-5e63e57-WD-07.txt, Top line: 4685 Algorithms registry [IANA-COSE-ALGS-REG]. It also registers identifiers for ECDAA algorithms.

* Name: RS256

* Value: -257 * Description: RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 w/ SHA-256 * Reference: Section 8.2 of [RFC8017] * Recommended: No * Name: RS384 * Value: -258 * Description: RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 w/ SHA-384 * Reference: Section 8.2 of [RFC8017] * Recommended: No * Name: RS512 * Value: -259 469€ * Description: RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 w/ SHA-512 * Reference: Section 8.2 of [RFC8017] * Recommended: No * Name: ED256 * Value: -260 * Description: TPM_ECC_BN_P256 curve w/ SHA-256
* Reference: Section 4.2 of [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm] * Recommended: Yes * Name: ED512 470€ * Value: -261 * Description: ECC_BN_ISOP512 curve w/ SHA-512 * Reference: Section 4.2 of [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm] 4710 * Recommended: Yes * Name: RS1 * Value: -262 * Description: RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 w/ SHA-1 * Reference: Section 8.2 of [RFC8017] 471€ * Recommended: No 12. Sample scenarios This section is not normative. 4722 In this section, we walk through some events in the lifecycle of a public key credential, along with the corresponding sample code for using this API. Note that this is an example flow, and does not limit the scope of how the API can be used.

As was the case in earlier sections, this flow focuses on a use case involving an external first-factor authenticator with its own display. One example of such an authenticator would be a smart phone. Other authenticator types are also supported by this API, subject to implementation by the platform. For instance, this flow also works without modification for the case of an authenticator that is embedded in the client platform. The flow also works for the case of an authenticator without its own display (similar to a smart card) subject to specific implementation considerations. Specifically, the client platform needs to display any prompts that would otherwise be shown by the authenticator, and the authenticator needs to allow the client platform to enumerate all the authenticator's credentials so that the client can have information to show appropriate prompts. client can have information to show appropriate prompts.

12.1. Registration

4734

473€

474€

This is the first-time flow, in which a new credential is created and

- registered with the server. In this flow, the Relying Party does not have a preference for platform authenticator or roaming authenticators.

 1. The user visits example.com, which serves up a script. At this point, the user may already be logged in using a legacy username and password, or additional authenticator, or other means acceptable to the Relying Party. Or the user may be in the process of creating a new account.

 2. The Relying Party script runs the code snippet below.

 3. The client platform searches for and locates the authenticator.

- 4. The client platform connects to the authenticator, performing any pairing actions if necessary.

/Users	/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt
5133	Algorithms registry [IANA-COSE-ALGS-REG]. It also registers identifiers
5134	for ECDAA algorithms.
5135	* Name: RS256
5136	* Value: TBD (requested assignment -257)
5137	* Description: RSASSA-PKCS1-v1 5 w/ SHA-256
5138	* Reference: Section 8.2 of [RFC8017]
5139	* Recommended: No
5140	* Name: R\$384
5141	* Value: TBD (requested assignment -258)
5142	* Description: RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 w/ SHA-384
5143	* Reference: Section 8.2 of [RFC8017]
5144	* Recommended: No
5145	* Name: RS512
5146	* Value: TBD (requested assignment -259)
5147	* Description: RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 w/ SHA-512
5148	* Reference: Section 8.2 of [RFC8017]
5149	* Recommended: No
5150	* Name: ED256
5151	* Value: TBD (requested assignment -260)
5152 5153	* Description: TPM_ECC_BN_P256 curve w/ SHA-256
5154	* Reference: Section 4.2 of [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]
5155	* Recommended: Yes * Name: ED512
5156	* Value: TBD (requested assignment -261)
5157	* Description: ECC BN ISOP512 curve w/ SHA-512
5158	* Reference: Section 4.2 of [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]
5159	* Recommended: Yes
5160	* Name: RS1
5161	* Value: TBD (requested assignment -262)
5162	* Description: RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 w/ SHA-1
5163	* Reference: Section 8.2 of [RFC8017]
5164	* Recommended: No
5165	
516€	12. Sample scenarios
5167	P
5168	This section is not normative.
5169	
5170	In this section, we walk through some events in the lifecycle of a
5171	public key credential, along with the corresponding sample code for
5172	using this API. Note that this is an example flow and does not limit
5173	the scope of how the API can be used.
5174	A H P P

As was the case in earlier sections, this flow focuses on a use case involving an external first-factor authenticator with its own display. One example of such an authenticator would be a smart phone. Other authenticator types are also supported by this API, subject to implementation by the platform. For instance, this flow also works without modification for the case of an authenticator that is embedded in the client platform. The flow also works for the case of an authenticator without its own display (similar to a smart card) subject to specific implementation considerations. Specifically, the client platform needs to display any prompts that would otherwise be shown by the authenticator, and the authenticator needs to allow the client platform to enumerate all the authenticator's credentials so that the client can have information to show appropriate prompts. client can have information to show appropriate prompts.

12.1. Registration

This is the first-time flow, in which a new credential is created and registered with the server. In this flow, the Relying Party does not have a preference for platform authenticator or roaming authenticators.

- 1. The user visits example.com, which serves up a script. At this point, the user may already be logged in using a legacy username and password, or additional authenticator, or other means acceptable to the Relying Party. Or the user may be in the process of creating a new account.

 2. The Relying Party script runs the code snippet below.

 3. The client platform searches for and locates the authenticator.

- 4. The client platform connects to the authenticator, performing any pairing actions if necessary.

517€

518€

519€

```
5. The authenticator shows appropriate UI for the user to select the authenticator on which the new credential will be created, and obtains a biometric or other authorization gesture from the user.

6. The authenticator returns a response to the client platform, which in turn returns a response to the Relying Party script. If the user declined to select an authenticator or provide authorization, an appropriate error is returned.
475€
4757
4758
4759
4760
                 appropriate error is returned.
7. If a new credential was created,
4761
4762
                      + The Relying Party script sends the newly generated credential public key to the server, along with additional information such as attestation regarding the provenance and
4763
4764
4765
                      characteristics of the authenticator.

+ The server stores the credential public key in its database and associates it with the user as well as with the
476€
4767
4768
4769
                         characteristics of authentication indicated by attestation,
                       also storing a friendly name for later use.

+ The script may store data such as the credential ID in local
4770
4771
4772
                         storage, to improve future UX by narrowing the choice of
                         credential for the user.
4773
4774
              The sample code for generating and registering a new key follows: if (!PublicKeyCredential) { /* Platform not capable. Handle error. */ }
4775
4776
4777
4778
              var publicKey = {
4779
                // The challenge must be produced by the server, see the Security Consideratio
4780
4781
               challenge: new Uint8Array([21,31,105 /* 29 more random bytes generated by the
4782
              server */1),
4783
4784
               // Relying Party:
4785
                rp: {
4786
                 name: "Acme"
4787
4788
4789
                // User:
4790
                 id: Uint8Array.from(window.atob("MIIBkzCCATigAwIBAjCCAZMwggE4oAMCAQIwqqGTMII
4791
             ="), c=c.charCodeAt(0)),
name: "john.p.smith@example.com",
displayName: "John P. Smith"
4792
4793
4794
4795
                 icon: "https://pics.acme.com/00/p/aBijipqPb.png"
479€
4797
4798
                // This Relying Party will accept either an ES256 or RS256 credential, but
4799
                // prefers an ES256 credential.
4800
                pubKeyCredParams: [
4801
4802
                    type: "public-key"
4803
                   alg: -7 // "ES256" as registered in the IANA COSE Algorithms registry
4804
4805
480€
                   type: "public-key", alg: -257 // Value registered by this specification for "RS256"
4807
4808
4809
4810
4811
                timeout: 60000. // 1 minute
               excludeCredentials: [], // No exclude list of PKCredDescriptors extensions: {"loc": true} // Include location information
4812
4813
4814
                                                    // in attestation
4815
481€
4817
              // Note: The following call will cause the authenticator to display UI. navigator.credentials.create({ publicKey })
.then(function (newCredentialInfo) {
4818
4819
4820
                 // Send new credential info to server for verification and registration.
4821
                }).catch(function (err) {
4822
                 // No acceptable authenticator or user refused consent. Handle appropriately
4823
4824
               });
```

```
5. The authenticator shows appropriate UI for the user to select the authenticator on which the new credential will be created, and
5204
               obtains a biometric or other authorization gesture from the user.

6. The authenticator returns a response to the client platform, which in turn returns a response to the Relying Party script. If the user
5205
520€
5207
                  declined to select an authenticator or provide authorization, an
5208
                  appropriate error is returned.
5209
5210
                7. If a new credential was created,
                    + The Relying Party script sends the newly generated credential public key to the server, along with additional information such as attestation regarding the provenance and
5211
5212
5213
5214
                    characteristics of the authenticator.

+ The server stores the credential public key in its database and associates it with the user as well as with the
5215
521€
5217
                      characteristics of authentication indicated by attestation,
                      also storing a friendly name for later use.
5218
                     + The script may store data such as the credential ID in local
5219
5220
                      storage, to improve future UX by narrowing the choice of
                      credential for the user.
5221
5222
            The sample code for generating and registering a new key follows: if (!window.PublicKeyCredential) { /* Platform not capable. Handle error. */ }
5223
5224
5225
522€
             var publicKey = {
5227
             // The challenge must be produced by the server, see the Security Consideratio
5228
5229
             challenge: new Uint8Array([21,31,105 /* 29 more random bytes generated by the
5230
             server */1),
5231
5232
              // Relying Party:
5233
              rp: {
5234
               name: "ACME Corporation"
5235
523€
5237
              // User:
5238
5239
5240
              user:
               id: Uint8Array.from(window.atob("MIIBkzCCATigAwIBAjCCAZMwggE4oAMCAQIwggGTMII
            ="), c=>c.charCodeAt(0)),
name: "alex.p.mueller@example.com",
displayName: "Alex P. Miler",
5241
5242
5243
                icon: "https://pics.example.com/00/p/aBijipqPb.png"
5244
5245
524€
              // This Relying Party will accept either an ES256 or RS256 credential, but
5247
              // prefers an ES256 credential.
5248
              pubKeyCredParams: [
5249
5250
5251
5252
                 alg: -7 // "ES256" as registered in the IANA COSE Algorithms registry
5253
5254
                 type: "public-key", alg: -257 // Value registered by this specification for "RS256"
5255
5256
5257
5258
5259
              timeout: 60000, // 1 minute
             excludeCredentials: [], // No exclude list of PKCredDescriptors extensions: {"loc": true} // Include location information
5260
5261
5262
                                               // in attestation
5263
5264
5265
            // Note: The following call will cause the authenticator to display UI. navigator.credentials.create({ publicKey }) .then(function (newCredentialInfo) {
526€
5267
5268
               // Send new credential info to server for verification and registration.
5269
              }).catch(function (err) {
               // No acceptable authenticator or user refused consent. Handle appropriately
5270
5271
5272
              });
```

12.2. Registration Specifically with User Verifying Platform Authenticator

This is flow for when the Relying Party is specifically interested in creating a public key credential with a user-verifying platform authenticator.

- 1. The user visits example.com and clicks on the login button, which redirects the user to login.example.com.
- 2. The user enters a username and password to log in. After successful
- login, the user is redirected back to example.com.

 3. The Relying Party script runs the code snippet below.

 4. The user agent asks the user whether they are willing to register with the Relying Party using an available platform authenticator.

 5. If the user is not willing, terminate this flow.
- 6. The user is shown appropriate UI and guided in creating a credential using one of the available platform authenticators. Upon successful credential creation, the RP script conveys the new credential to the server.

if (!PublicKeyCredential) { /* Platform not capable of the API. Handle error. */

PublicKeyCredential.isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable() .then(function (userIntent) {

// If the user has affirmed willingness to register with RP using an ava ilable platform authenticator if (userIntent) { var publicKeyOptions = { /* Public key credential creation options. */};

// Create and register credentials. return navigator.credentials.create({ "publicKey": publicKeyOptions **})**; } else {

// Record that the user does not intend to use a platform authentica tor // and default the user to a password-based flow in the future.

}).then(function (newCredentialInfo) { // Send new credential info to server for verification and registration. }).catch(function(err) { // Something went wrong. Handle appropriately.

12.3. Authentication

This is the flow when a user with an already registered credential

- visits a website and wants to authenticate using the credential.

 1. The user visits example.com, which serves up a script.

 2. The script asks the client platform for an Authentication

 Assertion, providing as much information as possible to narrow the choice of acceptable credentials for the user. This may be obtained from the data that was stored locally after registration, or by other means such as prompting the user for a username.

 3. The Relying Party script runs one of the code snippets below.

 4. The client platform searches for and locates the authenticator.
- 4. The client platform searches for and locates the authenticator.
- 5. The client platform connects to the authenticator, performing any pairing actions if necessary.
- 6. The authenticator presents the user with a notification that their attention is required. On opening the notification, the user is shown a friendly selection menu of acceptable credentials using the account information provided when creating the credentials, along with some information on the origin that is requesting these keys.
- 7. The authenticator obtains a biometric or other authorization aesture from the user.
- 8. The authenticator returns a response to the client platform, which in turn returns a response to the Relying Party script. If the user

12.2. Registration Specifically with User Verifying Platform Authenticator This is flow for when the Relying Party is specifically interested in creating a public key credential with a user-verifying platform authenticator. 1. The user visits example.com and clicks on the login button, which redirects the user to login.example.com.

2. The user enters a username and password to log in. After successful login, the user is redirected back to example.com.

3. The Relying Party script runs the code snippet below.

4. The user agent asks the user whether they are willing to register with the Relying Party using an available platform authenticator.

5. If the user is not willing, terminate this flow.

6. The user is shown appropriate UI and guided in creating a credential using one of the available platform authenticators. Upon successful credential creation, the RP script conveys the new credential to the server.

if (!window.PublicKeyCredential) { /* Platform not capable of the API. Handle er ror. */ }

// If the user has affirmed willingness to register with RP using an ava

PublicKeyCredential.isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable() .then(function (userIntent) {

ilable platform authenticator if (userIntent) { var publicKeyOptions = { /* Public key credential creation options. */};

// Create and register credentials. return navigator.credentials.create({ "publicKey": publicKeyOptions **})**; } else {

// Record that the user does not intend to use a platform authentica tor // and default the user to a password-based flow in the future.

}).then(function (newCredentialInfo) { // Send new credential info to server for verification and registration. }).catch(function(err) { // Something went wrong. Handle appropriately.

12.3. Authentication

This is the flow when a user with an already registered credential

- visits a website and wants to authenticate using the credential.

 1. The user visits example.com, which serves up a script.

 2. The script asks the client platform for an Authentication

 Assertion, providing as much information as possible to narrow the choice of acceptable credentials for the user. This can be obtained from the data that was stored locally after registration, or by other means such as prompting the user for a username.

 2. The Polying Porty positive report the cord a primate below.
- 3. The Relying Party script runs one of the code snippets below. 4. The client platform searches for and locates the authenticator.
- 5. The client platform connects to the authenticator, performing any pairing actions if necessary.
- 6. The authenticator presents the user with a notification that their attention is needed. On opening the notification, the user is shown a friendly selection menu of acceptable credentials using the account information provided when creating the credentials, along with some information on the origin that is requesting these keys.
- 7. The authenticator obtains a biometric or other authorization aesture from the user.
- 8. The authenticator returns a response to the client platform, which in turn returns a response to the Relying Party script. If the user

5274

5275

527€

5277

5278

5279

5280

5281

5282 5283 5284

5285 528€

5287

5288 5289

5290

5291 5292 5293

5294 5295 5296

5297

5298

5299

5300

5301

5302

5303 5304

5305

530€

5307 5308

5309

5310

5311

5312

5313

5314

5315

531€

5317

5318

5319

5320

5321 5322

5323 5324

5325

532€

5327

5328 5329

5330

5331 5332

5333 5334

5335 5336

5337

5338

5339

5340

5341

4896

4897

4898

4899

4900

4901 4902

4903 4904

4905

490€

4907

4908

4909

4910 4911

4912

4913

4914

4915

4917

4918

4919

4920

4921

4922

4923

4924

4925

4926

4927

4928

4929

4930

4931

4932

4933

4934

4935

493€

4937

4939

4940

4941

4942

4943 4944

4945

4946

4947

4948

4949

4950

4951

4952 4953

4954 4955

495€

4957 4958

4959

4960

4961

4962

```
declined to select a credential or provide an authorization, an
4895
                appropriate error is returned.
              9. If an assertion was successfully generated and returned,
+ The script sends the assertion to the server.
+ The server examines the assertion, extracts the credential ID,
                    looks up the registered credential public key it is database,
                    and verifies the assertion's authentication signature. If valid, it looks up the identity associated with the assertion's credential ID; that identity is now authenticated. If the credential ID is not recognized by the server (e.g., it
                    has been deregistered due to inactivity) then the
                    authentication has failed; each Relying Party will handle this
                   + The server now does whatever it would otherwise do upon
                    successful authentication -- return a success page, set
                    authentication cookies, etc.
             If the Relying Party script does not have any hints available (e.g., from locally stored data) to help it narrow the list of credentials,
              then the sample code for performing such an authentication might look
           if (!PublicKeyCredential) { /* Platform not capable. Handle error. */ }
4916
           var options = {
                       // The challenge must be produced by the server, see the Securit
           v Considerations
                       challenge: new Uint8Array([4,101,15 /* 29 more random bytes gene
           rated by the server */]),
timeout: 60000, // 1 minute
                       allowCredentials: [{ type: "public-key" }]
           navigator.credentials.get({ "publicKey": options })
    then(function (assertion) {
               // Send assertion to server for verification
           }).catch(function (err) {
              // No acceptable credential or user refused consent. Handle appropriately.
             On the other hand, if the Relying Party script has some hints to help
it narrow the list of credentials, then the sample code for performing
             such an authentication might look like the following. Note that this
             sample also demonstrates how to use the extension for transaction
4938
           if (!PublicKevCredential) { /* Platform not capable. Handle error. */ }
           var encoder = new TextEncoder();
           var acceptableCredential1 = {
              type: "public-key",
id: encoder.encode("!!!!!!hi there!!!!!!\n")
           var acceptableCredential2 = {
              type: "public-key",
id: encoder.encode("roses are red, violets are blue\n")
           var options = {
                       // The challenge must be produced by the server, see the Securit
           v Considerations
                       challenge: new Uint8Array([8,18,33 /* 29 more random bytes gener
           ated by the server */]),
timeout: 60000, // 1 minute
                       allowCredentials: [acceptableCredential1, acceptableCredential2]
                       extensions: { 'txAuthSimple':
                          "Wave your hands in the air like you just don't care" }
           navigator.credentials.get({ "publicKey": options })
4963
              .then(function (assertion) {
```

```
declined to select a credential or provide an authorization, an
              appropriate error is returned.
            has been deregistered due to inactivity) then the
                 authentication has failed; each Relying Party will handle this
                 in its own way.
                + The server now does whatever it would otherwise do upon
                 successful authentication -- return a success page, set
                 authentication cookies, etc.
            If the Relying Party script does not have any hints available (e.g., from locally stored data) to help it narrow the list of credentials,
            then the sample code for performing such an authentication might look
            like this:
          if (!window.PublicKeyCredential) { /* Platform not capable. Handle error. */ }
          var options = {
                    // The challenge must be produced by the server, see the Securit
          v Considerations
                    challenge: new Uint8Array([4,101,15 /* 29 more random bytes gene
                   the server */]),
timeout: 60000, // 1 minute
          rated by
                    allowCredentials: [{ type: "public-key" }]
          navigator.credentials.get({ "publicKey": options })
    .then(function (assertion) {
            // Send assertion to server for verification
          }).catch(function (err) {
            // No acceptable credential or user refused consent. Handle appropriately.
            On the other hand, if the Relying Party script has some hints to help
it narrow the list of credentials, then the sample code for performing
            such an authentication might look like the following. Note that this
            sample also demonstrates how to use the extension for transaction
            authorization.
5387
          if (!window.PublicKevCredential) { /* Platform not capable, Handle error, */ }
          var encoder = new TextEncoder();
          var acceptableCredential1 = {
            type: "public-key",
id: encoder.encode("!!!!!!hi there!!!!!!\n")
          var acceptableCredential2 = {
            type: "public-key",
            id: encoder.encode("roses are red, violets are blue\n")
          var options = {
                    // The challenge must be produced by the server, see the Securit
          v Considerations
                    challenge: new Uint8Array([8,18,33 /* 29 more random bytes gener
          ated by the server */|),
timeout: 60000, // 1 minute
                    allowCredentials: [acceptableCredential1, acceptableCredential2]
                    extensions: { 'txAuthSimple':
                       "Wave your hands in the air like you just don't care" }
          navigator.credentials.get({ "publicKey": options })
             .then(function (assertion) {
```

5343

5344

5345

534€ 5347

5348

5349

5350

5355

535€

5357

5358

5359

5360

5361

5362

5363

5364 5365 5366

5367

5368

5369

5370

5371

5372

5373

5374

5375

537€

5377

5378

5379

5380

5381

5382

5383

5384

5385

538€

5388

5389

5390

5391 5392

5393 5394

5395

5396

5397

5398

5399

5400

5401

5402

5403 5404

5405

540€

5407

5408

5409

5410

5411

4965

496€

4967

4968

4969

4970

4971

4972

4973

4974

4975

4976

4977

4978

4979

4980 4981

4982

4983

4984

4985

498€

4987

4988

4989

4990

4991

4992

4993

4994

4995

499€

4997

4998

4999

5000

5001 5002

5003

5004

5005

500€

5007

5008

5009

5010

5011

5012

5013

5014

5015

501€

5017

5018

5019

5020

5021

5022

5023

5024

5025

5026

5027

5028

5029

5030

5031

5032

```
// Send assertion to server for verification
}).catch(function (err) {
  // No acceptable credential or user refused consent. Handle appropriately.
 12.4. Aborting Authentication Operations
  The below example shows how a developer may use the AbortSignal
  parameter to abort a credential registration operation. A similar
  procedure applies to an authentication operation.
const authAbortController = new AbortController();
const authAbortSignal = authAbortController.signal;
authAbortSignal.onabort = function () {
  // Once the page knows the abort started, inform user it is attempting to ab
ort.
var options = {
  // A list of options.
navigator.credentials.create({
  publicKey: options,
   signal: authAbortSignal})
   .then(function (attestation) {
  // Register the user.
}).catch(function (error) {
    if (error == "AbortError") {
        // Inform user the credential hasn't been created.
        // Let the server know a key hasn't been created.
// Assume widget shows up whenever auth occurs.
if (widget == "disappear") {
   authAbortSignal.abort();
 12.5. Decommissioning
  The following are possible situations in which decommissioning a
  credential might be desired. Note that all of these are handled on the
 server side and do not need support from the API specified here.
* Possibility #1 -- user reports the credential as lost.
       + User goes to server.example.net, authenticates and follows a
        link to report a lost/stolen device.
      + Server returns a page showing the list of registered credentials with friendly names as configured during
       + User selects a credential and the server deletes it from its
        database.
      + In future, the Relying Party script does not specify this credential in any list of acceptable credentials, and
        assertions signed by this credential are rejected.
    * Possibility #2 -- server deregisters the credential due to
     inactivity.
      + Server deletes credential from its database during maintenance
       + In the future, the Relying Party script does not specify this
        credential in any list of acceptable credentials, and
        assertions signed by this credential are rejected.
   * Possibility #3 -- user deletes the credential from the device.

+ User employs a device-specific method (e.g., device settings
UI) to delete a credential from their device.
      + From this point on, this credential will not appear in any selection prompts, and no assertions can be generated with it.
       + Sometime later, the server deregisters this credential due to
        inactivity.
```

```
5413
               // Send assertion to server for verification
5414
            }).catch(function (err) {
5415
              // No acceptable credential or user refused consent. Handle appropriately.
541€
5417
5418
             12.4. Aborting Authentication Operations
5419
5420
              The below example shows how a developer may use the AbortSignal
5421
              parameter to abort a credential registration operation. A similar
5422
5423
              procedure applies to an authentication operation.
            const authAbortController = new AbortController();
5424
            const authAbortSignal = authAbortController.signal;
5425
5426
            authAbortSignal.onabort = function () {
5427
              // Once the page knows the abort started, inform user it is attempting to ab
5428
            ort.
5429
5430
5431
            var options = {
5432
              // A list of options.
5433
5434
5435
           navigator.credentials.create({
543€
              publicKey: options,
signal: authAbortSignal})
5437
5438
               .then(function (attestation) {
5439
                 // Register the user.
               }).catch(function (error) {
    if (error == "AbortError") {
5440
5441
5442
                     // Inform user the credential hasn't been created.
5443
                     // Let the server know a key hasn't been created.
5444
5445
               });
544€
5447
5448
            // Assume widget shows up whenever authentication occurs.
            if (widget == "disappear") {
5449
              authAbortSignal.abort():
5450
5451
5452
             12.5. Decommissioning
5453
5454
              The following are possible situations in which decommissioning a credential might be desired. Note that all of these are handled on the
5455
              server side and do not need support from the API specified here.
* Possibility #1 -- user reports the credential as lost.
545€
5457
5458
                   + User goes to server.example.net, authenticates and follows a
5459
                     link to report a lost/stolen device.
                   + Server returns a page showing the list of registered credentials with friendly names as configured during
5460
5461
5462
                     registration.
5463
                   + User selects a credential and the server deletes it from its
5464
                     database.
                   + In future, the Relying Party script does not specify this credential in any list of acceptable credentials, and assertions signed by this credential are rejected.
5465
546€
5467
5468
                * Possibility #2 -- server deregisters the credential due to
5469
                 inactivity.
5470
                   + Server deletes credential from its database during maintenance
5471
                   + In the future, the Relying Party script does not specify this credential in any list of acceptable credentials, and
5472
5473
5474
                     assertions signed by this credential are rejected.
                * Possibility #3 -- user deletes the credential from the device.
+ User employs a device-specific method (e.g., device settings
UI) to delete a credential from their device.
+ From this point on, this credential will not appear in any
5475
547€
5477
5478
5479
                     selection prompts, and no assertions can be generated with it.
5480
                   + Sometime later, the server deregisters this credential due to
5481
                     inactivity.
```

13. Security Considerations

13.1. Cryptographic Challenges

As a cryptographic protocol, Web Authentication is dependent upon randomized challenges to avoid replay attacks. Therefore, both {MakePublicKeyCredentialOptions/challenge}}'s and challenge's value, MUST be randomly generated by the Relying Party in an environment they trust (e.g., on the server-side), and the challenge in the client's response must match what was generated. This should be done in a fashion that does not rely upon a client's behavior; e.g.: the Relying Party should store the challenge temporarily until the operation is complete. Tolerating a mismatch will compromise the security of the protocol.

14. Acknowledgements

We thank the following for their contributions to, and thorough review of, this specification: Richard Barnes, Dominic Battr, Domenic Denicola, Rahul Ghosh, Brad Hill, Jing Jin, Angelo Liao, Anne van Kesteren, Ian Kilpatrick, Giridhar Mandyam, Axel Nennker, Kimberly Paulhamus, Adam Powers, Yaron Sheffer, Mike West, Jeffrey Yasskin, Boris Zbarsky.

13. Security Considerations

550€

This specification defines a Web API and a cryptographic peer-entity authentication protocol. The Web Authentication API allows Web developers (i.e., "authors") to utilize the Web Authentication protocol in their registration and authentication ceremonies. The entities comprising the Web Authentication protocol endpoints are user-controlled authenticators and a Relying Party's computing environment hosting the Relying Party's web application. In this model, the user agent, together with the WebAuthn Client, comprise an intermediary between authenticators and Relying Parties. Additionally, authenticators can attest to Relying Parties as to their provenance.

At this time, this specification does not feature detailed security considerations. However, the [FIDOSecRef] document provides a security analysis which is overall applicable to this specification. Also, the [FIDOAuthnrSecReqs] document suite defines authenticator security characteristics which are overall applicable for WebAuthn authenticators.

The below subsections comprise the current Web Authentication-specific security considerations.

13.1. Cryptographic Challenges

As a cryptographic protocol, Web Authentication is dependent upon randomized challenges to avoid replay attacks. Therefore, both challenge's and challenge's value MUST be randomly generated by Relying Parties in an environment they trust (e.g., on the server-side), and the returned challenge value in the client's response MUST match what was generated. This SHOULD be done in a fashion that does not rely upon a client's behavior, e.g., the Relying Party SHOULD store the challenge temporarily until the operation is complete. Tolerating a mismatch will compromise the security of the protocol.

13.2. Attestation Security Considerations

13.2.1. Attestation Certificate Hierarchy

A 3-tier hierarchy for attestation certificates is RECOMMENDED (i.e., Attestation Root, Attestation Issuing CA, Attestation Certificate). It is also RECOMMENDED that for each WebAuthn Authenticator device line (i.e., model), a separate issuing CA is used to help facilitate isolating problems with a specific version of a device.

If the attestation root certificate is not dedicated to a single WebAuthn Authenticator device line (i.e., AAGUID), the AAGUID SHOULD be specified in the attestation certificate itself, so that it can be verified against the authenticator data.

13.2.2. Attestation Certificate and Attestation Certificate CA Compromise

When an intermediate CA or a root CA used for issuing attestation certificates is compromised, WebAuthn authenticator attestation keys are still safe although their certificates can no longer be trusted. A WebAuthn Authenticator manufacturer that has recorded the public attestation keys for their devices can issue new attestation certificates for these keys from a new intermediate CA or from a new root CA. If the root CA changes, the Relying Parties MUST update their trusted root certificates accordingly.

A WebAuthn Authenticator attestation certificate MUST be revoked by the issuing CA if its key has been compromised. A WebAuthn Authenticator manufacturer may need to ship a firmware update and inject new attestation keys and certificates into already manufactured WebAuthn Authenticators, if the exposure was due to a firmware flaw. (The process by which this happens is out of scope for this specification.) If the WebAuthn Authenticator manufacturer does not have this

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 5551

capability, then it may not be possible for Relying Parties to trust any further attestation statements from the affected WebAuthn

If attestation certificate validation fails due to a revoked intermediate attestation CA certificate, and the Relying Party's policy requires rejecting the registration/authentication request in these situations, then it is RECOMMENDED that the Relying Party also un-registers (or marks with a trust level equivalent to "self attestation") public key credentials that were registered after the CA compromise date using an attestation certificate chaining up to the same intermediate CA. It is thus RECOMMENDED that Relying Parties remember intermediate attestation CA certificates during Authenticator registration in order to un-register related public key credentials if the registration was performed after revocation of such certificates.

If an ECDAA attestation key has been compromised, it can be added to the RogueList (i.e., the list of revoked authenticators) maintained by the related ECDAA-Issuer. The Relying Party SHOULD verify whether an authenticator belongs to the RogueList when performing ECDAA-Verify (see section 3.6 in [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]). For example, the FIDO Metadata Service [FIDOMetadataService] provides one way to access such

The credential ID is not signed. This is not a problem because all that would happen if an authenticator returns the wrong credential ID, or if an attacker intercepts and manipulates the credential ID, is that the Relying Party would not look up the correct credential public key with which to verify the returned signed authenticator data (a.k.a., assertion), and thus the interaction would end in an error.

13.4. Browser Permissions Framework and Extensions

Web Authentication API implementations should leverage the browser permissions framework as much as possible when obtaining user permissions for certain extensions. An example is the location extension (see 10.7 Location Extension (loc)), implementations of which should make use of the existing browser permissions framework for the Geolocation API.

The privacy principles in [FIDO-Privacy-Principles] also apply to this

Attestation keys can be used to track users or link various online identities of the same user together. This can be mitigated in several

ways, including:

* A WebAuthn authenticator manufacturer may choose to ship all of their devices with the same (or a fixed number of) attestation key(s) (called Basic Attestation). This will anonymize the user at the risk of not being able to revoke a particular attestation key

if its private key is compromised.

[UAFProtocol] requires that at least 100,000 devices share the same attestation certificate in order to produce sufficiently large

artiestation certificate in order to produce sufficiently large groups. This may serve as guidance about suitable batch sizes.

* A WebAuthn authenticator may be capable of dynamically generating different attestation keys (and requesting related certificates) per-origin (similar to the Attestation CA approach). For example, an authenticator can ship with a master attestation key (and certificate), and combined with a cloud-operated Anonymization CA, can dynamically contrate per-origin attestation keys and can dynamically generate per-origin attestation keys and attestation certificates.

Note: In various places outside this specification, the term "Privacy CA" is used to refer to what is termed here as an Anonymization CA. Because the Trusted Computing Group (TCG) also

used the term "Privacy CA" to refer to what the TCG now refers to as an Attestation CA (ACA) [TCG-CMCProfile-AlKCertEnroll], and the envisioned functionality of an Anonymization CA is not firmly established, we are using the term Anonymization CA here to try to mitigate confusion in the specific context of this specification. * A WebAuthn Authenticator can implement Elliptic Curve based direct anonymous attestation (see [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]). Using this scheme, the authenticator generates a blinded attestation signature. This allows the Relying Party to verify the signature using the ECDAA-Issuer public key, but the attestation signature does not serve as a global correlation handle.

14.2. Registration Ceremony Privacy

In order to protect users from being identified without consent, implementations of the [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method need to take care to not leak information that could enable a malicious Relying Party to distinguish between these cases, where "excluded" means that at least one of the credentials listed by the Relying Party in excludeCredentials is bound to the authenticator:

No authenticators are present.

* At least one authenticator is present, and at least one present authenticator is excluded.

If the above cases are distinguishable, information is leaked by which a malicious Relying Party could identify the user by probing for which credentials are available. For example, one such information leak is if the client returns a failure response as soon as an excluded authenticator becomes available. In this case - especially if the excluded authenticator is a platform authenticator - the Relying Party could detect that the ceremony was canceled before the timeout and before the user could feasibly have canceled it manually, and thus conclude that at least one of the credentials listed in the excludeCredentials parameter is available to the user.

The above is not a concern, however, if the user has consented to create a new credential before a distinguishable error is returned, because in this case the user has confirmed intent to share the information that would be leaked.

14.3. Authentication Ceremony Privacy

In order to protect users from being identified without consent. implementations of the [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method need to take care to not leak information that could enable a malicious Relying Party to distinguish between these cases, where "named" means that the credential is listed by the Relying Party in allowCredentials:

* A named credential is not available.

* A named credential is available, but the user does not consent to use it.

If the above cases are distinguishable, information is leaked by which a malicious Relying Party could identify the user by probing for which credentials are available. For example, one such information leak is if the client returns a failure response as soon as the user denies consent to proceed with an authentication ceremony. In this case the Relying Party could detect that the ceremony was canceled by the user and not the timeout, and thus conclude that at least one of the credentials listed in the allowCredentials parameter is available to the user.

15. Acknowledgements

We thank the following people for their reviews of, and contributions to, this specification: Yuriy Ackermann, James Barclay, Richard Barnes, Dominic Battr, John Bradley, Domenic Denicola, Rahul Ghosh, Brad Hill, Jing Jin, Wally Jones, Ian Kilpatrick, Axel Nennker, Yoshikazu Nojima, Kimberly Paulhamus, Adam Powers, Yaron Sheffer, Anne van Kesteren,

5634 5635

5645

5660

5661 5662

5663

5669 5670 5671

5672

5673

5674 5675 5676

5681 5682

5683 5684

5685 5686

```
/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 5691
                   Johan Verrept, and Boris Zbarsky.
5692
5693
5694
5695
                   We thank Anthony Nadalin, John Fontana, and Richard Barnes for their contributions as co-chairs of the Web Authentication Working Group.
5696
5697
                   We also thank Wendy Seltzer, Samuel Weiler, and Harry Halpin for their contributions as our W3C Team Contacts.
5698
                Index
                  Terms defined by this specification
5702
5703
                     * AAGUID. in 10.4
5704
                     * aaguid. in 6.3.1
                     * alg, in 5.3
* allowCredentials, in 5.5
5705
570€
5707
                      * Anonymization CA, in 14.1
                      * appid
                          + dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs, in 10.1
                          + dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs, in
                             10.1
                      * Assertion, in 4
5712
5713
                      * assertion signature, in 6
5714
                      * attachment modality, in 5.4.5
5715
                     * AttCA, in 6.3.3
* Attestation, in 4
571€
                    * Attestation CA, in 6.3.3

* Attestation CCP, in 6.3.3

* Attestation Conveyance, in 5.4.6

* Attestation Conveyance Professor in 5.4.6
5717
5718
5719
                      * attestationConveyancePreferenceOption, in 5.1.3
                     * attestation key pair, in 4
* attestationObject, in 5.2.1
                     * attestation object, in 6.3
* attestationObjectResult, in 5.1.3
                      * attestation private key, in 4
                      * attestation public key, in 4
                      * attestation signature, in 6
                      * attestation statement, in 6.3
                      * attestation statement format, in 6.3
                      * attestation statement format identifier, in 8.1
                      * attestation trust path, in 6.3.2
                     * attestation type, in 6.3

* Attested credential data, in 6.3.1

* attestedCredentialData, in 6.1
                      * authDataExtensions, in 6.1
                      * Authentication, in 4
* Authentication Assertion, in 4
                     * authentication extension, in 9

* Authentication extension, in 9

* AuthenticationExtensionsAuthenticatorInputs
+ definition of, in 5.9

+ (typedef), in 5.9

* AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs
+ definition of, in 5.7
5741
5742
5743
5744
5744
5746
5747
5748
5749
5750
                      + (dictionary), in 5.7

* AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs
+ definition of, in 5.8
                    + definition of, in 5.8
+ (dictionary), in 5.8
* Authentication Extensions Supported, in 10.5
* Authenticator, in 4
* Authenticator Assertion Response, in 5.2.2
* Authenticator Attachment, in 5.4.5
* authenticator Attachment, in 5.4.4
* Authenticator Attestation Response, in 5.2.1
* authenticator Biometric Perf Bounds, in 10.9
* authenticator Cancel, in 6.2.4
* authenticator data, in 6.1
```

* authenticator data, in 6.1

* authenticatorData, in 5.2.2

```
5058
5059
            Index
5060
5061
5062
              Terms defined by this specification
               * aaguid, in 6.3.1
* AAGUID, in 10.4
5063
5064
5065
                * alg, in 5.3
506€
                 * allowCredentials. in 5.5
5067
                 * Assertion, in 4
3008
                 * assertion signature, in 6
5069
                 * attachment modality, in 5.4.5
507C
                 * Attestation, in 4
5071
                 * attestation, in 5.4
5072
                * Attestation Certificate, in 4
* Attestation Conveyance, in 5.4.6
* AttestationConveyancePreference, in 5.4.6
5073
5074
5075
                 * attestationConveyancePreferenceOption, in 5.1.3
                * attestation key pair, in 4

* attestation bject, in 5.2.1

* attestation object, in 6.3

* attestationObjectResult, in 5.1.3

* attestation private key, in 4
507€
5077
5078
5079
5080
5081
                 * attestation public key, in 4
                * attestation signature, in 6
* attestation statement, in 6.3
5082
5083
5084
                 * attestation statement format, in 6.3
5085
                 * attestation statement format identifier, in 8.1
                 * attestation trust path, in 6.3.2
508€
                * attestation type, in 6.3
* Attested credential data, in 6.3.1
5087
5088
5089
                 * attestedCredentialData, in 6.1
5090
                 * authDataExtensions, in 6.1
                * Authentication, in 4
* Authentication Assertion, in 4
5091
5092
5093
                 * authentication extension, in 9
5094
                 * AuthenticationExtensions
5095
                    + definition of, in 5.7
5096
                    + (typedef), in 5.7
5097
                 * Authenticator, in 4
                * Authenticator Assertion Response, in 5.2.2
* Authenticator Attachment, in 5.4.5
5098
5099
                 * authenticatorAttachment, in 5.4.4
5100
5101
                 * Authenticator Attestation Response, in 5.2.1
5102
                 * authenticatorCancel, in 6.2.3
5103
                 * authenticator data, in 6.1
5104
                 * authenticatorData, in 5.2.2
```

5699

5700

5701

5708 5709 5710

5711

5720

5721

5722

5723

5724

5725

572€

5727

5728

5729

5730

5731

5732

5733

5734

5735

573€

5737

5738 5739

5740

5751

5752 5753

5754

5755

5756 5757

5758

```
authenticator data claimed to have been used for the attestation,
510€
                            in 6.3.2
5107
                          * authenticator data for the attestation, in 6.3.2
* authenticatorDataResult, in 5.1.4.1
5108
                          * authenticator extension, in 9
5109
                          * authenticator extension input, in 9.3
* authenticator extension output, in 9.5
* Authenticator extension processing, in 9.5
5110
5111
5112
5113
                          * authenticator Extensions, in 5.8.1
                          * authenticatorGetAssertion, in 6.2.2
* authenticatorMakeCredential, in 6.2.1
5114
5115
                         * AuthenticatorResponse, in 5.2

* authenticatorSelection, in 5.4

* AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria, in 5.4.4

* AuthenticatorSelectionList, in 10.4

* authenticator session, in 6.2
5116
5117
5118
5119
5120
5121
                          * AuthenticatorTransport, in 5.8.4
5122
5123
                          * Authorization Gesture, in 4
                           * Base64url Encoding, in 3
5124
                          * Basic Attestation, in 6.3.3
5125
                          * Biometric Recognition, in 4 * ble, in 5.8.4
5126
5127
                          * CBOR, in 3
5128
                          * Ceremony, in 4
5129
                          * challenge
                              + dict-member for MakePublicKeyCredentialOptions, in 5.4
+ dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions, in 5.5
+ dict-member for CollectedClientData, in 5.8.1
5130
5131
5132
5133
5134
5135
                          * Client, in 4
                         * client data, in 5.8.1
* clientDataJSON, in 5.2
513€
                          * clientDataJSONResult
                               + dfn for credentialCreationData, in 5.1.3
+ dfn for assertionCreationData, in 5.1.4.1
5137
5138
                         * client extension, in 9

* client extension input, in 9.3

* client extension output, in 9.4

* Client extension processing, in 9.4

* client extensionResults

+ dfn for credentialCreationData, in 5.1.3

+ dfn for assertionCreationData, in 5.1.4.1
5139
5140
5141
5142
5143
5144
5145
                        + dfn for assertionCreationData, in 5.1.4.1

* clientExtensions, in 5.8.1

* [[clientExtensionsResults]], in 5.1

* Client-Side, in 4

* client-side credential private key storage, in 4

* Client-side-resident Credential Private Key, in 4

* CollectedClientData, in 5.8.1

* [[CollectFromCredentialStore]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), in 5.1.4

* Conforming User Agent in 4
5146
5147
5148
5149
5150
5151
5152
5153
5154
                           * Conforming User Agent, in 4
                          * COSEAlgorithmIdentifier
+ definition of, in 5.8.5
+ (typedef), in 5.8.5
5155
5156
5157
                         * (Typeder), in 5.6.5

* [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), in 5.1.3

* Credential ID, in 4

* credentialld, in 6.3.1

* credentialIdLength, in 6.3.1

* credentialIdResult, in 5.1.4.1

* credential key pair, in 4

* credential key pair, in 4
5158
5159
5160
5161
5162
5163
                          * credential private key, in 4
5164
5165
                          * Credential Public Key, in 4
```

```
' authenticator data claimed to have been used for the attestation,
                                  * authenticator data for the attestation, in 6.3.2

* authenticator data for the attestation, in 6.3.2

* authenticator extension, in 9
5761
5762
5763
5764
                                 * authenticator extension, in 9

* authenticator extension input, in 9.3

* authenticator extension output, in 9.5

* Authenticator extension processing, in 9.5

* authenticator extension in 6.2.3

* authenticator Model, in 6

* Authenticator expertions in 6.2
5765
576€
5767
5768
5769
5770
5771
                                 * Authenticator Model, in 6

* Authenticator operations, in 6.2

* AuthenticatorResponse, in 5.2

* authenticatorSelection, in 5.4

* AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria, in 5.4.4

* AuthenticatorSelectionList, in 10.4

* authenticator session, in 6.2
5772
5773
5774
5775
577€
5777
5778
5779
5780
5780
5781
5782
5783
                                   * AuthenticatorTransport, in 5.10.4
                                   * authnSel
                                        + dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs, in 10.4 
+ dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs, in
                                * Authorization Gesture, in 4
* Base64url Encoding, in 3
* Basic, in 6.3.3
* Basic Attestation, in 6.3.3
* Biometric Authenticator, in 4
* Biometric Recognition, in 4
* ble, in 5.10.4
* CROP in 3
5784
5785
5786
5787
5788
5789
                                  * CBOR, in 3
* Ceremony, in 4
5790
5791
                                   * challenge
                                        + dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions, in 5.4
+ dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions, in 5.5
+ dict-member for CollectedClientData, in 5.10.1
5792
5793
5794
5795
                                 * Client, in 4

* client data, in 5.10.1

* clientDataJSON, in 5.2
5796
5797
5798
                                  * clientDataJSONResult
                                        + dfn for credentialCreationData, in 5.1.3
+ dfn for assertionCreationData, in 5.1.4.1
5799
5800
                                 * client extension, in 9

* client extension input, in 9.3

* client extension output, in 9.4

* Client extension processing, in 9.4

* clientExtensionResults
5801
5802
5803
5804
5805
580€
                                          + dfn for credentialCreationData, in 5.1.3
5807
                                         + dfn for assertionCreationData, in 5.1.4.1
5808
                                * [[clientExtensionsResults]], in 5.1

* Client-Side, in 4

* client-side credential private key storage, in 4

* Client-side-resident Credential Private Key, in 4

* CollectedClientData, in 5.10.1

* [[CollectFromCredentialStore]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), in 5.1.4

* Conforming User Agent, in 4

* content, in 10.3

* contentType, in 10.3

* COSEAlgorithmIdentifier

+ definition of, in 5.10.5
5809
5810
5811
5812
5813
5814
5815
5816
5817
5818
                                 * COSEAlgorithmIdentifier
+ definition of, in 5.10.5
+ (typedef), in 5.10.5

* [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), in 5.1.3

* Credential ID, in 4

* credentialld, in 6.3.1

* credentialldLength, in 6.3.1

* credentialldResult, in 5.1.4.1

* credential key pair, in 4

* credential private key, in 4

* Credential Public Key in 4
5819
5820
5821
5822
5823
5824
5825
582€
5827
5828
                                  * Credential Public Key, in 4
```

```
* credentialPublicKey, in 6.3.1
                      * "cross-platform", in 5.4.5
* cross-platform, in 5.4.5
* cross-platform attached, in 5.4.5
5167
5168
5169
5170
                      * cross-platform attachment, in 5.4.5
                      * DAA, in 6.3.3
* direct, in 5.4.6
5171
5172
                    * direct, in 5.4.6

* "discouraged", in 5.8.6

* discouraged, in 5.8.6

* [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors), in 5.1.4.1

* [[discovery]], in 5.1

* displayName, in 5.4.3

* ECDAA, in 6.3.3

* ECDAA-Issuer public key, in 8.2

* effective user verification requirement for assertion, in 5.1.4.1

* effective user verification requirement for credential creation in
5173
5174
5175
517€
5177
5178
5179
5180
5181
5182
                      * effective user verification requirement for credential creation, in
5183
5184
                      * Elliptic Curve based Direct Anonymous Attestation, in 6.3.3
5185
                      * excludeCredentials, in 5.4
518€
                       * extension identifier, in 9.1
5187
                      * extensions
                          + dict-member for MakePublicKeyCredentialOptions, in 5.4 + dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions, in 5.5
5188
5189
5190
                      * flags, in 6.1
5191
                      * getClientExtensionResults(), in 5.1
5192
                      * hashAlgorithm, in 5.8.1
* Hash of the serialized client data, in 5.8.1
5193
5194
                      * icon, in 5.4.1
* id
5195
                          + dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity, in 5.4.2
+ dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity, in 5.4.3
+ dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor, in 5.8.3
5196
5197
5198
                      * [[identifier]], in 5.1
* identifier of the ECDAA-Issuer public key, in 8.2
5199
5200
5201
                      * indirect. in 5.4.6
5202
5203
5204
                      * isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable(), in 5.1.6
* JSON-serialized client data, in 5.8.1
                      * MakePublicKeyCredentialOptions, in 5.4
5205
                      * managing authenticator, in 4
520€
                      * name, in 5.4.1
5207
                      * nfc, in 5.8.4
5208
                      * none, in 5.4.6
5209
                      * origin, in 5.8.1
                     * platform, in 5.4.5

* "platform", in 5.4.5

* platform attachment, in 5.4.5

* platform authenticators, in 5.4.5

* "preferred", in 5.8.6

* preferred, in 5.8.6

* Privacy CA, in 6.3.3
5210
5211
5212
5213
5216
```

	,
5829	* orodontial Dublic Kov. in 6.3.1
	* credentialPublicKey, in 6.3.1
5830	* credentials map, in 6
	orodonidato map, m o
5831	* "cross-platform", in 5.4.5
5832	* cross-platform, in 5.4.5
	Cross-piatiorni, in 5.4.5
5833	* cross-platform attached, in 5.4.5
5834	* avec platform attachment in F.4.5
J0J4	* cross-platform attachment, in 5.4.5
5835	* DAA, in 6.3.3
583€	* direct, in 5.4.6
5837	* "discouraged" in E 10 6
	* "discouraged", in 5.10.6
5838	* discouraged, in 5.10.6
	* IDiocover Every Cover all Cover all Cover and Cover all Cover al
5839	
5840	sameOriginWithAncestors), in 5.1.4.1
5841	* [[discovery]], in 5.1
5842	* displayName, in 5.4.3
	uispiayivaine, iii 5.4.5
5843	* ECDAÁ, in 6.3.3
5844	* FODAA legues mublic legu in 0.0
2044	* ECDAA-Issuer public key, in 8.2
5845	* effective user verification requirement for assertion, in 5.1.4.1
	the state of the s
584€	* effective user verification requirement for credential creation, in
5847	5.1.3
	THE PLANTAGE AND ADDITIONAL AND ADDITIONAL A
5848	* Elliptic Curve based Direct Anonymous Attestation, in 6.3.3
5849	* excludeCredentials, in 5.4
5850	
5851	* extensions
5852	+ dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions, in 5.4
5853	+ dict-member for PublicKevCredentialRequestOptions, in 5.5
5854	* exts
5855	+ dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs, in 10.5
5856	+ dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs, in
5857	10.5
5858	* FAR, in 10.9
5859	* flags, in 6.1
	nays, iii o. i
5860	* FRR, in 10.9
5861	* getClientExtensionResults(), in 5.1
	getCheftExtensionResults(), in 5.1
5862	* Hash of the serialized client data, in 5.10.1
5863	* Human Palatability, in 4
5864	* icon, in 5.4.1
5865	* id * id
	iu
5866	+ dfn for public key credential source, in 4
5867	+ dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity, in 5.4.2
5868	+ dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity, in 5.4.3
5869	
	+ dict-member for TokenBinding, in 5.10.1
5870	+ dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor, in 5.10.3
5871	* [[identifier]], in 5.1
	[[[identiner]], in 3.1
5872	* identifier of the ECDAA-Issuer public key, in 8.2
5873	* indirect, in 5.4.6
5874	* isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable(), in 5.1.7
5975	
5875	* JSON-serialized client data, in 5.10.1
587€	* loc
5877	+ dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs. in 10.7
5878	+ dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs, in
5879	10.7
5880	* looking up, in 6.2.1
5881	* managing authenticator, in 4
5882	* name, in 5.4.1
5883	* nfc, in 5.10.4
	1110, 111 J.10.44
5884	* No attestation statement, in 6.3.3
5885	* None, in 6.3.3
588€	* none, in 5.4.6
5887	* none attestation statement format, in 8.7
	mone attestation statement format, in o.7
5888	* "not-supported", in 5.10.1
5889	* not-supported, in 5.10.1
	taction in 5.10.1
5890	* origin, in 5.10.1
5891	* otherÚl, in 4
	* platform, in 5.4.5
5892	* Indetformall in E.4.E
	i Diauonii . in 5.4.5
5893	* "platform", in 5.4.5
5893 5894	* platform attachment, in 5.4.5
5893 5894	* platform attachment, in 5.4.5
5893 5894 5895	* platform attachment, in 5.4.5 * platform authenticators, in 5.4.5
5893 5894 5895 5896	* platform attachment, in 5.4.5 * platform authenticators, in 5.4.5 * platform credential, in 5.4.5
5893 5894 5895	* platform attachment, in 5.4.5 * platform authenticators, in 5.4.5 * platform credential, in 5.4.5 * "preferred". in 5.10.6
5893 5894 5895 5896 5897	* platform attachment, in 5.4.5 * platform authenticators, in 5.4.5 * platform credential, in 5.4.5 * "preferred". in 5.10.6
5893 5894 5895 5896	* platform attachment, in 5.4.5 * platform authenticators, in 5.4.5 * platform credential, in 5.4.5

```
* pubKeyCredParams, in 5.4
5218
                * bublicKey
5219
                   + dict-member for CredentialCreationOptions, in 5.1.1
5220
                    + dict-member for CredentialRequestOptions, in 5.1.2
5221
                * public-key, in 5.8.2
5222
                * Public Key Credential, in 4
5223
                * PublicKeyCredential, in 5.1
5224
                * PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor, in 5.8.3
               * PublicKeyCredentialEntity, in 5.4.1
* PublicKeyCredentialParameters, in 5.3
* PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions, in 5.5
* PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity, in 5.4.2
* Public Key Credential Source, in 4
* PublicKeyCredentialType, in 5.8.2
* PublicKeyCredentialType, in 5.8.2
5225
522€
5227
5228
5229
5230
5231
                * PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity, in 5.4.3
5232
                * Rate Limiting, in 4
5233
5234
                * rawld, in 5.1`
                * Registration, in 4
5235
                * registration extension, in 9
523€
                * Relying Party, in 4
                * Relying Party Identifier, in 4
* "required", in 5.8.6
* required, in 5.8.6
5237
5238
5239
5240
                * requireResidentKev. in 5.4.4
5241
                * response, in 5.1
5242
                * roaming authenticators, in 5.4.5
5243
                * rp, in 5.4
5244
                * rpld, in 5.5
5245
                * RP ID. in 4
524€
                * rpldHash, in 6.1
5247
                * Self Attestation, in 6.3.3
5248
                * signature. in 5.2.2
5249
                * Signature Counter, in 6.1.1
5250
                * signatureResult, in 5.1.4.1
5251
                * signCount, in 6.1
5252
                * Signing procedure, in 6.3.2
5253
                * [[Store]](credential, sameOriginWithAncestors), in 5.1.5
5254
                * Test of User Presence, in 4
5255
5256
                    + dict-member for MakePublicKeyCredentialOptions, in 5.4
5257
                + dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions, in 5.5 tokenBindingId, in 5.8.1
5258
5259
                * transports, in 5.8.3
                * [[type]], in 5.1
5261
                * type
```

```
* "present", in 5.10.1

* present, in 5.10.1

* [[preventSilentAccess]](credential, sameOriginWithAncestors), in 5.1.6
5899
5900
5901
5902
5903
                        * pubKeyCredParams, in 5.4
* publicKey
5904
5905
590€
                             + dict-member for CredentialCreationOptions, in 5.1.1
5907
                      + dict-member for CredentialRequestOption

* public-key, in 5.10.2

* Public Key Credential, in 4

* PublicKeyCredential, in 5.1

* PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions, in 5.4

* PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor, in 5.10.3

* PublicKeyCredentialParameters, in 5.3

* PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions, in 5.5

* PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions, in 5.5

* PublicKeyCredentialRopentity, in 5.4.2

* PublicKeyCredentialType, in 5.10.2

* PublicKeyCredentialType, in 5.10.2

* PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity, in 5.4.3
                             + dict-member for CredentialRequestOptions, in 5.1.2
5908
5909
5910
5911
5912
5913
5914
5915
591€
5917
5918
5919
                        * PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity, in 5.4.3
* Rate Limiting, in 4
5920
5921
                        * rawld, in 5.1
5922
                         * Registration, in 4
                       * registration extension, in 9

* Relying Party, in 4

* Relying Party Identifier, in 4

* "required", in 5.10.6

* required, in 5.10.6

* requireResidentKey, in 5.4.4
5923
5924
5925
5926
5927
5928
5929
                         * response, in 5.1
5930
                        * roaming authenticators, in 5.4.5
                        * roaming credential, in 5.4.5
* rp, in 5.4
5931
5932
5933
5934
5935
5936
                        * rold
                        + dfn for public key credential source, in 4
+ dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions, in 5.5
* RP ID, in 4
5937
                       * rpldHash, in 6.1
* Self, in 6.3.3
* Self Attestation, in 6.3.3
5938
5939
5940
                        * signature, in 5.2.2
* Signature Counter, in 6.1.1
* signatureResult, in 5.1.4.1
5941
5942
5943
                        * signCount, in 6.1
                        * Signing procedure, in 6.3.2
* status, in 5.10.1
5944
5945
5946
                         * [[Store]](credential, sameOriginWithAncestors), in 5.1.5
5947
5948
                        * supported, in 5.10.1
* "supported", in 5.10.1
5949
                        * Test of User Presence, in 4
5950
                        * timeout
5951
5952
                             + dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions, in 5.4
                       + dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions, in 5.4
+ dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions, in 5.5
* tokenBinding, in 5.10.1
* TokenBinding, in 5.10.1
* TokenBindingStatus, in 5.10.1
* transports, in 5.10.3
* txAuthGeneric
5953
5954
5955
5956
5957
5958
5960
5961
5962
5963
5964
5965
                             + dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs, in 10.3 + dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs, in
                        * txAuthGenericArg, in 10.3
                         * txAuthSimple
                             + dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs, in 10.2
                             + dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs, in
596€
                         * [[type]], in 5.1
                        * tvpe
5967
5968
                             + dfn for public key credential source, in 4
```

528€ 5287 * [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1] defines the following terms: 5288 + Credential + CredentialCreationOptions + CredentialRequestOptions 5289 5290 5291 + CredentialsContainer + Request a Credential + [[CollectFromCredentialStore]](origin, options, 5292 5293 5294 sameOriginWithAncestors) + [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) + [[Store]](credential, sameOriginWithAncestors) 5295 529€ 5297 + [[discovery]] + [[type]] 5298 5299 + create() 5300 + credential 5301 + credential source 5302 + get() 5303 + id 5304 + remote 5305 + same-origin with its ancestors 530€ + signal (for CredentialCreationOptions) + signal (for CredentialRequestOptions) 5307 5308 + store()

5309

5310

5311

5312

5313

5314

5315

531€

5317

5318

5319

+ type

+ user mediation

+ AbortController

+ internal method

+ aborted flag

+ internal slot

+ stringify

+ document

* [DOM4] defines the following terms:

* [ECMAScript] defines the following terms: + %arraybuffer%

+ dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialParameters, in 5.3 + dict-member for CollectedClientData, in 5.10.1 + dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor, in 5.10.3 * UP, in 4 * usb. in 5.10.4 * user. in 5.4 * User Consent, in 4 * userHandle + dfn for public key credential source, in 4 + attribute for AuthenticatorAssertionResponse, in 5.2.2 * User Handle. in 4 * userHandleResult, in 5.1.4.1 * User Present, in 4 * userVerification + dict-member for AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria, in 5.4.4 + dict-member for PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions, in 5.5 * User Verification, in 4 * UserVerificationRequirement, in 5.10.6 * User Verified, in 4 * UV, in 4 * uvi + dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs, in 10.6 + dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs, in 10.6 * uvm + dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs, in 10.8 + dict-member for AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs, in * UvmEntry, in 10.8

* UvmEntry, in 10.8

* Verification procedure, in 6.3.2 * verification procedure inputs, in 6.3.2 * Web Authentication API, in 5 * WebAuthn Client, in 4 Terms defined by reference * [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1] defines the following terms: + Credential + CredentialCreationOptions + CredentialRequestOptions + CredentialsContainer + Request a Credential + [[CollectFromCredentialStore]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) + [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) + [[Store]](credential, sameOriginWithAncestors) + [[discovery]] + [[type]] + create() + credential + credential source + get() + id + remote + same-origin with its ancestors + signal (for CredentialCreationOptions) + signal (for CredentialRequestOptions) + store() + type + user mediation
* [DOM4] defines the following terms: + AbortController + aborted flag + document * [ECMAScript] defines the following terms: 6034 6035 + %arraybuffer% 603€ + internal method 6037 + internal slot 6038 + stringify

5324

5325 532€

5327

5328

5329

5330

5331

5332

5333

5334

5335

533€

5337

5338

5339

5340

5341

5342

5343

5344

5345

5346

5347

5348

5349

5350

5351

5352

5353

5354

5355

535€

5357

5358

5359

5360

5361

5362

5363

5364

5365

536€

5367

5368

5369

5370

5371

5372

5373

5374

5375

537€

5377 5378 5379

5380

5381

+ window

* [HTML] defines the following terms: + ascii serialization of an origin

+ relevant settings object
* [HTML52] defines the following terms:

+ origin
* [INFRA] defines the following terms:

* [mixed-content] defines the following terms:

* [page-visibility] defines the following terms: + visibility states

+ secure contexts
* [TokenBinding] defines the following terms:
+ token binding

* [WebCryptoAPI] defines the following terms: + recognized algorithm name * [WebIDL] defines the following terms:

* [secure-contexts] defines the following terms:

+ is a registrable domain suffix of or is equal to

+ is not a registrable domain suffix of and is not equal to

+ environment settings object

+ effective domain

+ document.domain

+ opaque origin

+ append (for list)

+ append (for set)

+ for each (for list)

+ for each (for map)

+ byte sequence

+ continue

+ is empty

+ is not empty

+ item (for list)

+ ordered set

+ item (for struct)

+ willful violation

+ token binding id

+ domain

+ host

+ empty host

+ ipv4 address

+ ipv6 address

+ opaque host

+ url serializer

+ valid domain

+ AbortError

+ ArrayBuffer

+ valid domain string

+ a priori authenticated url

* [URL] defines the following terms:

+ empty

+ list

+ set

+ size

+ struct

+ while

+ map

+ remove

+ global object

+ origin

* [ENCODING] defines the following terms: + utf-8 decode + utf-8 encode * [FETCH] defines the following terms: + window * [FIDO-APPID] defines the following terms:
 + determining if a caller's facetid is authorized for an appid
 + determining the facetid of a calling application

* [FIDO-CTAP] defines the following terms:
 + ctap2 canonical cbor encoding form

* [Geolocation-API] defines the following terms:
 - Coordinates + Coordinates * [HTML] defines the following terms: + ascii serialization of an origin + effective domain + environment settings object + global object + is a registrable domain suffix of or is equal to + is not a registrable domain suffix of and is not equal to + origin + relevant settings object
* [HTML52] defines the following terms: + document.domain + opaque origin + origin
* [INFRA] defines the following terms:
+ append (for list) + append (for set) + byte sequence + continue + for each (for list) + for each (for map) + is empty + is not empty + item (for list) + item (for struct) + list + map + ordered set + remove + set + set (for map) + struct + while + willful violation * [mixed-content] defines the following terms: + a priori authenticated url
* [page-visibility] defines the following terms:
+ visibility states * [secure-contexts] defines the following terms: + secure contexts
* [TokenBinding] defines the following terms:
+ token binding + token binding id + token binding id * [URL] defines the following terms: + domain + empty host + host + ipv4 address + ipv6 address + opaque host + url serializer + valid domain + valid domain string * [WebIDL] defines the following terms: 6103 6104 + AbortError 6105 + ArrayBuffer

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 6106 + BufferSource + ConstraintError + DOMException + DOMString + Exposed + InvalidStateError + NotAllowedError + NotSupportedError + Promise + SameObject + SecureContext + SecurityError + USVString + UnknownError + boolean + float + interface object + lona + present + unsigned long ' [whatwg html] defines the following terms: + focus + username References **Normative References** C. Vigano; H. Birkholz. CBOR data definition language (CDDL): a notational convention to express CBOR data structures. 21 September 2016. Internet Draft (work in progress). URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-greevenbosch-appsawg-cbor-cddl [CREDENTIAL-MANAGEMENT-1] Mike West. Credential Management Level 1. 4 August 2017. WD. URL: https://www.w3.org/TR/credential-management-1/ [DOM4] Anne van Kesteren, DOM Standard, Living Standard, URL: https://dom.spec.whatwg.org/ [ECMAScript] ECMAScript Language Specification. URL: https://tc39.github.io/ecma262/ [ENCODING] Anne van Kesteren. Encoding Standard. Living Standard. URL: https://encoding.spec.whatwg.org/ [FETCH] Anne van Kesteren. Fetch Standard. Living Standard. URL: https://fetch.spec.whatwg.org/ [FIDO-APPID]
D. Balfanz; et al. FIDO AppID and Facets. FIDO Alliance Proposed Standard, URL: https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-v2.0-ps-20170927/fido-appid-and-facets-v2.0-ps-20170927.html [FIDO-CTAP] R. Lindemann; et al. FIDO 2.0: Client to Authenticator Protocol. 6168 6169 6170 FIDO Alliance Proposed Standard. URL: https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-v2.0-ps-20170927/fido-client-to-authenticator-protocol-v2.0-ps-20170927.html 6171 6172 6173 [FIDO-Privacy-Principles]
FIDO Alliance. FIDO Privacy Principles. FIDO Alliance 6174 Whitepaper, URL: 6175 https://fidoalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/FIDO Allianc

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-5e63e57-WD-07.txt, Top line: 5438 5438 5439 [FIDO-U2F-Message-Formats]
D. Balfanz; J. Ehrensvard; J. Lang. FIDO U2F Raw Message Formats. FIDO Alliance Implementation Draft. URL: 5440 5441 https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-u2f-v1.1-id-20160915/fido-u2f-raw-message-formats-v1.1-id-20160915.html 5442 5443 5444 5445 [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]
R. Lindemann; et al. FIDO ECDAA Algorithm. FIDO Alliance Implementation Draft. URL: 5446 5447 5448 https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.1-id-20170202/fido-ec daa-algorithm-v1.1-id-20170202.html 5449 5450 5451 [FIDORea] 5452 R. Lindemann; D. Baghdasaryan; B. Hill. FIDO UAF Registry of Predefined Values. FIDO Alliance Proposed Standard. URL: 5453 https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.0-ps-20141208/fido-uaf-reg-v1.0-ps-20141208.html 5454 5455 545€ 5457 5458 Anne van Kesteren; et al. HTML Standard. Living Standard. URL: 5459 https://html.spec.whatwg.org/multipage/ 5460 5461 [HTML52] 5462 Steve Faulkner; et al. HTML 5.2. 2 November 2017. PR. URL: https://www.w3.org/TR/html52/ 5463 5464 5465 [IANA-COSE-ALGS-REG] 546€ IANA CBOR Object Signing and Encryption (COSE) Algorithms 5467 Registry, URL: 5468 https://www.iana.org/assignments/cose/cose.xhtml#algorithms 5469 5470 [INFRA] 5471 Anne van Kesteren; Domenic Denicola. Infra Standard. Living Standard. URL: https://infra.spec.whatwg.org/ 5472 5473 5474 5475 Mike West. Mixed Content. 2 August 2016. CR. URL: https://www.w3.org/TR/mixed-content/ 547€ 5477 5478 [PAGE-VISIBILITY] 5479 Jatinder Mann; Arvind Jain. Page Visibility (Second Edition). 29 5480 October 2013. REC. URL: https://www.w3.org/TR/page-visibility/ 5481 5482 [RFC2119] S. Bradner. Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels. March 1997. Best Current Practice. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2119 5483 5484 5485 548€ 5487 [RFC4648] S. Josefsson. The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings. October 2006. Proposed Standard. URL: 5488 5489 5490 https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4648 5491 5492 D. Crocker, Ed.; P. Overell. Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF. January 2008. Internet Standard. URL: 5493 5494 5495 https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5234 549€ 5497 [RFC5890] J. Klensin. Internationalized Domain Names for Applications (IDNA): Definitions and Document Framework. August 2010. Proposed Standard. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5890 5498 5499 5500 5501 5502 [RFC7049]

e_Whitepaper_Privacy_Principles.pdf 6177 [FIDO-U2F-Message-Formats]
D. Balfanz; J. Ehrensvard; J. Lang. FIDO U2F Raw Message Formats. FIDO Alliance Implementation Draft. URL: 6178 6179 6180 6181 https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-u2f-v1.1-id-20160915/fido-u2 f-raw-message-formats-v1.1-id-20160915.html 6182 6183 [FIDOEcdaaAlgorithm]
R. Lindemann; et al. FIDO ECDAA Algorithm. FIDO Alliance 6184 6185 618€ Implementation Draft. URL: https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.1-id-20170202/fido-ec daa-algorithm-v1.1-id-20170202.html 6187 6188 6189 6190 [FIDOReg] R. Lindemann; D. Baghdasaryan; B. Hill. FIDO UAF Registry of Predefined Values. FIDO Alliance Proposed Standard. URL: https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.0-ps-20141208/fido-uaf-reg-v1.0-ps-20141208.html 6191 6192 6193 6194 6195 6196 6197 6198 6199 [Geolocation-API] Andrei Popescu. Geolocation API Specification 2nd Edition. 8
November 2016. REC. URL: https://www.w3.org/TR/geolocation-API/ 6200 6201 Anne van Kesteren; et al. HTML Standard. Living Standard. URL: 6202 https://html.spec.whatwg.org/multipage/ 6203 6204 [HTML52] 6205 620€ Steve Faulkner; et al. HTML 5.2. 14 December 2017. REC. URL: https://www.w3.org/TR/html52/ 6207 6208 [IANA-COSE-ALGS-REG] 6209 IANA CBOR Object Signing and Encryption (COSE) Algorithms 6210 Registry, URL: 6211 https://www.iana.org/assignments/cose/cose.xhtml#algorithms 6212 6213 [INFRA] 6214 Anne van Kesteren; Domenic Denicola. Infra Standard. Living 6215 Standard. URL: https://infra.spec.whatwg.org/ 621€ 6217 [MIXED-CONTENT] 6218 Mike West. Mixed Content. 2 August 2016. CR. URL: https://www.w3.org/TR/mixed-content/ 6219 6220 6221 [PAGE-VISIBILITY] 6222 Jatinder Mann; Arvind Jain. Page Visibility (Second Edition). 29 6223 October 2013. REC. URL: https://www.w3.org/TR/page-visibility/ 6224 6225 [RFC2119] S. Bradner. Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels. March 1997. Best Current Practice. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2119 6226 6227 6228 6229 6230 [RFC4648] 6231 S. Josefsson. The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings. 6232 October 2006. Proposed Standard. URL: 6233 https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4648 6234 6235 D. Crocker, Ed.; P. Overell. Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF. January 2008. Internet Standard. URL: 623€ 6237 6238 https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5234 6239 6240 [RFC5890] J. Klensin. Internationalized Domain Names for Applications (IDNA): Definitions and Document Framework. August 2010. Proposed Standard. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5890 6241 6242 6243 6244 6245 [RFC7049]

A. Barth. The Web Origin Concept. December 2011. Proposed

Standard. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6454

5607

5608

5609

5610

[RFC6454]

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 6312

Feature Policy. Draft Community Group Report. URL: https://wicg.github.io/feature-policy/

[FIDO-UAF-AUTHNR-CMDS]
R. Lindemann; J. Kemp. FIDO UAF Authenticator Commands. FIDO Alliance Implementation Draft, URL:

https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.1-id-20170202/fido-uaf-authnr-cmds-v1.1-id-20170202.html

[FIDOAuthnrSecReqs]
D. Biggs; et al. FIDO Authenticator Security Requirements. FIDO Alliance Final Documents. URL:
https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-security-requirements-v1.0-f d-20170524/

[FIDOMetadataService]
R. Lindemann; B. Hill; D. Baghdasaryan. FIDO Metadata Service v1.0. FIDO Alliance Proposed Standard. URL: https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.0-ps-20141208/fido-uaf-metadata-service-v1.0-ps-20141208.html

[FIDOSecRef]
R. Lindemann; D. Baghdasaryan; B. Hill. FIDO Security Reference.
FIDO Alliance Proposed Standard. URL: https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-u2f-v1.2-ps-20170411/fido-se curity-ref-v1.2-ps-20170411.html

[FIDOUAFAuthenticatorMetadataStatements]
B. Hill; D. Baghdasaryan; J. Kemp. FIDO UAF Authenticator
Metadata Statements v1.0. FIDO Alliance Proposed Standard. URL:
https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.0-ps-20141208/fido-ua
f-authnr-metadata-v1.0-ps-20141208.html

[ISOBiometricVocabulary]
ISO/IEC JTC1/SC37. Information technology -- Vocabulary -Biometrics. 15 December 2012. International Standard: ISO/IEC
2382-37:2012(E) First Edition. URL:
http://standards.iso.org/ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/c055194 ISOIEC 2382-37 2012.zip

[RFC3279]

L. Bassham; W. Polk; R. Housley. Algorithms and Identifiers for the Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile. April 2002. Proposed Standard. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3279

[RFC4949]

R. Shirey. Internet Security Glossary, Version 2. August 2007. Informational. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4949

[RFC5280] D. Cooper; et al. Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile. May 2008. Proposed Standard. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5280

[RFC6265]

A. Barth. HTTP State Management Mechanism. April 2011. Proposed Standard. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6265

[RFC6454] A. Barth. The Web Origin Concept. December 2011. Proposed Standard. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6454

```
M. Jones; J. Bradley; N. Sakimura. JSON Web Signature (JWS). May 2015. Proposed Standard. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7515
5612
5613
5614
5615
5616
             [RFC8017]
                  K. Moriarty, Ed.; et al. PKCS #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.2. November 2016. Informational. URL:
5617
5618
5619
                  https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8017
5620
5621
5622
5623
5624
             [TPMv2-EK-Profile]
                 TCG EK Credential Profile for TPM Family 2.0. URL: http://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/Credential_Profile_EK_V2.0_R14_published.pdf
5625
5626
             [TPMv2-Part1]
5627
                  Trusted Platform Module Library, Part 1: Architecture. URL:
5628
                 http://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-Rev-2.0-Part-1-Architecture-01.38.pdf
5629
5630
5631
             [TPMv2-Part2]
5632
5633
5634
                  Trusted Platform Module Library, Part 2: Structures. URL:
                  http://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-Rev-
                  2.0-Part-2-Structures-01.38.pdf
5635
5636
             [TPMv2-Part3]
5637
                  Trusted Platform Module Library, Part 3: Commands. URL: http://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-Rev-
5638
5639
                  2.0-Part-3-Commands-01.38.pdf
5640
5641
             [UAFProtocol]
5642
                  R. Lindemann; et al. FIDO UAF Protocol Specification v1.0. FIDO
5643
                  Alliance Proposed Standard, URL:
5644
                  https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.0-ps-20141208/fido-ua
5645
                  f-protocol-v1.0-ps-20141208.html
564€
5647
5648
           IDL Index
           [SecureContext, Exposed=Window]
interface PublicKeyCredential : Credential {
   [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer
5649
5650
5651
                                                                            rawld:
5652
              SameObject1 readonly attribute AuthenticatorResponse response:
5653
              AuthenticationExtensions getClientExtensionResults():
5654
5655
565€
           partial dictionary CredentialCreationOptions {
5657
              MakePublicKeyCredentialOptions publicKey;
5658
5659
5660
           partial dictionary CredentialRequestOptions {
5661
             PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions publicKey;
5662
5663
5664
           partial interface PublicKeyCredential {
5665
             static Promise < boolean > isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable();
5666
5667
5668
           [SecureContext, Exposed=Window]
5669
           interface AuthenticatorResponse {
5670
             [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer
                                                                      clientDataJSON;
5671
5672
5673
           [SecureContext, Exposed=Window]
5674
           interface Authenticator Attestation Response: Authenticator Response {
5675
              [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer attestationObject;
5676
5677
5678
           [SecureContext, Exposed=Window]
           interface AuthenticatorAssertionResponse : AuthenticatorResponse { [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer authenticatorData;
5679
5680
```

```
637€
                 M. Jones; J. Bradley; N. Sakimura. JSON Web Signature (JWS). May 2015. Proposed Standard. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7515
6377
6378
6379
6380
6381
             [RFC8017]
                 K. Moriarty, Ed.; et al. PKCS #1: RSA Cryptography
Specifications Version 2.2. November 2016. Informational. URL:
6382
6383
6384
                 https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8017
6385
6386
             [TPMv2-EK-Profile]
6387
                 TCG EK Credential Profile for TPM Family 2.0. URL:
6388
                 http://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/Credential_Profile_EK_V2.0_R14_published.pdf
6389
6390
6391
             [TPMv2-Part1]
6392
                 Trusted Platform Module Library, Part 1: Architecture. URL:
                 http://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-Rev-2.0-Part-1-Architecture-01.38.pdf
6393
6394
6395
639€
             [TPMv2-Part2]
                 Trusted Platform Module Library, Part 2: Structures. URL:
6397
6398
                 http://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-Rev-
6399
                 2.0-Part-2-Structures-01.38.pdf
6400
6401
             [TPMv2-Part3]
6402
                 Trusted Platform Module Library, Part 3: Commands. URL: http://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-Rev-2.0-Part-3-Commands-01.38.pdf
6403
6404
6405
6406
             [UAFProtocol]
6407
                 R. Lindemann; et al. FIDO UAF Protocol Specification v1.0. FIDO
6408
                 Alliance Proposed Standard, URL:
                 https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.0-ps-20141208/fido-ua
6409
6410
                 f-protocol-v1.0-ps-20141208.html
6411
6412
           IDL Index
6413
          [SecureContext, Exposed=Window]
interface PublicKeyCredential : Credential {
   [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer
6414
6415
641€
                                                                          rawld:
6417
              [SameObject] readonly attribute AuthenticatorResponse response;
6418
              AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs getClientExtensionResults();
6419
6420
           partial dictionary CredentialCreationOptions { PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions pull
6421
6422
6423
                                                            publicKev:
6424
6425
           partial dictionary CredentialRequestOptions {
6426
             PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions
                                                           publicKey;
6427
6428
6429
           partial interface PublicKeyCredential {
6430
             static Promise < boolean > isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable();
6431
6432
6433
           [SecureContext, Exposed=Window]
6434
           interface AuthenticatorResponse {
6435
             [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer
                                                                    clientDataJSON;
643€
6437
6438
           [SecureContext, Exposed=Window]
6439
           interface Authenticator Attestation Response: Authenticator Response {
6440
             [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer attestationObject;
6441
6442
6443
           [SecureContext, Exposed=Window]
6444
           interface Authenticator Assertion Response: Authenticator Response {
6445
             [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer
                                                                    authenticatorData:
```

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 6446

```
6446
            [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer
                                                         signature:
6447
6448
           [SameObject] readonly attribute ArrayBuffer?
                                                          userHandle:
6449
6450
         dictionary PublicKeyCredentialParameters {
6451
           required PublicKeyCredentialType type;
6452
           required COSEAlgorithmIdentifier
6453
6454
6455
         dictionary PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions {
645€
           required PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity
6457
           required PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity
                                                      user;
6458
6459
           required BufferSource
                                                  challenge:
6460
           required sequence<PublicKeyCredentialParameters> pubKeyCredParams;
6461
6462
           unsigned long
                                           timeout:
6463
           sequence<PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor> excludeCredentials = [];
6464
           AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria
                                                 authenticatorSelection;
6465
           AttestationConveyancePreference
                                                    attestation = "none";
6466
           AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs
                                                     extensions;
6467
6468
6469
         dictionary PublicKeyCredentialEntity {
6470
           required DOMString name;
6471
           USVString
                             icon:
6472
6473
6474
         dictionary PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity: PublicKeyCredentialEntity {
6475
           DOMString
                        id:
647€
6477
6478
         dictionary PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity: PublicKeyCredentialEntity {
6479
           required BufferSource id:
6480
           required DOMString
                                 displavName:
6481
6482
6483
         dictionary AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria {
6484
           AuthenticatorAttachment authenticatorAttachment;
6485
                               requireResidentKey = false;
6486
           UserVerificationRequirement userVerification = "preferred";
6487
6488
6489
         enum AuthenticatorAttachment {
6490
            "platform".     // Platform attachment
6491
            "cross-platform" // Cross-platform attachment
6492
6493
6494
         enum AttestationConveyancePreference {
6495
            "none",
649€
            "indirect",
6497
            "direct"
6498
6499
6500
         dictionary PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions {
6501
           required BufferSource
                                          challenge:
6502
           unsigned long
                                       timeout:
6503
           USVString
                                     rpld;
           sequence<PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor> allowCredentials = [];
6504
6505
           UserVerificationRequirement
                                             userVerification = "preferred":
6506
           AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs extensions;
6507
6508
6509
         dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs {
6510
6511
6512
         dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs {
6513
6514
6515
         typedef record<DOMString, DOMString> AuthenticationExtensionsAuthenticatorInputs
```

```
5745
5746
         dictionary CollectedClientData {
5747
           required DOMString
5748
           required DOMString
                                     challenge;
5749
           required DOMString
                                     origin;
5750
           required DOMString
                                     hashAlgorithm:
5751
           DOMString
                                  tokenBindingId;
5752
           AuthenticationExtensions clientExtensions;
5753
           AuthenticationExtensions authenticatorExtensions;
5755
575€
         enum PublicKeyCredentialType {
5757
            "public-key"
5758
5759
5760
         dictionary PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor {
5761
           required PublicKeyCredentialType
5762
           required BufferSource
5763
           sequence<AuthenticatorTransport>
                                                 transports;
5764
5765
576€
         enum AuthenticatorTransport {
5767
           "usb",
"nfc",
5768
5769
           "ble"
5770
5771
5772
         typedef long COSEAlgorithmIdentifier;
5773
5774
         enum UserVerificationRequirement {
5775
            "reauired".
           "preferred".
577€
5777
            "discouraged"
5778
5779
```

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 6516

```
6517
6518
          dictionary CollectedClientData {
             required DOMString required DOMString
6519
6520
                                          challenge;
             required DOMString
6521
                                          origin;
6522
6523
6524
6525
6526
             TokenBinding
                                        tokenBinding:
          dictionary TokenBinding {
required TokenBindingStatus status;
6527
             DOMString id;
6528
6529
6530
          enum TokenBindingStatus { "present", "supported", "not-supported" };
6531
6532
          enum PublicKeyCredentialType {
6533
              "public-kev"
6534
6535
653€
          dictionary PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor {
6537
             required PublicKeyCredentialType
                                                      type;
6538
             required BufferSource
6539
             sequence<AuthenticatorTransport>
                                                        transports;
6540
6541
6542
          enum AuthenticatorTransport {
6543
             "usb",
"nfc",
6544
6545
             "ble"
654€
6547
6548
          typedef long COSEAlgorithmIdentifier;
6549
6550
          enum UserVerificationRequirement {
6551
              "required",
             "preferred".
6552
6553
              "discouraged"
6554
6555
6556
           partial dictionary Authentication Extensions ClientInputs {
6557
            USVString applid;
6558
6559
6560
           partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs {
6561
6562
           boolean appid:
6563
6564
6565
6566
          partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs { USVString txAuthSimple;
6567
          partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs { USVString txAuthSimple;
6568
6569
6570
6571
6572
           dictionary txAuthGenericArg {
6573
6574
             required USVString contentType; // MIME-Type of the content, e.g., "image
6575
             required ArrayBuffer content;
6576
6577
6578
           partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs {
6579
           txAuthGenericArg txAuthGeneric;
6580
6581
6582
          partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs { ArrayBuffer txAuthGeneric;
6583
6584
6585
```

```
typedef sequence<AAGUID>
                                                       AuthenticatorSelectionList:
5781
                                             AAGUID:
            typedef BufferSource
5783
5785
            Issues Index
578€
              The definitions of "lifetime of" and "becomes available" are intended to represent how devices are hotplugged into (USB) or discovered by
5787
5788
5789
               (NFC) browsers, and are under-specified. Resolving this with good
5790
               definitions or some other means will be addressed by resolving Issue
5791
               #613. RET
              need to define "blinding". See also #462.
<a href="https://github.com/w3c/webauthn/issues/694">https://github.com/w3c/webauthn/issues/694</a> RET
@balfanz wishes to add to the "direct" case: If the authenticator
5792
5793
5794
5795
               violates the privacy requirements of the attestation type it is using, the client SHOULD terminate this algorithm with a
5796
               "AttestationNotPrivateError". RET
5797
               The definitions of "lifetime of" and "becomes available" are intended
5798
               to represent how devices are hotplugged into (USB) or discovered by
```

```
typedef sequence<AAGUID> AuthenticatorSelectionList;
6587
6588
6589
6590
          partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs { AuthenticatorSelectionList authnSel;
6591
6592
          typedef BufferSource
                                      AAGUID:
6593
6594
          partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs {
6595
6596
           boolean authnSel;
6597
6598
          partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs {
6599
           boolean exts:
6600
6601
6602
          typedef sequence<USVString> AuthenticationExtensionsSupported;
6603
6604
6605
6606
          partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs {
           Authentication Extensions Supported exts;
6607
6608
6609
          partial dictionary Authentication Extensions ClientInputs {
           boolean uvi;
6610
6611
6612
          partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs {
6613
6614
           ArrayBuffer uvi;
6615
6616
          partial dictionary Authentication Extensions ClientInputs {
6617
           boolean loc;
6618
6619
6620
          partial dictionary Authentication Extensions Client Outputs {
6621
6622
           Coordinates loc:
6623
6624
          partial dictionary AuthenticationExtensionsClientInputs {
6625
6626
           boolean uvm;
6627
6628
          typedef sequence<unsigned long> UvmEntry; typedef sequence<UvmEntry> UvmEntries;
6629
6630
6631
6632
6633
          partial dictionary Authentication Extensions Client Outputs {
           UvmEntries uvm:
6634
6635
6636
          dictionary authenticatorBiometricPerfBounds{
  float FAR;
6637
             float FRR:
6638
6639
6640
6641
          Issues Index
6642
            The definitions of "lifetime of" and "becomes available" are intended to represent how devices are hot-plugged into (USB) or discovered by
6643
6644
6645
            (NFC) browsers, and are underspecified. Resolving this with good
6646
            definitions or some other means will be addressed by resolving Issue
6647
            #613. RET
            @balfanz wishes to add to the "direct" case: If the authenticator
6648
6649
            violates the privacy requirements of the attestation type it is using,
            the client SHOULD terminate this algorithm with an "AttestationNotPrivateError". RET
6650
6651
            The definitions of "lifetime of" and "becomes available" are intended
6652
6653
            to represent how devices are hot-plugged into (USB) or discovered by
```

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 6654 6654 6655 (NFC) browsers, and are underspecified. Resolving this with good definitions or some other means will be addressed by resolving Issue #613. RET 665€ 6657 The foregoing step _may_ be incorrect, in that we are attempting to create savedCredentialld here and use it later below, and we do not 6658 6659 have a global in which to allocate a place for it. Perhaps this is good 6660 enough? addendum: @jcjones feels the above step is likely good enough. 6661 6662 The WHATWG HTML WG is discussing whether to provide a hook when a 6663 browsing context gains or loses focuses. If a hook is provided, the 6664 above paragraph will be updated to include the hook. See WHATWG HTML WG 6665 Issue #2711 for more details. RET 6666 6667 #base64url-encodingReferenced in: *5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's

[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) 6668 6669 6670 6671 6672 6673 * 5.10.1. Client data used in WebAuthn signatures (dictionary CollectedClientData)

* 7.1. Registering a new credential

* 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion (2) 6674 6675 6676 6677 6678 6679 #cborReferenced in: 6680 * 2.4. All Conformance Classes 6681 * 3. Dependencies * 3. Dependencies

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 6.1. Authenticator data (2)

* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation

* 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation

* 9. WebAuthn Extensions (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)

* 9.2. Defining extensions (2)

* 9.3. Extending request parameters 6682 6683 6684 6685 668€ 6687 6688 6689 6690 6691 6692 * 9.3. Extending request parameters 6693 * 9.4. Client extension processing (2) 6694 6695 * 9.5. Authenticator extension processing (2) #assertionReferenced in:

* 7.1. Registering a new credential

* 10.1. FIDO AppID Extension (appid)

* 13.3. credentialld Unsigned 6696 6698 6698 6700 6701 #attestationReferenced in: * 4. Terminology (2)

* 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum AttestationConveyancePreference) (2)

* 6. WebAuthn Authenticator Model (2)

* 6.3. Attestation (2) (3) (4)

* 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format

* 11.1. WebAuthn Attestation Statement Format Identifier 6702 6703 6704 6705 670€ 6707 6708 6709 Registrations 6710 * 13. Security Considerations 6711 6712 #attestation-certificateReferenced in: * 4. Terminology (2) * 6.3.3. Attestation Types 6713 6714 6715 * 8.3.1. TPM attestation statement certificate requirements 671€ 6717 #attestation-key-pairReferenced in: 6718 * 4. Terminology (2) 6719 * 6.3. Attestation 6720 * 6.3.3. Attestation Types 6721 6722 #attestation-private-keyReferenced in:

* 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format

* 8.4. Android Key Attestation Statement Format

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 6723 6724 * 6. WebAuthn Authenticator Model * 6.3. Attestation 6726 * 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format #attestation-public-kevReferenced in: * 6.3. Attestation 6730 * 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format #authenticationReferenced in: * 1. Introduction (2) * 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) 6735 6736 * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion (2) (3) (4) * 13. Security Considerations * 14.3. Authentication Ceremony Privacy #authentication-assertionReferenced in: * 1. Introduction * 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8)
* 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface
* 5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface * 5.5. Options for Assertion Response)

* 5.5. Options for Assertion Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions) * 9. WebAuthn Extensions #authenticatorReferenced in: * 1. Introduction (2) (3) (4) * 1.1. Use Cases * 2.2. Authenticators * 2.2. Authenticators

* 2.2.1. Backwards Compatibility with FIDO U2F

* 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15) (16) (17) (18) (19)

* 5. Web Authentication API (2) (3)

* 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3) 6753 6755 675€ 6760 * 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's

[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)

* 5.2. Authenticator Responses (interface AuthenticatorResponse)

* 5.2.1. Information about Public Key Credential (interface AuthenticatorAttestationResponse) (2)

* 5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface AuthenticatorAssertionResponse) 6763 676€ * 5.4.1. Public Key Entity Description (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialEntity) (2) PublicKeyCredentialEntity) (2)

* 5.4.3. User Account Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity)

* 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum AuthenticatorAttachment)

* 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum AttestationConveyancePreference) (2)

* 5.5. Options for Assertion Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions)

* 6. WebAuthn Authenticator Model (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)

* 6.1 Authenticator data 677€ * 6.1. Authenticator data * 6.1. Authenticator data
* 6.2.1. Lookup Credential Source by Credential ID algorithm
* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation (2)
* 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation (2) (3) (4)
* 6.3. Attestation (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)
* 6.3.2. Attestation Statement Formats
* 6.3.3. Attestation Types (2) (3) (4)
* 6.3.4. Generating an Attestation Object
* 7.1 Registering a new credential (2) 6781 6782 678€ * 7.1. Registering a new credential (2)
* 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion 6788 * 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format * 8.4. Android Key Attestation Statement Format

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 6791 * 8.5. Android SafetyNet Attestation Statement Format 6793 * 8.7. None Attestation Statement Format
* 10.5. Supported Extensions Extension (exts)
* 10.6. User Verification Index Extension (uvi) * 10.8. User Verification Method Extension (uvm)
* 12. Sample scenarios
* 13. Security Considerations (2) (3) (4) (5)
* 13.2.2. Attestation Certificate and Attestation Certificate CA 6798 6799 6800 * 13.3. credentialld Unsigned

* 14.1. Attestation Privacy (2) (3)

* 14.2. Registration Ceremony Privacy (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) #authorization-gestureReferenced in:
* 1.1.1. Registration
* 1.1.2. Authentication 680€ * 1.1.2. Authentication
* 1.1.3. Other use cases and configurations
* 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)
* 5.1.4. Use an existing credential to make an assertion PublicKeyCredential's [[Get]](options) method (2)
* 5.1.6. Preventing silent access to an existing credential PublicKeyCredential's [[preventSilentAccess]](credential,
sameOriginWithAncestors) method 6812 6813 #biometric-recognitionReferenced in: * 4. Terminology (2) (3) 6819 #biometric-authenticatorReferenced in:
* 10.9. Biometric Authenticator Performance Bounds Extension
(biometricPerfBounds) #ceremonyReferenced in: * 1. Introduction * 1. Introduction

* 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)

* 7.1. Registering a new credential (2)

* 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion (2)

* 13. Security Considerations

* 14.2. Registration Ceremony Privacy

* 14.3. Authentication Ceremony Privacy (2) 6826 6827 6828 #clientReferenced in: * 4. Terminology * 5.1.7. Availability of User-Verifying Platform Authenticator -6834 PublicKeyCredential's * 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum Authenticator Attachment) (2) (3) * 7.1. Registering a new credential * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion 6837 6839 * 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method * 5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary 684€ AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria) (2)
* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation (2) 6849 #conforming-user-agentReferenced in: * 1. Introduction * 2.1. User Agents * 2.2. Authenticators * 4. Terminology (2) #credential-idReferenced in: * 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) 685€ * 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface (2)
* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options,

#public-key-credential-source-managing-authenticatorReferenced in:

#public-key-credentialReferenced in:

* 1. Introduction (2) (3) (4) (5)

* 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8)

* 5.1. Web Authentication API (2) (3) (4)

* 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.1.4. Use an existing credential to make an assertion PublicKeyCredential's [[Get]](options) method

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options,
sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 5.2.1. Information about Public Key Credential (interface
AuthenticatorAttestationResponse)

Authenticator Attestation Response)

* 5.4.1. Public Key Entity Description (dictionary Public Rey Credential Entity)

AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria)

* 5.8. Supporting Data Structures

* 6.3.2. Attestation Statement Formats

#registrationReferenced in:
* 1. Introduction (2)
* 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)

#relying-partyReferenced in:

* 1. Introduction (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)

* 1.1.3. Other use cases and configurations

* 2.3. Relying Parties

* 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15) (16) (17) (18) (19) (20) (21) (22) (23) (24) (25) (26) (27) (28) (29) (30)

* 5. Web Authentication API (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)

* 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface (2)

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3)

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

* 7.1. Registering a new credential

* 7.1. Registering a new credential

* 9. WebAuthn Extensions (2)

* 6.3. Attestation (2)

Compromise (2)

* 6.3.3. Attestation Types

* 12. Sample scenarios

* 5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary

* 5.5. Options for Assertion Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions)

* 6. WebAuthn Authenticator model (2) (3) (4) (5) * 6.2.2. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation (2) (3)

* 6.3.5.2. Attestation Certificate and Attestation Certificate CA

600€

603E

* 4. Terminology

* 6. WebAuthn Authenticator Model * 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation * 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation (2) #public-key-credential-source-otheruiReferenced in: * 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation #public-key-credential-source-managing-authenticatorReferenced in: * 4. Terminology #public-key-credentialReferenced in:

* 1. Introduction (2) (3) (4) (5)

* 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8)

* 5. Web Authentication API (2) (3) (4)

* 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.1.4. Use an existing credential to make an assertion PublicKeyCredential's [[Get]](options) method

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options,
sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 5.2.1. Information about Public Key Credential (interface
AuthenticatorAttestationResponse)

* 5.4.1. Public Key Entity Description (dictionary
PublicKeyCredentialEntity)

* 5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary
AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria)

* 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum
AuthenticatorAttachment) (2) (3)

* 5.5. Options for Assertion Generation (dictionary
PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions)

* 5.10. Supporting Data Structures

* 5.10. Supporting Data Structures * 5.10. Supporting Data Structures

* 5.10.3. Credential Descriptor (dictionary
PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor) (2) (3)

* 6. WebAuthn Authenticator Model (2)

* 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation

* 6.3. Attestation (2)

* 6.3. Attestation Statement Formate * 6.3.2. Attestation Statement Formats * 6.3.3. Attestation Types * 7.1. Registering a new credential
* 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion (2)
* 9. WebAuthn Extensions (2) * 12. Sample scenarios * 13.2.2. Attestation Certificate and Attestation Certificate CA Compromise (2) * 14.2. Registration Ceremony Privacy (2) (3) * 14.3. Authentication Ceremony Privacy (2) (3) (4) (5) #registrationReferenced in:

* 1. Introduction (2)

* 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)

* 7.1. Registering a new credential (2) (3)

* 10.9. Biometric Authenticator Performance Bounds Extension (biometricPerfBounds)
* 13. Security Considerations #relying-partyReferenced in:

* 1. Introduction (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)

* 1.1.3. Other use cases and configurations * 2.3. Relying Parties * 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15) (16) (17) (18) (19) (20) (21) (22) (23) (24) (25) (26) (27) (28) (29) (30) * 5. Web Authentication API (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) * 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface (2) * 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's 699€ [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3)

```
* 5.1.4. Use an existing credential to make an assertion - PublicKeyCredential's [[Get]](options) method (2)
* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3) (4)
* 5.1.6. Availability of User-Verifying Platform Authenticator - PublicKeyCredential's
6053
6054
6055
6056
6057
6058
6059
                             PublicKeyCredential's
                         PublicKeyCredential's
isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable() method (2) (3)
* 5.2. Authenticator Responses (interface AuthenticatorResponse)
* 5.2.1. Information about Public Key Credential (interface
AuthenticatorAttestationResponse) (2)
* 5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface
AuthenticatorAssertionResponse)
* 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary
MakePublicKeyCredentialOptions) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)
* 5.4.1. Public Key Entity Description (dictionary
PublicKeyCredentialEntity) (2) (3)
* 5.4.2. RP Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary
PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity) (2)
6060
6061
6062
6063
6064
6065
606€
6067
3909
6069
6070
6071
                             PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity) (2)
                         * 5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria) (2) (3)
* 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum AuthenticatorAttachment) (2) (3) (4)
* 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum AttestationConveyancePreference) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)
* 5.5. Options for Assertion Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions)
* 5.8.1. Client data used in WebAuthn signatures (dictionary CollectedClientData) (2) (3) (4)
* 5.8.4. Authenticator Transport enumeration (enum AuthenticatorTransport) (2)
6072
6073
6074
6075
607€
6077
6078
6079
6080
6081
6082
                          * 5.8.6. User Verification Requirement enumeration (enum UserVerificationRequirement) (2) (3) (4)

* 6. WebAuthn Authenticator model (2)
6083
6084
6085
6086
                           * 6.1. Authenticator data (2)
6087
                           * 6.1.1. Signature Counter Considerations (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)

* 6.2.1. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation (2) (3) (4) (5)
6088
6089
6090
6091
                           * 6.2.2. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation (2) (3)
6092
                           * 6.3. Attestation (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)
6093
6094
                           * 6.3.5.1. Privacy
                           * 6.3.5.2. Attestation Certificate and Attestation Certificate CA
                           Compromise (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)
* 7. Relying Party Operations (2) (3) (4)
6095
609€
6097
                           * 7.1. Registering a new credential (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)
                           (10) (11) (12)
* 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)
6098
6099
6100
                           * 8.4. Android Key Attestation Statement Format
6101
                           * 9. WebAuthn Extensions (2) (3) (4)
6102
6103
                           * 9.2. Defining extensions (2)
6104
                           * 9.3. Extending request parameters (2) (3) (4)
                          * 9.6. Example Extension (2) (3)

* 10.1. FIDO Appld Extension (appid) (2)

* 10.2. Simple Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthSimple)

* 10.4. Authenticator Selection Extension (authnSel) (2) (3)
6105
6106
6107
6108
                           * 10.5. Supported Extensions Extension (exts) (2)
* 10.6. User Verification Index Extension (uvi)
6109
6110
6111
                           * 10.7. Location Extension (loc) (2)
6112
                           * 11.2. WebAuthn Extension Identifier Registrations (2)
                           * 12.1. Registration (2) (3) (4) (5)
6113
6114
                           * 12.2. Registration Specifically with User Verifying Platform
6115
                             Authenticator (2) (3)
```

6997	(4) (5)
6998 6999	* 5.1.4. Use an existing credential to make an assertion - PublicKeyCredential's [[Get]](options) method (2)
7000	* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
7001	[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options,
7002	sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3) (4)
7003	* 5.1.7. Availability of User-Verifying Platform Authenticator -
7004	PublicKeyCredential's
700€ 700€	isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable() method (2) (3)
7007	 * 5.2. Authenticator Responses (interface AuthenticatorResponse) * 5.2.1. Information about Public Key Credential (interface
7008	Authenticator Attestation Response) (2)
7009	* 5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface
7010	AuthenticatorAssertionResponse)
7011	* 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary
7012 7013	PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions) (2) (3) (4) (5)
7014	* 5.4.1. Public Key Entity Description (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialEntity) (2) (3) (4) (5)
7015	* 5.4.2. RP Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary
701€	PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity) (2)
7017	* 5.4.3. User Account Parameters for Credential Generation
7018	(dictionary PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity)
7019 7020	* 5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria) (2) (3)
7021	* 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum
7022	Authenticator Attachment) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)
7023	* 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum
7024	AttestationConvevancePreference) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)
7025	* 5.5. Options for Assertion Generation (dictionary
7026 7027	PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions) * 5.10.1. Client data used in WebAuthn signatures (dictionary
7028	CollectedClientData) (2) (3) (4)
7029	* 5.10.4. Authenticator Transport enumeration (enum
7030	AuthenticatorTransport) (2)
7031	* 5.10.6. User Verification Requirement enumeration (enum
7032 7033	UserVerificationRequirement) (2) (3) (4) * 6. WebAuthn Authenticator Model (2) (3)
7034	* 6.1. Authenticator data (2)
7035	* 6.1.1. Signature Counter Considerations (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)
7036	* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation (2) (3) (4) (5)
7037	(6)
7038 7039	* 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation (2) (3)
7040	* 6.3. Attestation (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) * 6.3.3. Attestation Types
7010	o.o.o. Attostation Types
7041	* 7. Relying Party Operations (2) (3) (4)
7042 7043	* 7.1. Registering a new credential (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)
7044	(10) (11) (12) (13) * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)
7045	(8)
704€	* 8.4. Android Kev Attestation Statement Format
7047	* 8.7. None Attestation Statement Format
7048 7049	* 9. WebAuthn Extensions (2) (3) (4) (5)
7048 7050	* 9.2. Defining extensions (2) * 9.3. Extending request parameters (2) (3) (4)
7051	* 10.1. FIDO AppID Extension (appid) (2)
7052	* 10.2. Simple Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthSimple)
7053	* 10.4. Authenticator Selection Extension (authnSel) (2) (3)
7054 7055	* 10.5. Supported Extensions Extension (exts) (2) * 10.6. User Verification Index Extension (uvi)
705€	* 10.7. I ocation Extension (loc) (2)
7057	* 10.7. Location Extension (loc) (2) * 10.8. User Verification Method Extension (uvm)
7058	* 10.9. Biometric Authenticator Performance Bounds Extension
7059	(biometricPerfBounds) (2) (3) * 11.2. WebAuthn Extension Identifier Registrations (2)
7060 7061	^ 11.2. WebAuthn Extension Identifier Registrations (2)
7062	* 12.1. Registration (2) (3) (4) (5) * 12.2. Registration Specifically with User Verifying Platform
7063	Authenticator (2) (3)

(4) (5)

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 7064 * 12.3. Authentication (2) (3) (4) (5)

* 12.5. Decommissioning (2)

* 13. Security Considerations (2) (3) (4)

* 13.1. Cryptographic Challenges

* 13.2.2. Attestation Certificate and Attestation Certificate CA Compromise (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)

* 13.3. credentialld Unsigned

* 14.1. Attestation Privacy

* 14.2. Registration Ceremony Privacy (2) (3) (4)

* 14.3. Authentication Ceremony Privacy (2) (3) (4) 7067 7069 #relying-party-identifierReferenced in:

* 4. Terminology

* 5. Web Authentication API

* 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions)

* 5.5. Options for Assertion Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialDrayestOptions) 707€ PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions) #rp-idReferenced in:
 * 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) (5)
 * 5. Web Authentication API (2) (3) (4) (5)
 * 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
 [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)
 * 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
 [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)
 * 5.4.2. RP Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity) 708€ * 6.1. Authenticator data (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)
* 6.1.1. Signature Counter Considerations
* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation (2) (3)
* 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation (2) 7096 * 7.1. Registering a new credential (2)

* 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion

* 8.4. Android Key Attestation Statement Format

* 8.6. FIDO U2F Attestation Statement Format 7102 * 10.1. FIDO AppID Extension (appid) #test-of-user-presenceReferenced in:

* 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)

* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation (2)

* 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation

* 10.2. Simple Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthSimple)

* 10.3. Generic Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthGeneric) 7106 #user-consentReferenced in:

* 1. Introduction (2)

* 4. Terminology (2)

* 5. Web Authentication API

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3)

* 5.1.4. Use an existing credential to make an assertion
PublicKeyCredential's [[Get]](options) method

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's

[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface AuthenticatorAssertionResponse)

* 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum AttestationConveyancePreference) 7115 7119 * 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) * 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation (2) (3) (4) (5) * 11.2. WebAuthn Extension Identifier Registrations 7126 7128 * 14.2. Registration Ceremony Privacy (2) * 14.3. Authentication Ceremony Privacy (2) (3) 7131

#user-handleReferenced in:

* 2.2.1. Backwards Compatibility with FIDO U2F

* 4. Terminology

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's

[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface Authenticator Assertion Posspones) (2) AuthenticatorAssertionResponse) (2) * 5.4.3. User Account Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity)
* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation #user-verificationReferenced in: * 1. Introduction * 1. Introduction

* 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3)

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3)

* 5.1.7. Availability of User-Verifying Platform Authenticator - PublicKeyCredential's
[IsserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable() method (2) (3) (4) isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable() method (2) (3) (4) * 5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria)

* 5.5. Options for Assertion Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions)

* 5.10.6. User Verification Requirement enumeration (enum UserVerificationRequirement) (2) (3) (4)

* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation (2) (3)

* 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation

* 7.1. Registering a new credential (2) * 7.1. Registering a new credential (2)

* 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion (2)

* 10.2. Simple Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthSimple)

* 10.3. Generic Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthGeneric)

* 12.2. Registration Specifically with User Verifying Platform Authenticator #concept-user-presentReferenced in: * 4. Terminology * 6.1. Authenticator data (2) (3) * 7.1. Registering a new credential * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion **#upReferenced in:** * 6.1. Authenticator data #concept-user-verifiedReferenced in: * 4. Terminology * 6.1. Authenticator data (2) (3) * 7.1. Registering a new credential * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion #uvReferenced in: * 5.10.6. User Verification Requirement enumeration (enum UserVerificationRequirement) (2) * 6.1. Authenticator data #webauthn-clientReferenced in:

* 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4)

* 6.2. Authenticator operations

* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation

* 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation

* 13. Security Considerations

#dom-publickeycredential-create-slotReferenced in:

* 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface

#web-authentication-apiReferenced in: * 1. Introduction (2) (3) * 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4) * 13. Security Considerations 7202 7203 #publickeycredentialReferenced in:

* 1. Introduction

* 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8)

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.1.5. Store an existing credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Store]](credential, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 5.1.7. Availability of User-Verifying Platform Authenticator - PublicKeyCredential's
isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable() method

* 5.10.3. Credential Descriptor (dictionary
PublicKeyCredentialDescriptor)

* 7. Relying Party Operations #publickeycredentialReferenced in: 720€ 721€ * 7. Relying Party Operations * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion 7222 #dom-publickeycredential-rawidReferenced in:
* 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface
* 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion #dom-publickeycredential-getclientextensionresultsReferenced in: * 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface * 9.4. Client extension processing #dom-publickeycredential-responseReferenced in: * 5.1. PublicKevCredential Interface * 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method
* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options,
sameOriginWithAncestors) method 7234 723€ * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion (2) #dom-publickevcredential-identifier-slotReferenced in: * 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface (2)

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's

[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method #dom-publickeycredential-clientextensionsresults-slotReferenced in:
* 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface
* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method
* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method #dom-credentialcreationoptions-publickeyReferenced in:
* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3) 7260 #dom-credentialrequestoptions-publickeyReferenced in: * 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3) #dom-publickeycredential-create-slotReferenced in: * 4. Terminology * 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface 726€ * 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum Authenticator Attachment)

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 7269 * 5.6. Abort operations with AbortSignal (2) (3) (4) (5) * 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation * 14.2. Registration Ceremony Privacy 7269 7270 7271 7272 7273 #dom-publickevcredential-create-origin-options-sameoriginwithancestors-7274 originReferenced in: * 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's 7275 727€ [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method 7277 7278 #dom-publickeycredential-create-origin-options-sameoriginwithancestors-7279 optionsReferenced in: 7280 * 7.1. Registering a new credential 7281 7282 #effective-user-verification-requirement-for-credential-creationReferen 7283 ced in:
 * 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation 7284 7285 #credentialcreationdata-attestationobjectresultReferenced in:
* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3) 728€ 7287 7288 7289 7290 #credentialcreationdata-clientdatajsonresultReferenced in: * 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's 7291 7292 7293 [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method 7294 7295 #credentialcreationdata-attestationconveyancepreferenceoptionReferenced 7296 *5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's 7297 7298 [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method 7299 7300 #credentialcreationdata-clientextensionresultsReferenced in: 7301 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKevCredential's 7302 [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method 7303 7304 #dom-publickeycredential-collectfromcredentialstore-slotReferenced in: 5.1.4. Use an existing credential to make an assertion -PublicKeyCredential's [[Get]](options) method 7305 730€ 7307 7308 #dom-publickeycredential-discoverfromexternalsource-slotReferenced in: *4. Terminology

* 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface

* 5.1.4. Use an existing credential to make an assertion PublicKeyCredential's [[Get]](options) method

* 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum
AuthenticatorAttachment)

* 5.6. Abort operations with AbortSignal (2) (3) (4) (5) 7309 7310 7311 7312 7313 7314 7315 7316 * 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation 7317 * 14.3. Authentication Ceremony Privacy 7318 #dom-publickeycredential-discoverfromexternalsource-origin-options-same originwithancestors-originReferenced in:
* 5_1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's 7319 7320 7321 [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method 7322 7323 7324 7325 #effective-user-verification-requirement-for-assertionReferenced in: 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation 7326 7327 7328 #assertioncreationdata-credentialidresultReferenced in: * 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, 7329 7330 7331 sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3) 7332 7333 #assertioncreationdata-clientdataisonresultReferenced in: 7334 * 5.1.4.1. PublicKevCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, 7335 733€ sameOriginWithAncestors) method 7337 7338 #assertioncreationdata-authenticatordataresultReferenced in:

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 7339 * 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method #assertioncreationdata-signatureresultReferenced in: * 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method #assertioncreationdata-userhandleresultReferenced in: * 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3) (4)
* 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation #assertioncreationdata-clientextensionresultsReferenced in: * 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method #authenticatorresponseReferenced in:

* 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface (2)

* 5.2. Authenticator Responses (interface AuthenticatorResponse) (2)

* 5.2.1. Information about Public Key Credential (interface AuthenticatorAttestationResponse) (2)

* 5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface AuthenticatorAttestationResponse) (2) AuthenticatorAssertionResponse) (2) #dom-authenticatorresponse-clientdatajsonReferenced in:

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 5.2. Authenticator Responses (interface AuthenticatorResponse)

* 5.2.1. Information about Public Key Credential (interface AuthenticatorAttestationResponse)

* 5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface AuthenticatorAssertionResponse) AuthenticatorAssertionResponse) * 7.1. Registering a new credential (2)
* 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion #authenticatorattestationresponseReferenced in:

* 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.2.1. Information about Public Key Credential (interface AuthenticatorAttestationResponse) (2) * 7. Relying Party Operations * 7.1. Registering a new credential (2) #dom-authenticatorattestationresponse-attestationobjectReferenced in:

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.2.1. Information about Public Key Credential (interface
AuthenticatorAttestationResponse) * 7.1. Registering a new credential #authenticatorassertionresponseReferenced in: * 4. Terminology

* 5.1. PublicKeyCredential Interface

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's

[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface AuthenticatorAssertionResponse) (2)
* 7. Relying Party Operations

#dom-authenticatorassertionresponse-authenticatordataReferenced in:

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's

AuthenticatorAssertionResponse) (2) * 7. Relying Party Operations #dom-authenticatorassertionresponse-authenticatordataReferenced in:

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's

740€

#dom-makepublickeycredentialoptions-pubkeycredparamsReferenced in:

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 7409 [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface AuthenticatorAssertionResponse) * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion #dom-authenticatorassertionresponse-signatureReferenced in: * 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's 741€ [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface AuthenticatorAssertionResponse) * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion #dom-authenticatorassertionresponse-userhandleReferenced in:
* 2.2.1. Backwards Compatibility with FIDO U2F
* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method 742€ * 5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface AuthenticatorAssertionResponse) * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion #dictdef-publickeycredentialparametersReferenced in:
* 5.3. Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialParameters) * 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions) (2) 7437 #dom-publickeycredentialparameters-typeReferenced in:

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 5.3. Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary
PublicKeyCredentialParameters) #dom-publickeycredentialparameters-algReferenced in:

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.3. Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialParameters) #dictdef-publickeycredentialcreationoptionsReferenced in:
* 5.1.1. CredentialCreationOptions Dictionary Extension
* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method
* 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary 7456 PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions) 7458 #dom-publickeycredentialcreationoptions-rpReferenced in: * 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)

* 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions) #dom-publickeycredentialcreationoptions-userReferenced in:

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary 746€ PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions)
* 7.1. Registering a new credential #dom-publickeycredentialcreationoptions-challengeReferenced in:
* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method * 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions) * 13.1. Cryptographic Challenges

#dom-publickeycredentialcreationoptions-pubkeycredparamsReferenced in:

#dom-publickeycredentialrpentity-idReferenced in:

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3) 7552 7553 7554 * 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions)

* 5.4.2. RP Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary 755€ PublicKeyCredentialRpEntity) 7559 * 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation (2) (3) (4) #dictdef-publickeycredentialuserentityReferenced in:

* 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions) (2)

* 5.4.1. Public Key Entity Description (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialEntity) (2)

* 5.4.3. User Account Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity) (2)

* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential Operation 7563 7564 756€ #dom-publickeycredentialuserentity-idReferenced in:
* 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary
PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions) 7572 * 5.4.3. User Account Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity)
* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation 7575 #dom-publickeycredentialuserentity-displaynameReferenced in:

* 4. Terminology

* 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions)

* 5.4.1. Public Key Entity Description (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialEntity)

* 5.4.3. User Account Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialUserEntity) (2) (3)

* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation 757€ 7580 7581 7584 758€ #dictdef-authenticatorselectioncriteriaReferenced in: * 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions) (2)
* 5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria) (2) #dom-authenticatorselectioncriteria-authenticatorattachmentReferenced *5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method
*5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary
AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria) #dom-authenticatorselectioncriteria-requireresidentkeyReferenced in:

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary
AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria)

* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation 7605 #dom-authenticatorselectioncriteria-userverificationReferenced in: * 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's 760€ [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary
AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria) #enumdef-authenticatorattachmentReferenced in: * 5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary * 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum Authenticator Attachment) (2) 761€ #attachment-modalityReferenced in:

#enumdef-authenticatorattachmentReferenced in: * 5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary

* 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-5e63e57-WD-07.txt, Top line: 6624	
6624 6625 6626	#platform-authenticatorsReferenced in: * 5.1.6. Availability of User-Verifying Platform Authenticator - PublicKeyCredential's
6627 6628	isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable() method (2) (3) (4) (5)
6629 6630 6631	* 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum AuthenticatorAttachment) (2) * 12.1. Registration
6632 6633	* 12.2. Registration Specifically with User Verifying Platform Authenticator (2)
6634 6635	#roaming-authenticatorsReferenced in:
6636 6637 6638 6639	* 1.1.3. Other use cases and configurations * 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum AuthenticatorAttachment) (2) * 12.1. Registration
6640 6641 6642	#platform-attachmentReferenced in: * 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum
6643 6644	AuthenticatorAttachment)
6645 6646 6647 6648	#cross-platform-attachedReferenced in: * 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum AuthenticatorAttachment) (2)
6649 6650	#attestation-conveyanceReferenced in: * 4. Terminology
6651 6652	* 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary * 5.4. Options for Credential Options) MakePublicKeyCredentialOptions)
6653 6654 6655	* 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum AttestationConveyancePreference)
6656 6657 6658	#enumdef-attestationconveyancepreferenceReferenced in: * 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary MakePublicKeyCredentialOptions) (2)
6659 6660 6661	* 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum AttestationConveyancePreference) (2)
6662 6663 6664	#dom-attestationconveyancepreference-noneReferenced in: * 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary MakePublicKeyCredentialOptions)
6665 6666 6667	* 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum AttestationConveyancePreference)
6668 6669 6670 6671	#dom-attestationconveyancepreference-indirectReferenced in: * 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum AttestationConveyancePreference)
6672 6673 6674 6675	#dom-attestationconveyancepreference-directReferenced in: * 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum AttestationConveyancePreference)
6676 6677 6678	#dictdef-publickeycredentialrequestoptionsReferenced in: * 5.1.2. CredentialRequestOptions Extension * 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
6679 6680	[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method
6681	* 5.5. Options for Assertion Generation (dictionary

620	AuthenticatorAttachment) (2)
621 622	#wlatteum authoritiestava Defevenced in
623	#platform-authenticatorsReferenced in:
624	* 5.1.7. Availability of User-Verifying Platform Authenticator - PublicKeyCredential's
625	isUserVerifyingPlatformAuthenticatorAvailable() method (2) (3) (4)
626	(5)
627	* 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum
628	AuthenticatorAttachment) (2)
629	* 12.1. Registration
630	* 12.2. Registration Specifically with User Verifying Platform
631	Authenticator (2)
632	* 14.2. Registration Ceremony Privacy
633 634	#wa aming a subhambianta wa Dafayan and im-
635	#roaming-authenticatorsReferenced in: * 1.1.3. Other use cases and configurations
63(* 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum
637	Authenticator Attachment) (2)
638	* 12.1. Registration
639	
640	#platform-attachmentReferenced in:
641	* 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum
642	AuthenticatorAttachment)
643 644	#platform-credentialReferenced in:
645	* 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum
646	Authenticator Attachment) (2)
647	Addictional Additional (2)
648	#cross-platform-attachedReferenced in:
649	* 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum
650	AuthenticatorAttachment) (2)
651	War and the state of the state
652 653	#roaming-credentialReferenced in:
654	* 5.4.5. Authenticator Attachment enumeration (enum AuthenticatorAttachment) (2)
655	Addictional Additional (2)
65€	#attestation-conveyanceReferenced in:
657	* 4. Terminology
658	* 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary
659	PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions)
66(661	* 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum
662	AttestationConveyancePreference)
663	#enumdef-attestationconveyancepreferenceReferenced in:
664	* 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary
665	PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions) (2)
666	* 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum
667	AttestationConveyancePreference) (2)
366	
669	#dom-attestationconveyancepreference-noneReferenced in:
67(671	* 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary
672	PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions) * 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum
673	AttestationConveyancePreference)
674	Automation Control Vision Toler Chief
675	#dom-attestationconveyancepreference-indirectReferenced in:
67€	* 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum
677	AttestationConveyancePreference)
678 679	#dom attackstic near your penses for an additional Deferenced in
68(#dom-attestationconveyancepreference-directReferenced in:
681	* 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum AttestationConveyancePreference)
682	Auguationounveyander releiende)
683	#dictdef-publickeycredentialrequestoptionsReferenced in:
684	* 5.1.2. CredentialRequestOptions Dictionary Extension
685	* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
686	[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options,
687	sameOriginWithAncestors) method
386	* 5.5. Options for Assertion Generation (dictionary

* 6.2.1. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation * 6.2.2. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation (2)

[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method
* 5.10.1. Client data used in WebAuthn signatures (dictionary CollectedClientData) * 7.1. Registering a new credential * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion #dom-collectedclientdata-challengeReferenced in:
* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's * 5.10.1. Create a new credential - PublickeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.1.4.1. PublickeyCredential's

[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.10.1. Client data used in WebAuthn signatures (dictionary CollectedClientData) * 7.1. Registering a new credential * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion #dom-collectedclientdata-originReferenced in:
* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublickeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's

[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.10.1. Client data used in WebAuthn signatures (dictionary CollectedClientData) * 7.1. Registering a new credential * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion #dom-collectedclientdata-tokenbindingReferenced in: * 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's *5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublickeyCredential's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method *5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method *5.10.1. Client data used in WebAuthn signatures (dictionary CollectedClientData) * 7.1. Registering a new credential (2)
* 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion (2) #collectedclientdata-json-serialized-client-dataReferenced in:

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.2. Authenticator Responses (interface AuthenticatorResponse)

* 5.2.1. Information about Public Key Credential (interface AuthenticatorAttestationResponse) (2)

* 5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface AuthenticatorAssertionResponse)

* 5.10.1. Client data used in WebAuthn signatures (dictionary CollectedClientData) #collectedclientdata-hash-of-the-serialized-client-dataReferenced in:
* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method
* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options,
sameOriginWithAncestors) method
* 5.2.1. Information about Public Key Credential (interface
AuthenticatorAttestationResponse)
* 5.2.2. Web Authentication Assertion (interface
AuthenticatorAssertionResponse)

* 6. WebAuthn Authenticator Model * 6. WebAuthn Authenticator Model * 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation * 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation (2)

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 7943 * 5.10.4. Authenticator Transport enumeration (enum AuthenticatorTransport) #dom-authenticatortransport-nfcReferenced in:
* 5.10.4. Authenticator Transport enumeration (enum AuthenticatorTransport) #dom-authenticatortransport-bleReferenced in: * 5.10.4. Authenticator Transport enumeration (enum AuthenticatorTransport) #typedefdef-cosealgorithmidentifierReferenced in:

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.3. Parameters for Credential Generation (dictionary
PublicKeyCredentialParameters)

* 5.10.5. Cryptographic Algorithm Identifier (typedef
COSEAlgorithmIdentifier)

* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation

* 6.3.1. Attested credential data

* 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format * 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format * 8.3. TPM Attestation Statement Format #enumdef-userverificationrequirementReferenced in:

* 5.4.4. Authenticator Selection Criteria (dictionary AuthenticatorSelectionCriteria) (2)

* 5.5. Options for Assertion Generation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialRequestOptions) (2)

* 5.10.6. User Verification Requirement enumeration (enum UserVerificationRequirement) #dom-userverificationrequirement-requiredReferenced in: * 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's

[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 5.1.0.6. User Verification Requirement enumeration (enum UserVerificationRequirement) #dom-userverificationrequirement-preferredReferenced in:

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.10.6. User Verification Requirement enumeration (enum **UserVerificationRequirement)** #dom-userverificationrequirement-discouragedReferenced in:

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.10.6. User Verification Requirement enumeration (enum **UserVerificationRequirement)** #authenticator-modelReferenced in:
* 6. WebAuthn Authenticator Model #authenticator-credentials-mapReferenced in: * 6.2.1. Lookup Credential Source by Credential ID algorithm
* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation
* 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation #attestation-signatureReferenced in: * 4. Terminology * 6. WebAuthn Authenticator Model (2) (3) * 6.3. Attestation

- * 6.3. Attestation

6.1.1. Signature Counter Considerations (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10)

* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation (2) (3) (4)

* 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation (2)

* 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) #authenticator-operationsReferenced in: * 4. Terminology #authenticator-sessionReferenced in:

* 5.6. Abort operations with AbortSignal (2)

* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation

* 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation

* 6.2.4. The authenticatorCancel operation (2) #credential-id-looking-upReferenced in:
 * 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation
 * 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation #authenticatormakecredentialReferenced in: #authenticatormakecredentialReferenced in:

* 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4)

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's
[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 6. WebAuthn Authenticator Model

* 6.2.4. The authenticatorCancel operation (2)

* 9. WebAuthn Extensions

* 9.2. Defining extensions

* 9.5. Authenticator extension processing #authenticatorgetassertionReferenced in: * 4. Terminology (2) (3)

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's

[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3) (4)

* 6. WebAuthn Authenticator Model * 6. WebAutin Authenticator Model
* 6.1. Authenticator data
* 6.1.1. Signature Counter Considerations (2) (3)
* 6.2.4. The authenticatorCancel operation (2)
* 9. WebAuthn Extensions
* 9.2. Defining extensions
* 9.5. Authenticator extension processing
* 10.1. FIDO AppID Extension (appid) #authenticatorcancelReferenced in: * 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3) (4) (5)

* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) (3) (4)

* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation

* 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation #attestation-objectReferenced in:

* 4. Terminology (2) (3)

* 5. Web Authentication API

* 5.2.1. Information about Public Key Credential (interface AuthenticatorAttestationResponse) (2)

* 5.4. Options for Credential Creation (dictionary PublicKeyCredentialCreationOptions) (2)

* 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation (2)

* 6.3. Attestation (2) (3)

* 6.3.1. Attested credential data

* 6.3.4. Generating an Attestation Object (2) * 6.3.4. Generating an Attestation Object (2) * 7.1. Registering a new credential #attestation-statementReferenced in: * 4. Terminology (2) * 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2)

* 8.4. Android Key Attestation Statement Format (2)
* 8.5. Android SafetyNet Attestation Statement Format
* 8.6. FIDO U2F Attestation Statement Format 8220 8221 8222 8223 #verification-procedure-inputsReferenced in: 8224 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format * 8.3. TPM Attestation Statement Format

* 8.4. Android Key Attestation Statement Format

* 8.5. Android SafetyNet Attestation Statement Format

* 8.6. FIDO U2F Attestation Statement Format 8225 822€ 8227 8228 8229 8230 #authenticator-data-claimed-to-have-been-used-for-the-attestationRefere 8231 8232 * 8.4. Android Key Attestation Statement Format 8233 8234 #attestation-trust-pathReferenced in: * 6.3.2. Attestation Statement Formats 8235 * 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format (2) (3)
* 8.3. TPM Attestation Statement Format
* 8.4. Android Key Attestation Statement Format 823€ 8237 8238 * 8.5. Android SafetyNet Attestation Statement Format * 8.6. FIDO U2F Attestation Statement Format 8239 8240 8241 8242 #basic-attestationReferenced in: 8244 8245 8246 8247 8248 8248 * 14.1. Attestation Privacy #basicReferenced in:

* 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format (2)

* 8.4. Android Key Attestation Statement Format (2)

* 8.5. Android SafetyNet Attestation Statement Format (2)

* 8.6. FIDO U2F Attestation Statement Format (2) 8250 8251 #self-attestationReferenced in: * 4. Terminology (2) (3) (4)

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum 8252 8253 8254 8255 * 6.3. Attestation (2)

* 6.3.2. Attestation Statement Formats

* 6.3.3. Attestation Types 825€ 8257 8258 8259 8260 * 7.1. Registering a new credential (2) (3) * 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format (2) 8261 8262 8263 * 13.2.2. Attestation Certificate and Attestation Certificate CA Compromise 8264 8265 8266 8267 #selfReferenced in:
 * 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format #attestation-caReferenced in:
* 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum 8268 8269 AttestationConveyancePreference)
* 6.3.3. Attestation Types (2)
* 14.1. Attestation Privacy (2) 8270 8271 8272 8273 8274 8275 8276 #attcaReferenced in: * 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format * 8.3. TPM Attestation Statement Format (2) * 8.6. FIDO U2F Attestation Statement Format 8277 8278 8279 #elliptic-curve-based-direct-anonymous-attestationReferenced in: 8280 * 14.1. Attestation Privacy 8281 8282 #ecdaaReferenced in: * 6.3.2. Attestation Statement Formats * 6.3.3. Attestation Types 8283 8284

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 8219

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 8285 * 7.1. Registering a new credential
* 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format (2) (3) (4)
* 8.3. TPM Attestation Statement Format (2) (3) (4)
* 13.2.2. Attestation Certificate and Attestation Certificate CA
Compromise 8287 8289 8290 8292 #noneReferenced in:
 * 8.7. None Attestation Statement Format (2) #attestation-statement-format-identifierReferenced in: * 6.3.2. Attestation Statement Formats * 6.3.4. Generating an Attestation Object #identifier-of-the-ecdaa-issuer-public-keyReferenced in: * 7.1. Registering a new credential
* 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format
* 8.3. TPM Attestation Statement Format (2) #ecdaa-issuer-public-keyReferenced in: * 6.3.2. Attestation Statement Formats * 7.1. Registering a new credential
* 8.2. Packed Attestation Statement Format (2) (3) 830€ * 14.1. Attestation Privacy #registration-extensionReferenced in:

* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's

[[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method

* 9. WebAuthn Extensions (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) * 10.2. Simple Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthSimple) * 10.3. Generic Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthGeneric) * 10.4. Authenticator Selection Extension (authnSel)
* 10.5. Supported Extensions Extension (exts)
* 10.6. User Verification Index Extension (uvi)
* 10.7. Location Extension (loc)
* 10.8. User Verification Method Extension (uvm) 831€ 8321 * 10.9. Biometric Authenticator Performance Bounds Extension (biometricPerfBounds)
* 11.2. WebAuthn Extension Identifier Registrations (2) (3) (4) (5) #authentication-extensionReferenced in:
* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method 832€ * 9. WebAuthn Extensions (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) * 10.2. Simple Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthSimple)
* 10.3. Generic Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthGeneric)
* 10.6. User Verification Index Extension (uvi)
* 10.7. Location Extension (loc)
* 10.8. User Verification Method Extension (uvm)
* 11.2. WebAuthn Extension Identifier Registrations (2) (3) (4) (5) 833€ #client-extensionReferenced in:
* 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method
* 5.1.4.1. PublicKeyCredential's
[[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method * 9. WebAuthn Extensions * 9.2. Defining extensions * 9.4. Client extension processing 834€ * 10.1. FIDO AppID Extension (appid) #authenticator-extensionReferenced in:

7401

/Users/jehodges/Documents/work/standards/W3C/webauthn/index-master-tr-e155bae-CR-00.txt, Top line: 8416 [[DiscoverFromExternalSource]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method (2) * 5.8. Authentication Extensions Client Outputs (typedef AuthenticationExtensionsClientOutputs) * 7.1. Registering a new credential * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion * 9. WebAuthn Extensions (2) (3) (4) * 9.2. Defining extensions (2) (3) * 9.4. Client extension processing (2) (3) 8417 8418 8419 8420 8421 8422 8423 8424 * 9.4. Client extension processing (2) (3) 8425 #authenticator-extension-processingReferenced in: * 6.2.2. The authenticatorMakeCredential operation * 6.2.3. The authenticatorGetAssertion operation * 9. WebAuthn Extensions * 9.2. Defining extensions * 9.5. Authenticator extension processing 8426 8427 8428 8429 8430 8431 8432 8433 #authenticator-extension-outputReferenced in: 8434 * 6.1. Authenticator data 8435 8436 8437 8438 * 7.1. Registering a new credential * 7.2. Verifying an authentication assertion * 9. WebAuthn Extensions (2) (3) (4) * 9.2. Defining extensions (2) (3) 8439 * 9.4. Client extension processing 8440 * 9.5. Authenticator extension processing * 10.5. Supported Extensions Extension (exts) * 10.6. User Verification Index Extension (uvi) 8441 8442 8443 * 10.8. User Verification Method Extension (uvm) 8444 8445 8446 #dictdef-txauthgenericargReferenced in: * 10.3. Generic Transaction Authorization Extension (txAuthGeneric) 8447 8448 #typedefdef-authenticatorselectionlistReferenced in: 8449 10.4. Authenticator Selection Extension (authnSel) (2) 8450 #typedefdef-aaguidReferenced in: * 10.4. Authenticator Selection Extension (authnSel) 8451 8452 8453 8454 8455 #typedefdef-authenticationextensionssupportedReferenced in: * 10.5. Supported Extensions Extension (exts) 8456 8457 8458 #typedefdef-uvmentryReferenced in: * 10.8. User Verification Method Extension (uvm) 8459 8460 8461 8462 #typedefdef-uvmentriesReferenced in: * 10.8. User Verification Method Extension (uvm) #anonymization-caReferenced in: * 5.1.3. Create a new credential - PublicKeyCredential's [[Create]](origin, options, sameOriginWithAncestors) method * 5.4.6. Attestation Conveyance Preference enumeration (enum AttestationConveyancePreference) * 14.1. Attestation Privacy (2) (3) 8463 8464 8465 8466 8467

126/126

8468